ł	Case 5:08-cv-00213-JF Document 53	Filed 07/18	3/2008	Page 2 of 12	
1 2	SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 715 Petitioner,	Case No: 5:08-CV-00215-JF			
3	VS.				
5	STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS and LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL				
6	Respondents.	Judge:	Н	on. Jeremy Fogel	
8	SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 715	Case No:	5:08-C	V-00216-JF	
9	Petitioner,				
10	vs.				
11 12	STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS and LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL				
13	Respondents.	Judge:	Ho	n. Jeremy Fogel	
14	SERVICE EMPLOYEES	Case No:	5:08-C	V-01726-JF	
15	INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 715				
16	Petitioner,				
17	VS.				
18	STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS and LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL				
19	Respondents.	Judge:	Н	on. Jeremy Fogel	
20 21	SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 715	Case No:	5:08-C	V-01727-JF	
22	Petitioner,				
23	vs.				
24	STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS and				
25	LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL				
26	Respondents.	Judge:	Н	on. Jeremy Fogel	
27				, ,	
28					

I, Scott P. Inciardi, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney admitted to practice in the State of California and before this Court, and am an associate with the law firm of Foley & Lardner LLP, attorneys of record for Stanford Hospital And Clinics And Lucile Packard Children's Hospital (the "Hospitals") in these matters. I make this declaration based on my personal knowledge. If called as a witness, I could and would testify competently under oath to the following facts.

#### Local 715 Website

- 2. On March 28, 2008, I used an internet browser to access the web site <a href="http://www.seiu715.org/">http://www.seiu715.org/</a>.
- 3. Once I accessed the web page at the foregoing address (the "homepage"), I pressed "Prt Scr" on my computer keyboard to record a "screen-shot" of the image that was on my computer screen at that time. I then accessed a Microsoft Word document designated document number SFCA\_1330962.1 (the "Word Document"). I activated the "paste" function in the Word Document to insert the screenshot into the Word Document. (Hereinafter, the previously-described process of recording a screen-shot image and reproducing it in the Word Document will be referred to as "taking a screen-shot.") A true and correct copy of the screenshot is attached hereto as Exhibit A, page 1 (Exhibit "A-1").
- 4. I next used the above-described screen-shot procedure to record an image of the bottom portion of the homepage, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A-2.
- 5. Next, I moved my cursor to the menu-bar on the left side of the homepage and clicked the button marked "On The Job." I took screen shots of the web page that appeared, true and correct copies of which are attached as Exhibit A-3-4.
- 6. Next, I moved my cursor to the menu-bar on the left side of the homepage and clicked the button marked "benefits." I took screen shots of the web page that appeared, true and correct copies of which are attached as Exhibit A-5-7.
- 7. Next, I moved my cursor to the menu-bar on the left side of the homepage and clicked the button marked "our local." I took screen shots of the web page that appeared, true

and correct copies of which are attached as Exhibit A-8-9.

- 8. Next, I moved my cursor to the menu-bar on the left side of the homepage and clicked the button marked "Action Center." I took screen shots of the web page that appeared, true and correct copies of which are attached as Exhibit A-10-11.
- 9. Next, I moved my cursor to the menu-bar on the left side of the homepage and clicked the button marked "Around SEIU." I took screen shots of the web page that appeared, true and correct copies of which are attached as Exhibit A-12-13.
- 10. Next, I moved my cursor to the menu-bar on the left side of the homepage and clicked the button marked "Jin SEIU." I took screen shots of the web page that appeared, true and correct copies of which are attached as Exhibit A-14-15
- 11. Next, I moved my cursor to the menu-bar on the left side of the homepage and clicked the button marked "Events Calendar." I took screen shots of the web page that appeared, true and correct copies of which are attached as Exhibit A-16-17.
- 12. Next, I moved my cursor to the menu-bar on the left side of the homepage and clicked the button marked "SEIU JOBS." I took screen shots of the web page that appeared, true and correct copies of which are attached as Exhibit A-18-19.
- 13. Next, I moved my cursor to the menu-bar on the left side of the homepage and clicked the button marked "PRESS CENTER." I used the drop-down menu to select "2008." I took a screen-shot of the resulting web page, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A-20. I then used the drop-down menu to select "2007." I took a screen-shot of the resulting web page, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A-21.
- 14. Next, I moved my cursor to the menu-bar on the left side of the homepage and clicked the button marked "CONTACT US." I took screen shots of the web page that appeared, true and correct copies of which are attached as Exhibit A-22-23.
- 15. On April 9, 2008, I again accessed the web site, <a href="http://www.seiu715.org/">http://www.seiu715.org/</a>. The appearance of the homepage had changed since the last time I accessed it on March 28, 2008. Using a Microsoft Word document designated SFCA-1367631.1, I took screen shots of the home page, true and correct copies of which are attached as Exhibit B 1-2.

- 12
- 14

- 18
- 19
- 22
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27 28

- 16. Next, I moved my cursor to the menu-bar near the top of the homepage and clicked the button marked "On The Job." I took a screen shot of the web page that appeared, a true and correct copy of which is attached as Exhibit B 3.
- 17. Next, I moved my cursor to the menu-bar near the top of the homepage and clicked the link marked "Benefits." I took screen shots of the web page that appeared, true and correct copies of which are attached hereto as Exhibit B 4-6
- 18. Next, I moved my cursor to the menu-bar near the top of the homepage and clicked the link marked "Our Local." I took screen shots of the web page that appeared, true and correct copies of which are attached hereto as Exhibit B 7-8.
- 19. Next, I moved my cursor to the menu-bar near the top of the homepage and clicked the button marked "Action Center." I took a screen shot of the web page that appeared, a true and correct copy of which is attached as Exhibit B 9.
- 20. Next, I moved my cursor to the menu-bar near the top of the homepage and clicked the button marked "Around SEIU." I took a screen shot of the web page that appeared, a true and correct copy of which is attached as Exhibit B 10.
- 21. Next, I moved my cursor near the top of the homepage and clicked on the link marked "Visit our State Council." Clicking this link caused a new web page to open. The address of the new web page was http://www.seiuca.org. I took a screen shot of this web page, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit B 11. Then I closed the new web page.
- 22. Next, I moved my cursor near the top of the homepage and clicked on the link marked "SEIU Store." Clicking this link caused a new web page to open. The address of the new web page was http://seiu.imagepointe.com. I took a screen shot of this web page, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit B 12. Then I closed the new web page.
- 23. Next, I moved my cursor to the menu-bar near the top of the homepage and clicked the link marked "Contact Us." I took a screen shot of the web page that appeared, a true and correct copy of which is attached as Exhibit B 13.

#### **UHW Website**

- 24. On March 28, 2008, I used an internet browser to access the web site <a href="http://www.seiu-uhw.org/">http://www.seiu-uhw.org/</a>.
- 25. Once I accessed the web page at the foregoing address (the "homepage"), I took a screen-shot and inserted it into a Microsoft Word document designated document number SFCA\_1336378.1. A true and correct copy of the screen-shot is attached hereto as Exhibit C, page 1 (Exhibit "C-1").
- 26. Next, I moved my cursor to the left-hand side of the homepage and clicked the link marked "hospitals/clinics." I took a screen shot of the web page that appeared, a true and correct copy of which is attached as Exhibit C-2.
- 27. Next, I moved my cursor to the top portion of the "hospitals/clinics" page and clicked the link marked "List of 2008 Contracts to be Renewed." This caused a document titled "2008 CONTRACT EXPIRATIONS" to be displayed. I took screen shots of the document, true and correct copies of which are attached as Exhibit C-3-7. I also used the "print" function on my computer to generate a printed version of the document, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit D.
- 28. Next, I moved my cursor to the top portion of the "hospitals/clinics" page and clicked the link marked "SEIU Targets 2008 Contracts." This caused a document containing a news article titled "SEIU Targets 2008 contracts with hospitals, nursing homes" to be displayed. I took a screen shot of the document, a true and correct copy of which is attached as Exhibit C-8. I also used the "print" function on my computer to generate a printed version of the document, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit E.
- 29. Next, I returned to the homepage, moved my cursor to the green menu bar near the top of the page, and clicked the link marked "facilities." I took screen shots of the web page that appeared, true and correct copies of which are attached hereto as Exhibit C 9-21.
- 30. Next, I consulted the list of facilities on the "facilities" page and located link marked "Stanford University Medical Center" (which appears at Exhibit C-20). I clicked on the link and took a screen shot of the web page that appeared, a true and correct copy of which is

1

### 3 4

# 5

### 6 7

### 8 9

### 10 11

### 12 13

### 14 15

## 16

### 17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

#### Local 521 Website

- 31. On March 28, 2008, I used an internet browser to access the web site http://www.seiu521.org/.
- 32. Once I accessed the web page at the foregoing address (the "homepage"), I took a screen shot of the image that appeared and inserted into a Microsoft Word document designated document number SFCA 1329121.1. A true and correct copy of the screen-shot is attached hereto as Exhibit F, page 1 (Exhibit "F-1").
- 33. Next, I moved my cursor to the right-hand side of the homepage and clicked the link marked "Executive Board Budget & Actions." I took screen shots of the web page that appeared, true and correct copies of which are attached as Exhibit F-2-7.
- Next, I moved my cursor to the area of the "Executive Board Budget & Actions" 34. page marked "Income Reports: Bank Balance:" and clicked the link marked "August, 2007." This caused a document titled "SEIU Local 521 Bank Balance on Saving and Investment accounts as of August 31, 2007" to be displayed. I took screen shots of this document, true and correct copies of which are attached as Exhibit F-8-9. I also used the "print" function on my computer to generate a printed version of the document, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit G.
- 35. Next, I moved my cursor to the area of the "Executive Board Budget & Actions" page marked "Income Reports: Bank Balance:" and clicked the link marked "September, 2007." This caused a document titled "SEIU Local 521 Bank Balance on Saving and Investment accounts as of September 30, 2007" to be displayed. I took screen shots of this document, true and correct copies of which are attached as Exhibit F-10-11. I also used the "print" function on my computer to generate a printed version of the document, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit H.
- 36. Next, I moved my cursor to the area of the "Executive Board Budget & Actions" page marked "Income Reports: Bank Balance:" and clicked the link marked "November, 2007." This caused a document titled "SEIU Local 521 Bank Balance on Saving and Investment

5

13

15

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28 accounts as of November 30, 2007" to be displayed. I took screen shots of this document, true and correct copies of which are attached as Exhibit F-12-13. I also used the "print" function on my computer to generate a printed version of the document, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit I.

- 37. Next, I moved my cursor to the area of the "Executive Board Budget & Actions" page marked "Income Reports: Bank Balance:" and clicked the link marked "December, 2007." This caused a document titled "SEIU Local 521 Bank Balance on Saving and Investment accounts as of December 31, 2007" to be displayed. I took screen shots of this document, true and correct copies of which are attached as Exhibit F-14-15. I also used the "print" function on my computer to generate a printed version of the document, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit J.
- 38. Next, I moved my cursor to the area of the "Executive Board Budget & Actions" page marked "Income Reports: Bank Balance:" and clicked the link marked "January, 2008." This caused a document titled "SEIU Local 521 Bank Balance on Saving and Investment accounts as of January 31, 2008" to be displayed. I took screen shots of this document, true and correct copies of which are attached as Exhibit F-16-17. I also used the "print" function on my computer to generate a printed version of the document, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit K.
- 39. Next, I moved my cursor to the area of the "Executive Board Budget & Actions" page marked "Income Reports: Dues Receipts:" and clicked the link marked "Year 2007." This caused a document titled "SEIU Local 521 Dues Receipts of the year of 2007" to be displayed. I took screen shots of this document, true and correct copies of which are attached as Exhibit F-18-24. I also used the "print" function on my computer to generate a printed version of the document, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit L.
- 40. Next, I moved my cursor to the area of the "Executive Board Budget & Actions" page marked "Income Reports: General Income:" and clicked the link marked "May 2007." This caused a document titled "SEIU Local 521 Financial Statement For the month of May 2007" to be displayed. I took screen shots of this document, true and correct copies of which are attached

as Exhibit F-25-34. I also used the "print" function on my computer to generate a printed version of the document, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit M.

- 41. Next, I moved my cursor to the area of the "Executive Board Budget & Actions" page marked "Income Reports: General Income:" and clicked the link marked "June 2007." This caused a document titled "SEIU Local 521 Financial Statement For the month of June 2007" to be displayed. I took screen shots of this document, true and correct copies of which are attached as Exhibit F-35-44. I also used the "print" function on my computer to generate a printed version of the document, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit N.
- 42. Next, I moved my cursor to the area of the "Executive Board Budget & Actions" page marked "Income Reports: General Income:" and clicked the link marked "August 2007." This caused a document titled "SEIU Local 521 Financial Statement For the month of August 2007" to be displayed. I took screen shots of this document, true and correct copies of which are attached as Exhibit F-45-54. I also used the "print" function on my computer to generate a printed version of the document, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit O.
- 43. Next, I moved my cursor to the area of the "Executive Board Budget & Actions" page marked "Income Reports: General Income:" and clicked the link marked "September 2007." This caused a document with a partially visible title reading "SEIU Local 521 Financial Statement For the month of September 2007" to be displayed. I took screen shots of this document, true and correct copies of which are attached as Exhibit F-55-67. I also used the "print" function on my computer to generate a printed version of the document, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit P.
- 44. Next, I moved my cursor to the area of the "Executive Board Budget & Actions" page marked "Income Reports: General Income:" and clicked the link marked "November 2007." This caused a document titled "SEIU Local 521 Financial Statement For the month of November 2007" to be displayed. I took screen shots of this document, true and correct copies of which are attached as Exhibit F-68-72. I also used the "print" function on my computer to generate a printed version of the document, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit Q.

2

9

6

11

12

16

15

18

17

20

19

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

54. 28

45.	Next, I moved my cursor to the area of the "Executive Board Budget & Actions"
page marked	"Income Reports: General Income:" and clicked the link marked "January 2008."
This caused	a document titled "SEIU Local 521 Financial Statement for the year of 2008" to be
displayed. I	took screen shots of this document, true and correct copies of which are attached as
Exhibit F-73	-76. I also used the "print" function on my computer to generate a printed version
of the docum	nent, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit R.

Next, I returned to the homepage and clicked on the link on the left hand side of 46. the page marked "About Us/Contact Us." I took screenshots of the web page that appeared, true and correct copies of which are attached hereto as Exhibit F-77-81.


#### **Documents Produced By The SEIU International**


- 47. On July 1, 2008, Norman Gleichman, counsel for Service Employees International Union ("SEIU" or the "International") produced certain documents pursuant to a subpoena served upon SEIU by the Hospitals. A true and correct copy of Mr. Gleichman's email enclosing the documents is attached hereto as Exhibit S.
  - 48. The documents produced by SEIU included the following:
- 49. A document titled "Hearing Officers' Joint Report And Recommendations," a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit T;
- 50. An "Order Of Reorganization" Signed by Andrew L. Stern, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit U;
- 51. A June 8, 2007 letter from Andrew L. Stern to Bruce Smith regarding Mr. Smith's appointment as Trustee of SEIU Local 715, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit V;
- 52. A document titled "Report And Recommendation To The International Executive Board," a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit W;
- 53. An August 23, 2007 letter from Anna Burger to Bruce Smith, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit X;
- A "Form LM-15 Trusteeship Report" a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit Y.


#### **Documents Produced By Local 715**


- 55. On June 23, 2008, Local 715 produced documents pursuant to discovery requests issued by the Hospitals. Local 715 produced the same set of documents in each of the six (6) above-captioned cases. Among the documents produced by Local 715 were the following:
- 56. A document titled "Order Of Emergency Trusteeship Appointing A Trustee To Take Charge And Control Of The Affairs Of Service Employees International Union, Local 715" which was labeled SEIU0001-SEIU0002. A true and correct copy of this document is attached hereto as Exhibit Z.
- 57. A June 14, 2007 fax from Bruce Smith to Laurie Quintel, which was labeled SEIU0003-SEIU0005. A true and correct copy of this document is attached hereto as Exhibit AA.
- 58. A June 18, 2007 letter from Bruce Smith to Laurie Quintel labeled SEIU0006-SEIU0007. A true and correct copy of this document is attached hereto as Exhibit BB.
- 59. A set of images from the Local 715 website labeled SEIU0010-SEIU0019. A true and correct copy of these documents is attached hereto as Exhibit CC.
- 60. An August 15, 2006 fax from "Greg P." to Laurie Quintel enclosing a copy of a "Servicing Agreement," labeled SEIU0020-SEIU0023. A true and correct copy of this document is attached hereto as Exhibit DD.
- 61. An August 11, 2006 letter from William A. Sokol to Laurence R. Arnold enclosing a copy of a "Servicing Agreement," labeled SEIU0024-SEIU0027. A true and correct copy of this document is attached hereto as Exhibit EE.
- 62. An August 17, 2006 letter from William A. Sokol to Laurence R. Arnold, labeled SEIU0028. A true and correct copy of this document is attached hereto as Exhibit FF.
- 63. A document titled "Form LM-15 Trusteeship Report," labeled SEIU0029-SEIU0034. A true and correct copy of this document is attached hereto as Exhibit GG.
- 64. Attached hereto as Exhibit HH is a printout of the Court's docket in Case Number 5:08-CV00213 JF from July 15, 2008. The docket reflects that Local 715 has not filed an answer to the Hospitals' Counter-Petition To Vacate Arbitration Award, which was filed on March 6,

}	Case 5:08-cv-00213-JF Document 53	Filed 07/18/2008	Page 12 of 12				
1	1 2000						
1							
2		I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United					
3		States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.					
4		Executed on this 17 <sup>th</sup> day of July, 2008 in San Francisco, California.					
5							
6							
7							
8		Droll &	iciarelo				
9		SCOTTP. INCI	ARDI				
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28	28						
	INCIARDI DECLARATION IN SUPPOR	10 T OF MOTIONS FOR SUM	MARY JUDGMENT				




































































































































































SEIU UHW-WEST

### HOSPITAL DIVISION

### CATHOLIC HEALTHCARE WEST

### FACILITY

Bakersfield Memorial Hospital
Bruceville Terrace
California Hospital Medical Center
Community Hospital Of San Bernardino
Dominican Hospital

Dominican Oaks Assisted Living French Hospital Medical Center

Mark Twain Hospital

Marian Medical Center

Mercy General Hospital

Mercy Home Health Hospice & Lifeline

Mercy Hospital Of Folsom

Mercy Hospitals Of Bakersfield

Mercy Medical Center Of Redding

Mercy Medical Center Of Mt Shasta

Mercy San Juan Medical Center

Mercy Southwest

Methodist Hospital Of Sacramento Northridge Hospital Medical Center Saint Francis Memorial Hospital

Sequoia Hospital

St. Bernardine Medical Center

St. Elizabeth Community Hospital

St. John's Pleasant Valley Hospital

St. John's Regional Medical Center

St. Joseph's Behavioral Health Center

St. Joseph's Medical Center

St. Mary's Medical Center-Long Beach

St. Mary's Medical Ctr- San Francisco

Woodland Healthcare Clinic

Woodland Hospital

### **COMMUNITY HEALTH SYSTEMS (CHS)**

### FACILITY

Watsonville Community Hospital

### DAUGHTERS OF CHARITY

### FACILITY

O'Connor Hospital
Saint Louise Regional Hospital
Seton Medical Center
Seton Medical Center-Coastside
Saint Francis

### INDEPENDENTS AND CLINICS

### FACILITY

Alameda Hospital Antelope Valley Hospital Chinese Hospital of San Francisco City Of Hope National Medical Center Compass/Crothall Services Group Compass/Morrison Mamt Specialists Doctors Medical Center San Pablo/Pinole Gateways Hospital & Mental Health Ctr. Hollywood Community Hospital Information & Referral Fed Of LA Los Angeles Gay & Lesbian Comm. Ctr. Mission Neighborhood Health Clinic Southwest Community Health Center Stanford Univ. Med. Ctr./Lucille Packard Tiburcio Vasquez Health Center Washington Hospital

### HOSPITAL DIVISION

### **SUTTER HEALTH**

### FACILITY

Alta Bates Summit Medical Center (3 sites)
California Pacific Medical Center (3 sites)

Eden Medical Center

St Luke's Hospital

Sutter Amador

Sutter Delta Medical Center

Sutter Lakeside Hospital

Sutter Medical Center Of Santa Rosa

Sutter Roseville Medical Center

Sutter Solano Medical Center

### NURSING HOME DIVISION

### **AVALON HEALTHCARE**

### FACILITY

Avalon Care Center Sonora Avalon Healthcare of Chowchilla Mark Twain Convalescent

### **BEVERLY**

### **FACILITY**

Beverly Healthcare Center
Beverly Living Care
Beverly Manor Fresno
Clovis Convalescent
Fresno Care & Guidance Center

### FACILITY FACILITY

Country Villa of San Rafael

### COVENANT

### FACILITY

Emerald Gardens Nursing Center Grant Cuesta Nursing & Rehab Center Palo Alto Nursing Center Willow Tree Convalescent Hospital

### **EVA CARE GROUP**

### FACILITY

Empress Care Center
Greenfiled Care Center of Fairfield
Evergreen Castro Valley Care
Evergreen HC Centers of Vallejo - Heartwood
Evergreen HC Centers of Vallejo - Springs Rd
Evergreen Health & Rehab Center of Petaluma
Evergreen New Hope Health & Rehab
Katherine Healthcare Center

### FORESIGHT MANAGEMENT SERVICES

### FACILITY

Brookside Convalescent Hospital McClure Convalescent Hospital Northgate Care Center Valley Pointe Rehab/Sub-Acute

### **FSC**

### FACILITY

Almaden Care Creekside Health Care Center Fremont Health Center FSCO Driftwood Hayward

### NURSING HOME DIVISION

### **FSC**

### FACILITY

FSCO Florin
FSCO Hayward Hills
FSCO Pine Ridge
Parkview Convalescent Hospital
Skyline Convalescent Hospital - San Jose
Vale Care Center

### **GHC**

### FACILITY

Vista Manor Nursing Center

### **HORIZON WEST**

### FACILITY

Foothill Oaks Care Center, Inc Heritage Convalescent Hospital Lakeport Skilled Nursing Center Monterey Pines SNF Placerville Pines Sierra Healthcare Center

### **KINDRED**

### FACILITY

Bayview Nursing & Rehab
Fifth Ave Health Care Center
Golden Gate Health Care Center
Hacienda Care Center
Lawton Health Care Center
Magnolia Gardens Healthcare Center
Nineteenth Ave Health Care Center
Pacific Coast Care Center
Santa Cruz Health Care Center
Tunnell Healthcare Center
Victorian Health Care Center

### **METHODIST HOMES**

### FACILITY

Lake Park Retirement Residence

### NORTH AMERICAN

### FACILITY

Cottonwood Health Care Linda Mar Care Center Valley Skilled Nursing Center Woodland Skilled Grove Street Care Center

### PARRA & PALLI

### FACILITY

Marysville Care Palm Haven Yuba City Care

### **PIERCE**

### FACILITY

Lone Tree Convalescent Hospital

### PREMA THEKKEK

### FACILITY

Bay Point Nursing & Rehab Gateway Care and & Rehab Center La Mariposa Skilled Nursing Center Park Central Care & Rehab Center

### **SALLY RAPP**

### FACILITY

Vintage Estates Hayward

### **SAVA**

### FACILITY

Diamond Ridge Health Care Center Excell Care Center Fruitvale Care Center

### NURSING HOME DIVISION

### **SUN HEALTH**

### FACILITY

Sunbridge Care Center - Kingsburg Sunbridge Care Center for San Leandro Sunbridge Heritage Care Center

### **TILLER**

### FACILITY

Berkeley Pines Convalescent Oakridge Care Center Pittsburg Care Center

### TRINITY

### FACILITY

High Street Convalescent Hospital MacArthur Care Center

### **WESTLINE MEDICAL MANAGEMENT**

### FACILITY

Brookvue Care Center Oakland Care Center Rounseville Care Center Sunrise Health Care Center

### **WINDSOR**

### FACILITY

Windsor Gardens Care Hayward Windsor Gardens RehabCenter of Salinas Windsor Park Care Center of Fremont Windsor Rehabilitation Center of Concord

### FACILITY

California Convalescent
Cedars of Marin
Community for Jewish Living
Hayes Convalescent
Laurel Heights
Sheffield Convalescent Hospital

St Francis Height
St Francis Pavilion
All Saints Sub-Acute & Rehab Center
Convalescent Center Mission Street
Gilroy Healthcare and Rehabilitation Center
Golden Cross Health Care of Fresno
Hayward Convalescent Hospital
Millbrae Serra Convalescent Hospital
Mission Bay Convalescent Hospital
San Marco Nursing Rehab Center
Tracy Convalescent Hospital
University Mound Ladies Home

### KAISER DIVISION\*

KAISER - Anaheim

KAISER - Baldwin Park

KAISER - Bellflower

KAISER - Fontana

KAISER - Fresno

KAISER - San Francisco

KAISER - Harbor City

KAISER - Hayward

KAISER - Oakland

KAISER - Panorama City

KAISER - Redwood City

KAISER - Riverside

KAISER - Sacramento

KAISER - San Diego

KAISER - San Rafael

KAISER - Santa Clara

KAISER - Santa Rosa

KAISER - Santa Teresa

KAISER - South Sacramento

KAISER - South San Francisco

KAISER - Los Angeles Med Ctr.

KAISER - Walnut Creek

KAISER - West Los Angeles

KAISER - Woodland Hills

KAISER - Valleio

KAISER - Manteca

\* Includes clinics

### SEIU LOCAL 1199NW WASHINGTON

### FACILITY

Aramark - EHS Deaconess/Valley Health DSHS/DOH

EHS - Deaconess/Valley Health

**Enumclaw Community Hospital** 

Good Samaritan Hospital

**Group Health Cooperative** 

Harborview Medical Center

Highline Medical Center

Providence Centralia

Regional Hospital

Skagit Valley Hospital

St. Clare Hospital

St. Joseph Medical Center

Stevens Hospital

Swedish Medical Center

Toppenish Community Hospital

**United General** 

Valley General

Valley Medical Center

Yakima Regional Medical Center

### SEIU LOCAL 121RN CALIFORNIA

### CATHOLIC HEALTHCARE WEST

### FACILITY

Northridge Hospital Medical Center St. John's Pleasant Valley Hospital St. John's Regional Medical Center

### SEIU LOCAL 49 OREGON

### FACILITY

Legacy Emanuel Hospital Columbia Memorial Hospital

### SEIU LOCAL 1199NE CONNECTICUT

### FACILITY

Bridgeport Manor/HC Bridgeport City Nurses Statford School Nurses Stamford Hospital

### SEIU LOCAL 113 MINNESOTA

### FACILITY

Allina

Children's Health Care

**Fairview** 

**HealthEast** 

**HealthPartners** 

Methodist

North Memorial

### SEIU LOCAL 1107 NEVEDA

### **CATHOLIC HEALTHCARE WEST**

### FACILITY

St. Rose Dominican Hospital (3 sites)

**OCTOBER 5, 2007** 

## 6 THE BUSINESS JOURNAL (SINCON VALLEY/SENJOSE)

sanjose.bizjournals.com

# SEIU targets 2008 contracts with hospitals, nursing homes

### BY SARA SOLOVITCH ssolovitch @ bizjournals.com

SEIU United Healthcare Workers-West is gearing up for a slew of bargaining talks in California and other western states, culminating in what union leaders describe as the nation's single largest coordinated campaign in health care

In health care.

More than 200 contracts with hospitals and nursing homes across California are due to expire within a three-month period in 2008 and United Healthcare Workers will be negotiating all of them.

"We have worked 10 years to get to this point, to get the contracts lined up this way," says John Borsos, vice president of the local that represents 150,000 workers, from licensed vocational nurses and pharmacists to certified nursing assistants, homecare workers and clerical staff.

"It allows us to have an industrial approach," he continues. "Instead of bargaining one hospital, one system at a time, it allows us to talk about issues."

The union accomplished this feat in a number of ways. In some cases, it negotiated short-term contracts. In other cases, long-term contracts. In still others, it bided its time and allowed contracts to lapse over periods of months.

Recently, it brought together 2,000 leaders from hospitals and nursing facilities locals across six states for its annual conference in San Jose. Union representatives met in small groups, laying the groundwork for the upcoming negotiations — most of them scheduled for May, June and July — with 100 hospitals and 100 nursing homes.

Though United Healthcare Workers says it is still developing a plan of action, the issues this time around are

threefold: wages and benefits; worker training and education; a growing voice in patient care decisions.

Tying together all three, says Boros, is the "fundamental belief that no matter where you do the work, you should get the same pay."

By lining up all these separate contract negotiations, the union has succeeded not only in increasing its own power, according to UHW Local President Sal Rosselli; it has also strengthened its ability to involve government and community organizations, as well as attract more media attention.

Rosselli says he anticipates positive talks with Kaiser Permanente. United Healthcare Workers is a member of the health giant's Labor Management Partnership and describes the relationship as "open and collaborative."

Not so its relations with either Stanford Hospital & Clinics or Sutter Health Network.

Rosselli says that both systems are "resistant to giving caregivers a voice. They have been the ones that are most resistant to training and upgrade programs."

"Stanford," he continues, "is extremely adversarial, extremely antiunion, extremely rich and extremely arrogant. Their attitude is 'We don't need to hear what healthcare providers want. Just do it our way."

Stanford did not respond to Roselli's comments in time for this article.

The union's strategy proved itself successful in 2004, when 70 of its contracts expired within a short time. United Healthcare Workers began

negotiations by settling a master state-

wide contract with Catholic Health-

care West. From there, it moved to the Daughters of Charity Health System with similar demands. The union called a 12-hour strike before a contract was signed.

Soon, says Rosselli, it had settled Soon, says Rosselli, it had settled with every hospital and nursing home in the state excluding Sutter, with whom it has a notoriously problematic relationship.

A 60-day strike against California Pacific Medical Center transpired before an agreement was struck. The union went on to wage another oneweek strike against Sutter's Eden Medical Center in Castro Valley.


Some hospitals have already entered into early talk stages with the union. O'Connor Hospital's contract expires next April, but it is already meeting with the union to discuss work redesign, a subject that includes streamlining the patient registration process. "We're using good faith efforts to be collaborative in the interest of our employees and our patients," says spokesperson Elizabeth Nikels, adding that the talks are not intended as active negotiation.

"In the past we've always been able to work with SEIU."


The California Nurses Association has meanwhile announced a two-day strike against 13 Sutter hospitals, including Mills-Peninsula Hospital in Burlingame.


That strike, which involves 5,000 nurses and is scheduled for Oct. 10 and 11, has been called the largest nurses' strike in a decade.

SARA SOLOVITCH covers health care and biotech for the Business Journal, You can reach her at (408) 299-1941 











































































































































































































































### SEIU Local 521

# Bank Balance on Saving and Investment accounts

as of August 31, 2007

			as of ragast or, cool		
					ENDING BALANCE as
ITEMS	GL#		Bank	Local	of 8/31/07
1	NA	LEGAL DEFENSE FUND	NA	521	56,250.00
2	NA	CAPITAL RESERVE	NA	521	43,343.92
3	NA	CLARENCE DODGE SCHOLARSHIP	NA	521	21,701.97
4	1017	BUILDING FUND	Commonwealth Credit Union	715	114,169.00
5	1013	CAPITAL RESERVE	Citizens Funds	715	273,997.89
9	1019	CONTINGENCY FUND	Commonwealth Credit Union	715	80,447.40
7	1011	SANTA CLARA COUNTY CHAPTER FUND	Santa Clara Credit Union	715	15,326.83
8	NA	RETIREE BENEFIT TRUST	NA	715	167,478.58
6		STRIKE FUND	Bank of The West	715	250,000.00
10	1006	STRIKE FUND	Citizens Funds	715	141,155.42
11	1018	STRIKE FUND	Commonwealth Credit Union	715	556,749.24
12	NA	STRIKE FUND	NA	521	112,500.00
13	NA	STRIKE FUND	Bay Federal	415	111,184.81
14	NA	STRIKE FUND as of 7/31/07	@ INTERNATIONAL	521	92,443.20
15		Reserve #1	Union Bank of California	817	94,846.53
16		Reserve #2	Union Bank of California	817	471,320.79
17		Reserve #2	Smith Barney	817	82,092.37
		Total reserve			\$ 2,685,007.95
18	NA	STRIKE FUND as of 7/31/07	@ INTERNATIONAL	715	402,140.84
19	NA	STRIKE FUND as of 3/31/07	@ INTERNATIONAL	415	27,991.88
20	NA	STRIKE FUND as of 2/28/07	@ INTERNATIONAL	817	46,016.80
21	NA	STRIKE FUND as of 3/31/07	@ INTERNATIONAL	700	18.860,69
			â		\$ 545,247.83
22		PAC - Candidates	US Bank - Olson	521	29.246.00
23		PAC - Issues	US Bank - Olson	521	2,003.00
24		PAC - Independent Expenditure	US Bank - Olson	521	
25		PAC - Candidates	US Bank - Olson	715	3,089.44
26		PAC - Issues	US Bank - Olson	715	58.47
27		PAC - Candidates	Union Bank of California	817	12,979.58
28		PAC - Issues	Union Bank of California	817	5,338.43
29		Reserve #1	Morgan Stanley	700	133,318.16
30	1003	AGENCY FEE	Bank of The West	521	134,234.15
31	1002	ORGANIZING FUND	Bank of The West	521	1,232,704.98
homb of	********	11 30 3000			

\* - bank statement balance as of June 30, 2007.

G:\Finance\Monthend\2007\bANKBALBOARD2007 083107 9/26/2007 1:26 PM

### SEIU Local 521

# Bank Balance on Saving and Investment accounts

as of September 30, 2007

ENDING BALANCE	45 01 71 70 70 70	03,623.00	44,343.92	23,201.97	114,206.54	274,988.66	80,447.40	15,326.83	177,878.58	250,000.00	141,665.84	558,703.20	131,250.00	111,545.58	136,444.40	94,846.53	471,320.79	82,092.37	2,773,887.61	402,140.84	27,991.88	46,016.80	69,098.31	545,247.83	36,947.25	3.70	4,908.61	closed	closed	12,979.58	5,338.43	133,318.16	145,759.58	1,919,569.76
	1 41	_		1	5	5	5	5	5	2	5	5	1	5	1	7	7	7	↔	5	5	7	$\vdash$	<del>\$</del>	_	1	1	-	├-	7	7	0		_
I ocal	<u> </u>	371	521	521	715	715	715	715	715	715	715	715	521	415	521	817	817	817		715	415	817	700		521	521	521	715	715	817	817	700	521	521
Rank		NA	NA	NA	Commonwealth Credit Union	Citizens Funds	Commonwealth Credit Union	Santa Clara Credit Union	NA	Bank of The West	Citizens Funds	Commonwealth Credit Union	NA	Bay Federal	@ INTERNATIONAL	Union Bank of California	Union Bank of California	Smith Barney		@ INTERNATIONAL	(a) INTERNATIONAL	@ INTERNATIONAL	@ INTERNATIONAL		US Bank - Olson	US Bank - Olson	US Bank - Olson	US Bank - Olson	US Bank - Olson	Union Bank of California	Union Bank of California	Morgan Stanley	Bank of The West	Bank of The West
A CCOLINT NAME	AT DEFE	LEGAL DEFENSE FUND	CAPITAL RESERVE	CLARENCE DODGE SCHOLARSHIP	BUILDING FUND	CAPITAL RESERVE	CONTINGENCY FUND	SANTA CLARA COUNTY CHAPTER FUND		STRIKE FUND	STRIKE FUND	STRIKE FUND	STRIKE FUND	STRIKE FUND	STRIKE FUND as of 9/30/07	Reserve #1	Reserve #2	Reserve #2	Total reserve	STRIKE FUND as of 7/31/07	STRIKE FUND as of 3/31/07	STRIKE FUND as of 2/28/07	STRIKE FUND as of 3/31/07	PACmonev	PAC - Candidates	PAC - Issues	PAC - Independent Expenditure	PAC - Candidates	PAC - Issues	PAC - Candidates	PAC - Issues	Reserve #1	AGENCY FEE	ORGANIZING FUND
#	Z Z	NA	NA	NA	1017	1013	1019	1011	NA	1000	1006	1018	NA	NA	NA					NA	NA	NA	NA										1003	
Mati	CIMIZITI	-	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	01	11	12	13	14	15	91	17		18	19	20	21		22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	

\* - bank statement balance as of June 30, 2007.

G:\Finance\Monthend\2007\bANKBALBOARD2007 093007 10/23/2007 6:16 PM

168,283.00

521

Bank of The West Bank of The West

119,856.50

700

Morgan Stanley

## SEIU Local 521 Bank Balance on Saving and Investment accounts

as of November 30, 2007

												‡				*		_						*	*	*		
ENDING BALANCE	as of 11/30/07	80,447.40	198,747.60	20,201.97	321,617.00	226,178.00	113,650.00	15,326.83	250,000.00	558,006.09	559,747.00	158,643.20	111,901.39	95,333.90	473,842.81	83,093.78	\$ 3,266,736.97	402,140.84	27,991.88	46,016.80	69,098.31	\$ 545,247.83		22,244.25	2,050.70	24,763.16	12,961.58	5,323.43
	Local	521	521	521	521	521	521	521	521	521	521	521	415	817	817	817		715	415	817	700			521	521	521	817	817
	Bank	Bank of The West	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Bank of The West	Bank of The West	Bank of The West	NA	@ INTERNATIONAL	Bay Federal	Union Bank of California	Union Bank of California	Smith Barney		@ INTERNATIONAL	@ INTERNATIONAL	@ INTERNATIONAL	@ INTERNATIONAL			US Bank - Olson	US Bank - Olson	US Bank - Olson	Union Bank of California	Union Bank of California
	ACCOUNT NAME	CONTINGENCY FUND	RETIREE BENEFIT TRUST	CLARENCE DODGE SCHOLARSHIP	CAPITAL RESERVE	LEGAL DEFENSE FUND	BUILDING FUND	A COUNTY CHAPTER FUND	STRIKE FUND	STRIKE FUND	STRIKE FUND	STRIKE FUND as of 10/31/07	STRIKE FUND	Reserve #1	Reserve #2	Reserve #2	Total reserve	STRIKE FUND as of 7/31/07	STRIKE FUND as of 3/31/07	STRIKE FUND as of 2/28/07	STRIKE FUND as of 3/31/07		PAC money	PAC - Candidates	PAC - Issues	PAC - Independent Expenditure	PAC - Candidates	PAC - Issues
	GL#	1005	2180	2181	2182	2183	2184		1000	1006	2185	NA	NA					NA	NA	NA	NA							
	ITEMS	1	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15		91	17	18	19			20	21	22	23	24

\*\* Balance as of 10/31/2007

1003 AGENCY FEE 1002 ORGANIZING FUND

26

Reserve #1

## SEIU Local 521 Bank Balance on Saving and Investment accounts

as of December 31st, 2007

															*														_	
ENDING BALANCE	80.447 40	209,147.00	21,702.00	322,617.00	235,553.00	113,650.00	15,326.83	250,000.00	561,206.25	559,747.00	180,814.40	112,246.81	95,424.91	474,315.34	83,093.78	\$ 3,315,291.72	NA	27,991.88	46,016.80	69,098.31	\$ 143,106.99		24,999.40	6,105.85	24,763.16	12,958.58	5,320.43	27,580.77	180,263.26	1,945,590.56
Local	521	521	521	521	521	521	521	521	521	521	521	415	817	817	817		715	415	817	700			521	521	521	817	817	700	521	521
Bank	Bank of The West	NA	AX	ΥX	NA	NA	Bank of The West	Bank of The West	Bank of The West	NA	@ INTERNATIONAL	Bay Federal	Union Bank of California	Union Bank of California	Smith Barney		@ INTERNATIONAL	@ INTERNATIONAL	@ INTERNATIONAL	@ INTERNATIONAL			US Bank - Olson	US Bank - Olson	US Bank - Olson	Union Bank of California	Union Bank of California	Morgan Stanley	Bank of The West	Bank of The West
ACCOUNT NAME	CONTINGENCY FIND			CAPITAL RESERVE	LEGAL DEFENSE FUND	BUILDING FUND	SANTA CLARA COUNTY CHAPTER FUND	STRIKE FUND	STRIKE FUND	STRIKE FUND	STRIKE FUND as of 11/30/07	STRIKE FUND	Reserve #1	Reserve #2	Reserve #2	Total reserve	STRIKE FUND as of 12/31/07	STRIKE FUND as of 3/31/07	STRIKE FUND as of 2/28/07	STRIKE FUND as of 3/31/07		PAC money	PAC - Candidates	PAC - Issues	PAC - Independent Expenditure	PAC - Candidates	PAC - Issues	Reserve #1	AGENCY FEE	1002 ORGANIZING FUND
#15	1005	2180	2181	2182	2183	2184	2334	1000	1006	2185	NA	NA					NA	NA	NA	NA									1003	1002
TEMS		2		4			7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15		91	17	18	19			20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27

## Bank Balance on Saving and Investment accounts SEIU Local 521

as of Jan. 31st, 2008

<u> </u>	10	90	27	0/	00	0(	83	00	82	0/	10	46	17	82	* 8/	7
ENDING BALANCE as of 1/31/2008	80,447.40	218,547.60	22,951.97	273,617.70	195,307.00	113,650.00	15,076.83	250,000.00	562,653.28	763,503.70	180,814.40	112,853.46	95,509.77	474,757.28	83,093.78 **	\$ 3,442,784.17
Local	521	521	521	52I	521	521	521	521	521	521	521	415	817	817	817	
Bank	Bank of The West	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Bank of The West	Bank of The West	Bank of The West	NA	@ INTERNATIONAL	Bay Federal	Union Bank of California	Union Bank of California	Smith Barney	
ACCOUNT NAME	1005 CONTINGENCY FUND	2 2180 RETIREE BENEFIT TRUST	CLARENCE DODGE SCHOLARSHIP	CAPITAL RESERVE	LEGAL DEFENSE FUND	BUILDING FUND	2334 SANTA CLARA COUNTY CHAPTER FUND Bank of The West	1000 STRIKE FUND	9 1006 STRIKE FUND	STRIKE FUND	STRIKE FUND as of 11/30/07	STRIKE FUND	Reserve #1	Reserve #2	Reserve #2	Total reserve
GL#	1005	2180	2181	2182	2183	2184	2334	1000	9001	2185 ST	NA	NA				
ITEMS	1	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	

		PAC money	rodi		
91		PAC - Candidates	US Bank - Olson	521	18,999.40
17		PAC - Issues	US Bank - Olson	521	6,105.85
18		PAC - Independent Expenditure	US Bank - Olson	521	24,763.16
61		PAC - Candidates	Union Bank of California	817	12,955.58
20		PAC - Issues	Union Bank of California	817	5,317.47
21		Reserve #1	Morgan Stanley	700	27,580.77
22	1003	AGENCY FEE	Bank of The West	521	225,222.31
23	1002 OF	ORGANIZING FUND	Bank of The West	521	1,288,235.42

### Dues Receipts of the year of 2007

	A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	1	J	L
1				05					0 0-		
	D D	Mar-07	Apr-07	May-07	Jun-07	Jun-07	Aug-07	Sep-07	Oct-07	Nov-07	TTD 2007
2	Dues Receipts										
3	Counties										Militar
4	Dues - Santa Clara County	470,448	524,339	787,487	526,439	528,349	531,773	529,842	799,449	534,889	5,233,014
5	Dues - San Mateo County	119,751	74,084	73,818	80,835	74,292	74,173	112,154	75,557	77,623	762,287
6	Dues - Kern County	259,178	172,739	172,899	173,438	175,510	271,279	185,585	187,293	192,003	1,789,923
7	Dues - San Benito County	11,969	10,763	11,034	10,640	15,154	10,398	10,565	16,318	11,170	108,011
8	Dues - Santa Cruz County	98,732	50,949	148,372	101,453	102,876	106,070	52,079	151,251	102,148	913,930
9	Dues - KINGS County	11,988	5,760	17,118	11,232	5,598	11,196	11,070	5,544		79,506
10	Dues - TULARE County	4,376	6,501	9,045	9,861	8,060	9,111	23,612	17,060	3,711	91,338
11	Dues - MONTEREY COUNTY	109,335	142,998	143,495	143,380	147,711	153,549	187,499	154,879	154,776	1,337,622
12	Dues - STANISLAUS COUNT	-	4.000	4 700				155,395		36,239	191,635
13	Dues - MARIPOSA COUNTY		4,890	4,798	5,414	5,665	-	-	-		20,767
14	Dues -FRESNO COUNTY Dues -MADERA (COMPA) CO	-	116,016	347,306	230,008	232,837	239,111	468,354	208,305	240,246	2,082,183
15 16								8,336		-	8,336
	Dues -MADERA (SEMC) COUL	NII						3,240		-	3,240
17	Courts										ETY CAND
18	Dues - Santa Clara Sup Court	39,116	39,290	59,229	39,918	41,282	41,641	41,848	63,541	42,781	408,647
19	Dues - San Mateo Sup Court	14,531	14,663	14,876	15,070	14,912	14,838	22,265	14,996	15,401	141,552
20	Dues - SANTA CRUZ COURT	2,730	5,479	5,570	8,881	5,653	5,627	5,567	8,221	5,655	53,383
21	Dues - SAN BENITO COURT	996	451	929	940	961	943	957	1,447	1,015	8,641
22	Dues - KINGS COURT	1,206	1,224	1,242	1,260	1,260	1,908	1,332	1,332	1,314	12,078
23	Dues - TULARE COURT Dues - MONTEREY COURT	3,890	6,741	6,854	4,958	4,958	7,595	5,158	5,130	5,303	50,588
25	Dues - MARIPOSA COURT	10,543	- 240	5,293	5,383	5,487	11,281	5,527	5,519	5,432	54,465
		-	348	314	324	334	-	-			1,320
26	Cities	0.501		12.00							
27	Dues - City of Menlo Park	8,501	4,276	13,002	8,712	9,175	14,134	8,737	8,850	8,892	84,279
28 29	Dues - City of Palo Alto	38,654	39,012	39,823	38,718	39,907	62,546	40,185	40,395	40,745	379,985
30	Dues - City of East Palo Alto	2,299	1,506	833	821	1,743	2,714	1,917	1,875	1,808	15,516
31	Dues - City of Redwood City	15,498	15,548	8,507	23,054	23,120	15,512	15,542	15,604	23,502	155,888
32	Dues - City of San Mateo Dues - City of San Mateo GU	6,838 8,956	3,397 9,071	13,636	13,528	6,470	6,699	6,723	10,042	6,778	60,474
33	Dues - City of Mtn View	10,796	10,902		4,592	4,599	13,916	9,147	14,024	14,090	92,031
34	Dues - City of Sunnyvale	1,606	1,623	16,452	11,032	11,315	11,374	11,368	17,123	11,455	111,817
35	Dues - City of EXETER	585	585	2,503 585	1,614	1,585	1,570	1,524	1,551	2,390	15,966
36	Dues - City of Delano	5,504	5,511	5,484	585 5,604	585	624	605	546	566	5,265
37	Dues - City of Salinas	9,865	10,062	10,156	10,156	5,687 9,814	8,521 9,787	5,799 9,814	5,681 9,663	5,777	53,569
38	Dues - City of Santa Cruz	21,256	21,050	23,786	22,448	23,527	23,719	22,746		9,539	88,856
39	Dues - City of Watsonville	2,444	2,404	3,606	2,422	2,535	2,643	2,621	22,412 4,004	24,312 2,640	205,257 25,320
40	Dues - City of King	689	694	694	347	694	1,045	695	696	674	6,228
41	Dues - City of Hanford	4,365	2,175	6,641	4,400	4,402	3,930	2,161	6,529	4,404	39,006
42	Dues - City of TAFT	517		481	157	564	905	249	264	380	35,000
43	Dues - City of WASCO	500	917	1,074	1,074	1,074	537	1,148	1,867		3,318 8,189
	Dues - City of CORCORAN	351	312	663	351	312	312	-,,,,,,	351	702	3,354
	Dues - City of Scotts Valley	1,317	1,342	1,395	1,395	1,395	1,476	1,482	1,489	1,494	12,786
46	Dues - City of Shafter	925	2,696	1,753	1,753	1,756	1,758	1,722	1,723	1,725	15,809
47	Dues - City of Tulare	1,642	4,907	7,871	3,521	3,625	5,594	3,823	4,043	4,180	39,205
48	Dues - City of Bakersfield	25,485	25,565	25,743	25,635	25,745	38,662	26,056	26,261	26,148	245,300
49	Dues - City of HOLLISTER	2,116	1,018	2,973	1,922	2,004	1,932	3,996		1,995	17,956
50	Dues - City of COALINGA	536	1,109	1,678	1,159	1,174	1,174	1,724	1,123	1,145	10,822
51	Dues - City of LINDSAY	-	467	240	212	106	304	389	185	185	2,088
52	Dues - City of ARVIN	-	658	660	729	468	847	554	588	533	5,037

### Dues Receipts of the year of 2007

	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	1	J	L
1		Mar-07	Apr-07	May-07	Jun-07	Jun-07	Aug-07	Sep-07	Oct-07	Nov-07	YTD 2007
53	Schools										
54	Dues - Santa Clara COE	41,089	40,873	41,237	41,427	41,111	37,842	41,737	42,153	42,631	370,100
55 56	Dues - San Mateo COE Dues - Campbell UHSD	6,188 2,537	6,115 2,559	6,108 2,559	6,066 2,554	6,197 2,856	5,522 2,128	5,324	5,731	5,886	53,137
57	Dues - Cupertino USD	9,116	9,316	9,162	9,453	8,302	7,918	2,155 8,398	2,498 8,975	2,677 9,327	22,522 79,967
58	Dues - Los Gatos JUHSD	4,611	4,542	4,787	4,827	4,648	2,196	2,991	4,922	4,951	38,476
59	Dues - Morgan Hill UHSD	9,920	9,601	9,597	9,942	7,718	3,830	4,658	10,452	10,427	76,144
60	Dues - Orchard Elem.	818	828	889	-	860	797	217	960	984	6,354
61	Dues - West Valley CCD	14,430	14,592	14,552	14,456	14,110	13,233	14,127	15,645	15,630	130,776
62	Dues - Foothill - DeAnza CCD Dues - CABRILLO COLLEGE	31,350	31,442	31,274	31,327	31,433	29,538	27,243	28,798	·	242,405
63	Dues - GONZALES UNIFIED	11,292	11,464	6,561	178	178			178	170	29,317
65	Dues - STANDARD SCHOOL	1,285	-	1,285	2,560	- 176	1,278	734	1,631	1,827	1,075 10,599
66	Dues - San Lorenzo Valley USI	3,706	3,669	-	-	-	-	- 70.	14,869	- 1,027	22,244
67	Dues - TAFT UNION HIGH SC	-	1,556	1,556	1,556	1,516	1,073	1,113	1,529	1,529	11,430
68	Dues - EDISON ELEMENTAR	-	480	-	493	493	1,178	221	440	440	3,746
69	Dues - RIVERDALE UNIFIED		-	1,441	1,440	1,440	1,398	2,952	1,470	1,620	11,761
70	Dues - LAIDLAW EDUCATIO	-	-		6,308	166	4,641	29,099	6,277	6,162	52,652
71	Dues - FRESNO UNIFIED SCI Dues - FASTA		-	-	-	26,170	28,606	102,542	26,849	26,708	210,875
73	UHW & L1877		-	•				30,653	6,425	10,094	47,172
74	Dues - Santa Clara University	3,686	3,943	3,818	4,073	3,762	5,983	2,153	3,661	3,846	34,924
75	Dues - Bon Appetit/SantaC U.	24	-	-	- 4,075	-	3,963	2,133	3,001	3,040	24,924
76	Dues - Standford	40,032	41,760	43,154	45,288	39,644	43,946	42,468	20,486	68,139	384,916
77	Dues - SLAC	10,184	24,519	35,749	13,298	34,453	25,559	24,805	11,260	25,835	205,664
78	Dues - USW Hospitals	-		-		-		21,949	-	-	21,949
79	Dues - Cardinal Cogen	990	993	1,000	1,004	922	858	1,648	790	(67)	8,137
80	Dues - Bon Appetit/Standford	-	-	-		-	-		-	<u>-</u>	**************************************
81	Private Non-profit	0.010	0.617	0.502	12.025						
82	Dues - Alliance for Comm Care Dues - Community Solutions	9,819 4,555	9,617	9,583 3,971	13,925 2,322	9,175	8,801	8,937	8,607	13,241	91,706
84	Dues - Gardner Health	5,733	5,591	8,406	5,906	1,099 5,929	3,511 6,066	2,526 8,789	2,750 5,802	4,312 5,834	26,206 58,056
85	Dues - Rebekan Children's Hm	2,564	2,637	2,521	2,605	2,517	3,830	2,530	2,795	3,110	25,108
86	Dues - SC Bar Foundation	1,745	1,731	1,766	1,643	1,716	1,663	1,733	1,758	1,969	15,725
87	Dues - Starlight	4,263	4,452	4,973	5,182	4,668	5,038	5,054	4,737	5,478	43,844
88	Homecares										
89	Dues - Addus Health Center	1,267	1,114	1,139	1,145	1,242	1,185	1,210	1,338	1,239	10,879
90	Dues - IHSS San Mateo Co.	46,781	47,519	46,832	47,645	48,548	48,373	50,367	50,039	51,292	437,396
91	Dues - IHSS Santa Clara Co.  Dues - MidPen Homecare	194,614	184,800 2,726	175,301	190,544	197,732	195,859	195,917	206,883	210,321	1,751,971
93	Dues - OACM	1,894	2,808	2,023	1,914	3,011 2,002	2,308 1,876	2,748	4,229 1,901		19,750
94	Dues - BEAR VALLEY	480	1,411	1,460	976	1,068	1,043	1,001	958	1,445	17,237 9,842
95	Special Districts			- 1,101		.,,,,,	1,012	1,007	750	1,773	
	Dues - El Camino Hospital	33,140	21,976	21,733	21,637	20,419	27,287	18,133	17,672	19,377	201,375
97	Dues - Housing Authority-SCC	5,798	5,910	8,758	5,731	5,750	5,733	5,728	5,783	10,145	59,337
	Dues - Humane Society	767	410	750	742	819	770	1,274	837	833	7,202
-	Dues - Valley Transit Authorit	18,233	18,228	27,231	18,666	18,396	18,426	18,370	18,231	27,703	183,485
100	Dues - MACSA Dues - HOPE REHABILITATI	4 702	5,670	2,880	2,805	3,079	2,848	2,814		5,780	25,877
101	Dues - YOSEMITE CONC	4,792 15,175	5,106 12,472	4,997 24,901	2,699 31,508	5,248 31,734	5,573 49,216	5,356	5,327	5,381	44,478
103	Dues - Community Act Part Ke	11,518	18,182	11,808	9,895	8,460	8,467	30,601 2,773	28,862 11,590	27,337 11,219	251,804 93,912
$\overline{}$	Dues - SANTA CRUZ METRO	2,707	2,721	10,772	3,234	2,179	- 8,407	2,759	5,494	8,149	38,017
105	Dues - SANTA CRUZ COMM	3,574		2,770	1,496	416	511	1,177	1,699	- 0,142	11,642
106	Dues - SALUD PARA LA GEN	6,028	-	7,535	2,021	4,842	4,038	4,364	8,725	4,736	42,288
107	Dues - ARVIN-EDISON WAT	688	725	725	725	688	707	1,069	996	615	6,938
108	Dues - COMMUNITY ACT P I Dues - MONTEREY REGIONA	920	2,914	2,101	1,890	1,933	3,314	3,197	3,196	3,165	22,630
	Dues - MONTEREY REGIONA  Dues - HOPE - SAN JOSE	1,575	-	-	2,669	6,233	1,550	1,544	1,489	1,499	13,890
111	Dues - San Andreas Regional C	15,542	15,962	16,240	16,344	16,068	15,605	(149)	15 627	15 664	2,669
	Dues - LINDSAY STRATHMO	114	114	57	172	10,008	95	(149)	15,627 75	15,664 75	126,903 892
113	Dues - SSJUD	225	213	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	1,838
	Dues -MONTEREY CHILDRE	1,000		1,026			-	-	-		2,026
	Dues -GOLDEN VALLEY HE.	8,140	4,048	12,055	8,242	8,948	9,152	13,895	9,826	9,592	83,899
	Dues -SAN BENITO CTY WA	58	285	431	289	352	357	364	375	820	3,332
	Dues - SOQUEL CREEK WAT Dues - CHAMBERLAIN'S CH	552 955	974 952	766	695	974 695	487	722	974	487	4,935
	Dues - MARINA COAST WAT	1,292	1,279	1,281	1,235	1,286	1,345	732 1,342	1,560	890	7,245
	MAINTEONS WA	1,272	1,217	1,201	1,433	1,200	1,343	1,342	1,337	1,349	11,747

### Dues Receipts of the year of 2007

					-	•					
	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	L
1		Mar-07	Apr-07	May-07	Jun-07	Jun-07	Aug-07	Sep-07	Oct-07	Nov-07	YTD 2007
120		979	977	-	-	-	-	-	-		1,956
121	Dues - COMMUNITY BRIDGI	487	508	476	506	-	491	1,009	446	533	4,457
122	Dues - WOMEN'S CRISIS SUF	537	585	561	559	497	529	511	567	528	4,873
123	Dues - CENTRAL CA LEGAL	1,053	2,156	2,115	2,082	2,165	2,132	2,307_	2,520	2,596	19,126
124	Dues - Housing Authority-MRY	3,568	3,503	3,416	3,496	-	6,902	3,467	3,517	3,570	31,439
125		378	-	-	-		-	(378)	-		1145
126			1,155	1,665	853	853	-	1,252	864	1,538	8,180
127	Dues - MV PUBLIC TRANSPO		3,796	1,912	1,856	1,856	1,800	1,828	1,828	1,828	16,702
128	D 000 110111D 1 D11112 0	-	5,645	5,576	5,531	8,303	5,592	5,572	5,500	5,954	47,672
129			2,029	1,956	-	2,764	877	877	2,229	2,332	13,062
130	Dues - CHILDREN'S SERVICE	-	2,016	-	2,991	2,770	-	3,371	-	3,944	15,092
131	Dues - JEWISH COMM CTR -	-	718	694	686	264	-	238	985	1,081	4,666
132	Dues - AMERICAN REDCRO	-	-	2,472	2,429	2,593	2,662	7,372	2,711	2,545	22,785
133	Dues - Housing Authority-FAT	-	-	•	1,419	1,386	2,968	12,834	2,921	2,975	24,502
134	Dues - KERN REGIONAL CEN	NTER					9,020	44,108	8,491	8,980	70,599
135	Dues - STUDENT TRANSPOR	TATION					-	3,290	-	-	3,290
136	Dues - Associate Members	206	-	472	-	86	-	-	-	-	764
137	Dues - Retirees	1,701	339	1,690	-	924	1,257	815	765	622	8,112
138	Dues - 521 Staff Members	1,076	771	1,363	1,824	1,888	2,710	1,598	1,570	1,421	14,222
139	Total Dues Receipts	1,937,972	1,960,198	2,661,404	2,182,557	2,224,147	2,412,425	2,867,623	2,595,318	2,358,290	21,199,934
140	Other Income										
141	Interest / Dividend Income	-	6,158	7,601	6,986	7,767	10,529	15,063	20,039	4,553	78,696
142	Gain / Loss on Investment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
143	Rebate Income		2,247	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,247
144	Rebate Org. Income	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	75.4P.7
145	Rental Income	3,311	3,311	3,311	4,626	3,457	3,536	3,391	3,761	3,536	32,240
146	Misc. Income	383	61	-	47	234	15	(378)	298	-	659
147	ADMINISTRATION FEES	-	25,000	-	-	-	-		-	25,000	50,000
148											61017
149	Total Receipts	1,941,666	1,996,975	2,672,316	2,194,216	2,235,604	2,426,506	2,885,698	2,619,416	2,391,379	21,363,775

SEIU Local 521 Financial Statement For the month of May 2007

_	α	_	Σ	C	۵	_	C <	٥	2
1	٥	ا ،	∑,		۱	3		AK i	PS :
-		Mar	Apr		Мау		Σ.	YTD - Three months	onths
$\neg$		Actual	Actual	Budget	Actual	better/(worse)	Budget	Actual	better/(worse)
$\neg$	TOTAL DUES RECEIPTS	1,937,972	1,960,198	2,376,957	2,661,404	284,446	7,130,872	6,559,573	(571,299)
$\neg$	OTHER INCOME								
_	Interest & Dividends	•	6,158	2,400	7,601	5,201	7,200	13,760	6,560
9	SMIHSS Administrative Reimbu	•	25,000	5,000	•	(2,000)	15,000	25,000	10,000
7	Sublease Rent	3,311	3,311	3,600	3,311	(289)	10,800	9,933	(867)
8	Misc. Income	383	2,308	1	1	•	•	2,691	2,691
6	Total Misc. Income	3,694	36,777	11,000	10,912	(88)	33,000	51,384	18,384
10						•			
11 7	<b>TOTAL GENERAL FUND INCO</b>	1,941,666	1,996,975	2,387,957	2,672,316	284,359	7,163,872	6,610,956	(552,916)
	GENERAL FUND EXPENSES								
15	ORGANIZING OFFSET	301,077	301,077	301,077	301,077	0	903,232	903,232	•
17 5	SALARIES								
18	Administrative	29,293	34,472	37,345	34,472	2,873	112,035	98,237	13,797
19 4	Admin Support	15,732	18,304	37,674	32,391	5,283	113,021	66,427	46,594
20 E	Directors	63,466	65,828	83,896	65,581	18,315	251,688	194,876	56,812
1	Internal Organizers/Research	179,499	207,013	252,575	218,022	34,553	757,726	604,534	153,192
22 (0	Clerical	58,721	990'29	68,579	54,179	14,400	205,736	179,967	25,770
23 F	Facilities	2,669	3,321	3,337	3,080	257	10,010	9,070	939
24 E	Data Base Services	17,152	21,396	23,217	22,889	328	69,650	61,437	8,213
25 T	Temp. Internal Organizers/Rese	2,889	3,440	5,000	5,190	(190)	15,000	11,519	3,481
26 T	Temp. Clerical/Support	915	645	3,000	4,275	(1,275)	9,000	5,835	3,165
7	Vacation & Comp Time	5,611	656	25,331	5,067	20,264	75,993	11,334	64,659
28	Total Salaries	375,947	422,143	539,953	445.146	94.807	1,619,858	1,243,236	376,622
-	PAYROLL RELATED EXPENSES								
_	Pension	102,326	28.766	70,927	58,608	12.319	212,781	189.700	23.081
31 1	Payroll Taxes Expenses	30,413	57,561	50,662	26,190	24,473	151,986	114,163	37,823
32 7	Travel Staff-Admin	951	3.012	1.500	5,877	(4,377)	4.500	9.841	(5,341)
33	Travel Staff- Internal Organizer	5.977	9.614	3,000	3,634	(634)	000'6	19,225	(10,225)
34	Mileage/Ins. ReimbAdmin & D	2,209	1 049	10,392	509	9,883	31,176	3,766	27,410
5	35 Mileage/Ins, ReimbInternal Or	15,982	17,963	21,475	17,106	4,369	64,425	51,051	13,374
36	Telephone Reimbursement	3,040	2,298	7,500	2,431	5,069	22,500	7,769	14,731
37 R	Retiree Health Exp	3,410	2,969	5,701	2,334	3,366	17,102	13,714	3,388
-	Retire Benefit Trust Fund	10,400	10,400	10,400	10,400	. •	31,200	31,200	. •
39 B	Benefits(Health, Dental, Vision,	94,218	188,515	163,718	111,093	52,624	491,153	393,826	97,327
40 <	Workers Comp Insurance	23,737	7,613	20,698	7,613	13,085	62,095	38,963	23,133
41 R	Recruiting exp	606	1,394	1,000	1,331	(331)	3,000	3,634	(634)
42 V	Vacancy Rate (saving)			(88,182)		(88, 182)	(264,547)	,	(264,547)
1 - 1	Total Payroll Related Expen	293,572	336,154	278,790	247,127	31,663	836,371	876,853	(40,482)
				1		!			
_	Total Salaries & Payroll Expe	669,519	758,297	818,743	692,272	126,470	2,456,229	2,120,088	336,140
46									

G:\Finance\Monthend\2007\0507\NC0507 0507 8/6/2007 6:59 PM

SEIU Local 521 Financial Statement For the month of May 2007

_									
-		Mar	Apr		Мау		ΥŢ	YTD - Three months	nths
		Actual	Actual	Budget	Actual	better/(worse)	Budget	Actual	better/(worse)
47 47	MISCELLANEOLIS								
	Agency Fee/Assoc. Exp.	11,000	11.000	11,000	11,000		33,000	33,000	,
50	Capital Fund Expense	1,000		1,000	1,000		3,000	3,000	,
۷	Admin exp share w. chapter	375	40	1,000	302	869	3,000	717	2,283
ш	Free Life insurance to members (SCR, MRY & E	SCR, MRY & E	1,200	6,800	2,454	4,346	20,400	3,654	16,746
53 C	Chapter Fund Reimbursement	3,685	3,074	4,000	3,119	881	12,000	9,878	2,122
54 D	Dodge Scholarship	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500		4,500	4,500	
55 G	Good & Welfare	•		3,600		3,600	10,800	. '	10,800
26 U	UHW & L1877 ADMIN EXPENS	181,638	189,849	. '	14,356	(14,356)		385,843	(385,843)
ι.	Total Miscellaneous	199,198	207,663	28,900	33,732	(4,832)	86,700	440,593	(353,893)
59 A	ARBITRATIONS & LEGAL								
60 A	Arbitrations Fees	7,545	3,909	3,100	1,781	1,319	9,300	13,235	(3,935)
ľ	Retainer	13,262	8,995	21,740	21,791	(51)	65,220	44,049	21,171
62 C	Court Costs			3,300	1,215	2,085	006.6	1,215	8,685
<u>8</u> 3	Miscellaneous			200		200	1,500	•	1,500
	Total Arbitrations & Legal	20,807	12,905	28,640	24,787	3,853	85,920	58,499	27,421
ш	FACILITIES								
ľ	Rent-SJC + 535SJC	32,716	37,673	34,922	37,731	(2,809)	104,766	108,120	(3,354)
ľ	Rent-RWC	6,114	6,114	6,114	6,130	(16)	18,342	18,358	(16)
69 R	Rent-Bakersfield apartment			1,525		1,525	4,575	•	4,575
ĸ	Rent-Fresno	6,853	273	6,900	11,793	(4,893)	20,700	18,919	1,781
ľ	Rent-Santa Cruz, Watsonville 8	750	7,383	7,333	7,329	4	21,999	15,462	6,537
ľ	Rent-Visalia	2,157	661	700	925	(225)	2,100	3,743	(1,643)
2	Utilities	13,135	5,504	18,200	18,083	117	54,600	36,723	17,877
¥	Kitchen Sundries	1,716	2550.93	3,500	2,153	1,347	10,500	6,420	4,080
Ü	Gen. Liab. Ins. & Property Tax (	5,417	5,417	18,033	5,433	12,600	54,099	16,268	37,831
В	Building Maintenance/Security/.	7,948	11,783	9,000	8,608	392	27,000	28,339	(1,339)
$\Box$	Total Admin - Facilities	26,806	77,359	106,227	98,187	8,040	318,681	252,351	66,330
_									
	ADMINISTRATIVE - OFFICES			;		į			!
<u>∀</u> 8	Audit/Acct. Fees	910	901	10,000	13,025	(3,025)	30,000	14,836	15,164
S	Subscriptions		171	200	647	(147)	1,500	818	682
O	Office Sundries	8,184	4,355	7,000	13,187	(6,187)	21,000	25,726	(4,726)
O	Office Equipment Leases	36,592	44,816	40,000	25,726	14,274	120,000	107,134	12,866
ш	Equipment Maintenance & Rep	3,628	9,575	8,000	5,919	2,081	24,000	19,123	4,877
0	Contributions			200	283	217	1,500	283	1,217
l <sub>K</sub>	Research Material & Data			2,000		2,000	6,000	,	6,000
	Dues Implementation			900		900	1,800	,	1,800
ျပ	Computer Database Services	881	2,482	10,000	777	9,223	30,000	4,141	25,859
≥	Miscellaneous		750			7	000	1	0.0
	i social cons		00/	200		000	3,000	06/	7.250

SEIU Local 521 Financial Statement For the month of May 2007

<u>~</u>	_	>	c	a	3			
	Mar	Apr		May			YTD - Three months	
	Actual	Actual	Budget	Actual	better/(worse)	Budget	Actual	better/(worse)
COMMUNICATIONS								
Printing	12,836	11,799	8,000	7,881	119	24,000	32,516	(8,516)
Paper	2,445	1,244	4,000	2,404	1,596	12,000	6,092	5,908
Website/Station/communication	719	799	1,500	453	1,047	4,500	1,971	2,529
Telephone & Internet	13,223	11,744	18,000	13,188	4,812	54,000	38,155	15,845
Postage	7,775	3,484	6,000	7,296	(1,296)	18,000	18,555	(222)
Professional Fees/Translations		55	1,000	120	880	3,000	175	2,825
Total Communications	36,998	29,125	38,500	31,342	7,158	115,500	97,465	18,035
CONFERENCES/MII FAGE								
Staff-Misc Conf/Seminar			2 000		2 000	9	,	9000
Exec. Board-Conferences			1,000		1,000	3,000	٠	3,000
104 International Convention						;	٠	•
105 Industries & Members Conferences			1,000	1.500	(200)	3.000	1.500	1.500
106 Misc. Members-Reimbursed			200		500	1,500		1,500
Total Conferences/Mileage	•		4.500	1.500	3.000	13,500	1.500	12,000
STAFF MEETING & TRAINING								
110 Director Training			2,000	1,845	155	6,000	1,845	4,155
Staff - representation & political	4,360	7,456	10,000	662	9,338	30,000	12,478	17,522
Clerical Staff		1,392	800	259	541	2,400	1,651	749
Executive Staff	2,742		800	886	(88)	2,400	3,627	(1,227)
Tuition ReimInternal Organizers			200		200	1,500		1,500
Tuition ReimOPEIU			200		200	1,500	•	1,500
Total Staff Training	7,102	8,848	14,600	3,652	10,948	43,800	19,602	24,198
118 EDUCATION & TRAINING								
119 Steward & Chief Steward Training			300		300	006	•	006
120 Executive Board			200		200	1.500	,	1,500
Education & Training Committee Meeting & Materials	eting & Mate	erials	4,000		4,000	12,000	•	12,000
Industry Training Events	)		2,000		2,000	000'9	,	000'9
Total Education & Training	•		6,800	•	6,800	20,400	•	20,400
POLITICAL/SOCIAL INVOLVEMENT								
Candidates Account (\$0.10 per	7,930	9,412	6,000	9,579	(3,579)	18,000	26,921	(8,921)
Issues Account			,			•	•	•
128 Legal			4,000		4.000	12,000	•	12.000
129 Committee Meetings	91	86	300	68	232	006	245	655
130 Conferences			2.000		2.000	00009	,	00009
Flectoral Staff/ Activity			300		300	006	,	006
Polls & Surveys			500		200	1 500	٠	1.500
Special Printing			200		200	009	٠	009
Checiptions			25.		207	27.		75
upscriptions			C <b>7</b>		27	0)	1	
		607	10001	1100	0 0 10	20.00	74.40	7000

G:\Finance\Monthend\2007\0507\INC0507 0507 8/6/2007 6:59 PM

SEIU Local 521 Financial Statement For the month of May 2007

					ſ			?
	Mar	Apr		Мау		YTI	YTD - Three months	onths
	Actual	Actual	Budget	Actual	better/(worse)	Budget	Actual	better/(worse)
			300	22	278	006	22	878
	778		300	1	300	006	778	122
	200		200		200	009	200	100
	4,588	312	3,125	1,182	1,943	9,375	6,082	3,293
	2,867	312	3,925	1,204	2,721	11,775	7,382	4,393
	'							
	927	20,716	5,000	17.280	(12,280)	15.000	38.922	(23.922)
	200		300		300	006	200	400
			300		300	900	•	006
	bursement		200		200	1,500	•	1,500
	1	3,570	4,000	1,945	2,055	12,000	5,515	6,485
		283	5,000	831	4,169	15,000	1,114	13,886
	134		200		200	1,500	134	1,366
	1,561	24,569	15,600	20,056	(4,456)	46,800	46,186	614
	r							
	30,237		2,000		2,000	15,000	30,237	(15,237)
	445	1,690	5,000	1,087	3,913	15,000	3,222	11,778
			5,000		2,000	15,000	٠	15,000
			1,000		1,000	3,000	•	3,000
$\circ$	159 Automatic Strike Fund Transfer (\$0.50 per member/mom)	er/mom)	18,750		18,750	56,250	•	56,250
	30,682	1,690	34,750	1,087	33,663	104,250	33,459	70,791
	1							
	'							
			2,000	108	1,892	6,000	108	5,892
	594	66	4,500	5,484	(984)	13,500	5,877	7,623
	2,595	5,886	7,000	22,719	(15,719)	21,000	31,200	(10,200)
	15,570		10,000	•	10,000	30,000	15,570	14,430
			200		200	009	,	009
	18.459	5.985	23.700	28.311	(4.611)	71.100	52,755	18 345

SEIU Local 521 Financial Statement For the month of May 2007

2 170 REPRESENTATIVE DUES 171 SEIU \$7.65 ea 172 SEIU Unity Fund \$5.00ea	Mar				3			)
70 REPRESENTATIVE DUES 71 SEIU \$7.65 ea 72 SEIU Unity Fund \$5.00ea		Apr		Мау		YT	YTD - Three months	onths
0 REPRESENTATIVE DUES 11 SEIU \$7.65 ea	Actual	Actual	Budget	Actual	better/(worse)	Budget	Actual	better/(worse)
1   SEIU \$7.65 ea     SEIU Unity Fund \$5.00ea   SEIU Batton # 1000								
72 SEIU Unity Fund \$5.00ea	571,918	386,333	430,848	397,907	32,941	1,292,544	1,356,158	(63,614)
COULT Detiron #4 Ocea	347,500	238,740	266,200	247,290	18,910	798,600	833,530	(34,930)
1/3/SEIU Retirees \$1.00ea	•		9	1	9	180	•	180
174 SEIU Associate Members-1.00	•		12	,	12	36	•	36
175 SEIU/ State Council-\$2.53ea	197,089	126,639	142,490	130,547	11,942	427,469	454,276	(26,807)
176 So Bay CLC \$0.55ea	20,898	11,230	12,100	11,172	928	36,300	43,300	(2,000)
177 SMCO CLC \$0.60ea	4,965	2,571	2,838	2,440	398	8,514	9,976	(1,462)
178 Fresno CLC \$0.35ea	510	1,619	2,800	1,950	851	8,400	4,079	4,321
179 Bakersfield CLC \$0.25ea	•	1,585	1,625	1,753	(128)	4,875	3,338	1,537
180 Monterey & Santa Cruz LC \$0.5	1,880	2,648	3,300	3,605	(302)	006'6	8,133	1,767
181 CA Labor Fed 50% X .47ea	13,261	8,901	9,048	9,167	(120)	27,143	31,329	(4,186)
182 Building Trades-SMCO	250	250	250	250	•	750	750	•
183 Total Representative Dues	1,158,271	780,517	871,570	806,081	65,489	2,614,710	2,744,869	(130,159)
184								
185 TOTAL EXPENSES	2,584,563	2,280,894	2,390,457	2,112,499	277,958	7,171,372	6,977,955	193,416
186								
187 TOTAL INCOME LESS TOTAL	(642,897)	(283,919)	(2,500)	559,817	562,316	(2,500)	(366,999)	(329,500)
188								
189 VOLUNTARY TRANSFERS								
O Building Funds	•		,		•			
191 Automatic Legal Defense Fund	9,375	9,375	9,375	9,375	•	28,125	28,125	•
192 Strike Fund	•		,		ı		•	•
3 Total Transfers	9,375	9,375	9,375	9,375	•	28,125	28,125	•
194 TOTAL INCOME LESS EXPEN	(652,272)	(293,294)	(11,875)	550,442	562,316	(35,625)	(395, 124)	(359,500)

SEIU Local 521 Financial Statement For the month of June 2007

۵	7	Ξ	_	_	0	_	7	1	2
	Mar	Apr	Мау		Jun			YTD - Four months	
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Budget	Actual	better/(worse)	Budget	Actual	better/(worse)
TOTAL DUES RECEIPTS	1,937,972	1,960,198	2,661,404	2,376,957	2,182,557	(194,400)	9,507,829	8,742,130	(765,699)
OTHER INCOME			1					1	;
Interest & Ulvidends SMIHSS Administrative Beimbi	1	6,158	7,601	2,400	6,986	4,586	009'6	20,745	11,145
Cirple of Administrative Neilling			' ' '	000'6		(000,c)	20,000	000,62	000,6
$\neg$	3,311		3,311	3,600	4,626	1,026	14,400	14,559	159
8 IMISC. Income	383		•		4/	4/		2,738	2,738
Total Misc. Income	3,694	36,777	10,912	11,000	11,659	629	44,000	63,042	19,042
_									
11 TOTAL GENERAL FUND INCO	1,941,666	1,996,975	2,672,316	2,387,957	2,194,216	(193,741)	9,551,829	8,805,173	(746,657)
12									
13 GENERAL FUND EXPENSES			•						
14									
15 ORGANIZING OFFSET	301,077	301,077	301,077	301,077	301,077	0)	1,204,310	1,204,309	(0)
16									•
SALARIES									
18 Administrative	29,293	34,472	34,472	37.345	34.472	2.873	149.380	132.710	16.670
19 Admin Support	15.732	18,304	32,391	37 674	32 264	5 410	150 694	98 691	52,004
20 Directors	63,466		65 581	83 896	71 063	12 833	335 584	265 939	69 645
21 Internal Organizers/Research	179 499	(	218 022	252,575	248 018	4 557	1 0 10 30 1	852 552	157 749
	58 721	67,066	54 179	68 579	55 436	13 142	274.315	235,403	38 912
_	2,669		3,080	3 337	3 362	(90)	13 346	12,133	014
_	17 152		22,000	23.217	23,502	(531)	92,866	85.284	7 582
_	088 6		100	000	10,01	2 036	20,000	14 402	1,002
_	2,009	3	0,180	000,0	2,304	2,030	20,000	504,4	7,0,0
	8 1	040	4,275	3,000	5,742	(2,742)	000,21	77,6,11	423
≥,	5,611	929	2,067	25,331	5,921	19,410	101,324	17,255	84,069
_	375,947	422,143	445,146	539,953	483,091	56,862	2,159,811	1,726,326	433,484
$\overline{}$									
30 Pension	102,326		28,608	70,927	61,103	9,824	283,708	250,803	32,905
-	30,413	4,	26,190	299'05	29,002	21,661	202,649	143,165	59,484
$\overline{}$	951	3,012	5,877	1,500	434	1,066	6,000	10,275	(4,275)
	5,977	9,614	3,634	3,000	1,756	1,244	12,000	20,981	(8,981)
34 Mileage/Ins. ReimbAdmin & D	2,209	1,049	209	10,392	3,639	6,753	41,568	7,405	34,163
35 Mileage/Ins. ReimbInternal Or	15,982	17,963	17,106	21,475	19,717	1,758	85,900	70,768	15,132
36 Telephone Reimbursement	3,040	2,298	2,431	7,500	2,457	5,043	30,000	10,226	19,774
Retiree Health Exp	3,410	7,969	2,334	5,701	3,236	2,464	22,802	16,950	5,852
38 Retire Benefit Trust Fund	10,400		10,400	10,400	10,400		41,600	41,600	
39 Benefits(Health, Dental, Vision,	94,218	188,515	111,093	163,718	114,959	48,758	654,871	508,785	146,085
40 Workers Comp Insurance	23,737	7,613	7,613	20,698	12,613	8,085	82,794	51,576	31,218
Recruiting exp	606	1,394	1,331	1,000	1,175	(175)	4,000	4,810	(810)
Vacancy Rate (saving)				(88,182)		(88, 182)	(352,730)	. '	(352,730)
	293,572	336,154	247,127	278,790	260,491	18,299	1,115,161	1,137,344	(22,183)
44						,	!	,	
LOTAL COLORIDE & DOVING LYND		769 707	646 645						

SEIU Local 521 Financial Statement For the month of June 2007

מ	7	Σ	۵.	<u>~</u>	S	<b>-</b>	AO	AK	AN
1	Mar	Apr	May		Jun		Ϋ́	YTD - Four months	nths
2	Actual	Actual	Actual	Budget	Actual	better/(worse)	Budget	Actual	better/(worse)
47									
48 MISCELLANEOUS									
Agency Fee/Assoc. Exp.	11,000		11,000	11,000	11,000		44,000	44,000	•
50 Capital Fund Expense	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	'	4,000	4,000	•
51 Admin exp share w. chapter	375	40	302	1,000		1,000	4,000	717	3,283
52 Free Life insurance to members (SCR, MRY &		1,200	2,454	6,800	1,227	5,573	27,200	4,881	22,319
_	3,685	3,074	3,119	4,000	3,893	107	16,000	13,770	2,230
54 Dodge Scholarship	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500		000'9	000'9	•
55 Good & Welfare	•			3,600		3,600	14,400	•	14,400
	181,638	189,849	14,356	ı	39,412	(3	. '	425,256	(425,256)
57 Total Miscellaneous	199,198	207,663	33,732	28,900	58,032	_	115,600	498,625	(383,025)
58									
59 ARBITRATIONS & LEGAL									
60 Arbitrations Fees	7,545	3,909	1,781	3,100	8,374	(5,274)	12,400	21,609	(6,209)
61 Retainer	13,262	8,995	21,791	21,740	11,237	10,503	86,960	55,286	31,674
62 Court Costs			1,215	3,300		3,300	13,200	1,215	11,985
63 Miscellaneous				200		200	2,000	•	2,000
64 Total Arbitrations & Legal	20,807	12,905	24,787	28,640	19,611	9,029	114,560	78,110	36,450
65									
66 FACILITIES									
67 Rent-SJC + 535SJC	32,716	37,673	37,731	34,922	37,643	(2,721)	139,688	145,763	(6,075)
	6,114	6,114	6,130	6,114	6,130	(16)	24,456	24,488	(32)
				1,525		1,525	6,100	,	6,100
70 Rent-Fresno	6,853	273	11,793	006'9	11,425	(4,525)	27,600	30,344	(2,744)
1 Rent-Santa Cruz, Watsonville 8	750	7,383	7,329	7,333	7,329	4	29,332	22,792	6,540
	2,157	661	925	200	661	39	2,800	4,404	(1,604)
73 Utilities	13,135		18,083	18,200	16,099	2,101	72,800	52,821	19,979
74 Kitchen Sundries	1,716	2550.93	2,153	3,500	2,328		14,000	8,747	5,253
75 Gen. Liab. Ins. & Property Tax (			5,433	18,033	8,089		72,131	24,357	47,775
76 Building Maintenance/Security/.			809'8	000'6	17,551	(8,551)	36,000	45,889	(6886)
77 Total Admin - Facilities	_	77,359	98,187	106,227	107,255	(1,028)	424,907	359,606	65,301
			1						
			0		į		000	0	0
$\neg$	910	108	13,025	000,01	1,4/1	8,529	40,000	16,307	23,693
_	!	1/1	647	200		200	2,000	818	1,182
	8,184	4,355	13,187	7,000	5,770		28,000	31,496	(3,496)
_	36,592	44,816	25,726	40,000	43,588		160,000	150,722	9,278
	3,628	9,575	5,919	8,000	6,270	1,730	32,000	25,392	6,608
			283	200		200	2,000	283	1,717
$\neg$				2,000		2,000	8,000	•	8,000
87 Dues Implementation			•	009		009	2,400	•	2,400
88 Computer Database Services	881	2.482	777	10,000	777	9.223	40.000	4 918	35 082

SEIU Local 521 Financial Statement For the month of June 2007

20	_	Σ	<u> </u>	œ	ဟ	<u>-</u>	ğ	AR	AS
	Mar	Apr	Мау		Jun		ΥT	YTD - Four months	ths
_	Actual	Actual	Actual	Budget	Actual	better/(worse)	Budget	Actual	better/(worse)
89 Miscellaneous	50 195	/50 <b>63 051</b>	59 564	79 600	57 876	1,000	4,000 318 400	730.687	3,250
	6	000	50,00	5	56.6		20,000		
			1			30,00		0	
	12,836	11,799	7,881	8,000	11,160	(3,160)	32,000	43,677	(11,6//)
	2,445	1,244	2,404	4,000	3,609	391	16,000	9,702	6,298
95 Website/Station/communication	719	799	453	1,500	310	1,190	000'9	2,281	3,719
96 Telephone & Internet	13,223	11,744	13,188	18,000	18,048	(48)	72,000	56,203	15,797
97 Postage	7,775	3,484	7,296	000'9	2,642	3,359	24,000	21,196	2,804
98 Professional Fees/Translations		55	120	1,000	195	805	4,000	370	3,630
99 Total Communications	36,998	29,125	31,342	38,500	35,964	2,536	154,000	133,429	20,571
101 CONFERENCES/MILEAGE			l						
102 Staff-Misc. Conf/Seminar			l	2,000	175	1,825	8,000	175	7,825
103 Exec. Board-Conferences			I	1,000		1,000	4,000		4,000
104 International Convention			I			1	1		•
105 Industries & Members Conferences			1,500	1,000	95	902	4,000	1,595	2,405
106 Misc. Members-Reimbursed			I	200	604	(104)	2,000	604	1,396
107 Total Conferences/Mileage	•	•	1,500	4,500	874	3,626	18,000	2,374	15,626
100 100 STAFE MATETING & TRAINING									
140 Disoctor Training			1 0 4 5	000		000 6	000 8	1 8/5	A 155
111 Staff - representation & political	4.360	7.456	C#0'-	10,000		10,000	40,000	12.478	27 522
112 Clerical Staff	)	1 392	259	800	323	477	3,200	1,975	1,226
	2.742		988	800	1,030	(230)	3,200	4,657	(1,457)
114 Tuition ReimInternal Organizers				200		200	2,000	. 1	2,000
115 Tuition ReimOPEIU			I	200		200	2,000		2,000
116 Total Staff Training	7,102	8,848	3,652	14,600	1,354	13,246	58,400	20,955	37,445
117									
118 EDUCATION & TRAINING									
119 Steward & Chief Steward Training				300		300	1,200		1,200
$\rightarrow$				200		200	2,000	,	2,000
121 Education & Training Committee Meeting & Mat		erials		4,000	29	3,971	16,000	29	15,971
밀				2,000		2,000	8,000		8,000
123 Total Education & Training	•	•	•	6,800	29	6,771	27,200	59	27,171
124			ı						
125 POLITICAL/SOCIAL INVOLVEMENT			1				,		
_	7,930	9,412	6'216	000'9	9,902	(3,902)	24,000	36,823	(12,823)
12/ Issues Account				- 0		- 000	- 00	,	, 0
128 Legal				4,000		4,000	16,000	1	16,000
129 Committee Meetings	91	86	89	300	1,298	(866)	1,200	1,542	(342)
130 Conferences			ı	2,000		2,000	8,000	•	8,000
131 Electoral Staff/ Activity			1	300		300	1,200		1,200
132 Polls & Surveys				200		009	000	1	

G:\Finance\Monthend\2007\0607\INC0607 0607 8/6/2007 6:53 PM

SEIU Local 521 Financial Statement For the month of June 2007

Special Printing   Actual		,		-	_	ס	_	7	2	2
Secial Printing   Secial Printing   Subscriptions   Subscrip	-	Mar	Apr	May		Jun		Ϋ́	D - Four mo	nths
Special Printing   State Printing   State Printing   State Printing   State Printing   State Printing   State Preparations   State Pr	2	Actual	Actual	Actual	Budget	Actual	hetter/(worse)	Budget	Actual	hetter/(worse)
SOCIAL Political/Social Involvement	133 Special Printing				200		200	800	'	800
Total Political/Social Invol         8,021         9,498         9,647         13,325         11,199         2,126         53,300           SOCIAL & ECONOMIC JUSTICE Committee Meetings         200         1300         524         (224)         1,200           Committee Meetings         778         312         300         524         (224)         1,200           Contributions/Solidarity         500         312         3,125         3,125         1,200           Contributions/Solidarity         5,867         312         1,204         300         1,200           Contributions/Solidarity         5,867         312         1,204         3,051         15,00           Memorals/Recognition         4,584         3,125         1,204         3,051         15,00           Awards/Recognition         Awards/Recognition         1,204         5,000         8,235         (3,235)         20,000           Respond Advisory Beard Reimbursement         2,83         2,000         8,324         3,240         1,000           Awards/Recognition         Awards/Recognition         1,645         4,000         7,60         5,000         2,000           Respond Advisory Beard Meetings         30,237         1,645         4,000         7,60 <td>134 Subscriptions</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ı</td> <td>25</td> <td></td> <td>25</td> <td>100</td> <td></td> <td>100</td>	134 Subscriptions			ı	25		25	100		100
Contribute Meetings		8,021	9,498	9,647	13,325	11,199	2,126	53,300	38,365	14,935
Confinemences         778         22         300         524         (224)         1 200           Confinemences         Confinemences         778         312         1 182         300         524         1 200           Confinemences         Confinemences         300         312         1 182         300         300         1 200           Total Social & Economic J         6,867         312         1,204         3,925         874         3,061         15,700           MEMBER INVOLVEMENT         4,88         312         1,204         3,925         874         3,061         15,700           MEMBER INVOLVEMENT         500         8,235         872         20,716         1,284         3,067         15,000         1,200           Ex Board Advisory Board Reimbursement         3,570         83         3,600         8,235         3,240         1,000         2,000 <td< td=""><td>136</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	136									
Confinitities Meetings         778         22         300         524         1200           Confinitities Meetings         778         312         178         300         524         1200           Confirbutions/Solidarity         500         312         178         3125         1250         1200           Total Social & Economic J         5,867         312         1,182         3,125         12,500         12,500           MEMBER INVOLVEMENT           MEMBER INVOLVEMENT         927         20,716         17,280         5,000         8,235         12,500         12,00           Awards/Recognition         Board Advisory Board Reimbursement         50         20,716         17,280         5,000         8,235         30,000         1,200           Raily Renal B Bus         Board Advisory Board Reimbursement Lost line         3,570         831         4,000         760         2,000	137 SOCIAL & ECONOMIC JUSTICE			'						
Contributions   Contribution	138 Committee Meetings			55	300	524	(224)	1,200	546	654
Contributions/Solidarity   500   312   1,182   2,200   350   (150)   800	139 Conferences	778			300		300	1,200	778	422
1,182   3,125   12,500	140 Contributions/Solidarity	200		ļ	200	350	(150)	800	850	(20)
Total Social & Economic J         5,867         312         1,204         3,925         874         3,651         15,700           MEMBER INVOLVEMENT Memorabiliar/Give away Member Scontinion Planning & Event Prep Paramity & Contracts         1,200         8.750         2,000 <td>141 *** \$1.00 PER MEMBER</td> <td>4,588</td> <td>312</td> <td>1,182</td> <td>3,125</td> <td></td> <td>3,125</td> <td>12,500</td> <td>6,082</td> <td>6,418</td>	141 *** \$1.00 PER MEMBER	4,588	312	1,182	3,125		3,125	12,500	6,082	6,418
MEMBER INVOLVEMENT         927         20,716         17,280         5,000         8,235         (3,235)         20,000           Awards/Recognition Paraming & Exent Prep Paraming & Supplies         1,345         20,000         8,235         20,000         1,200         2,000		2,867	312	1,204	3,925	874	3,051	15,700	8,256	7,444
MEMBER INVOLVEMENT         MEMBER INVOLVEMENT         927         20,716         17,280         5,000         8,235         (3,235)         20,000           MemorabiliarGive away Members MemorabiliarGive away Members Foconting & Event Prep Patraliang & Event Prep Transportation & Vehicle Expert Transfer (\$0.50 per member/fmom)         1,945         4,000         760         5,000         2,000	143									
Memorabilia/Give away Membe         927         20,716         17,280         5,000         8,235         20,000           Awards/Reognition         500         Awards/Reognition         300         300         1,200           Ex Board / Advisory Board Reimbursement         3,570         1,945         4,000         760         3,240         1,000           Rally Rental & Bus         Rally Rental & Bus         1,34         2,83         2,000         2,000         2,000           Rally Rental & Bus         Total Member Involvement         1,34         2,469         7,000         2,000         2,000           Piriting Contracts         Total Member Involvement         1,561         24,569         2,006         2,000         2,000           NEGOTIATIONS         30,237         1,087         5,000         2,280         2,000         2,000           Negotiations Committee Food Strike Preparations         30,237         1,087         5,000         2,287         2,713         20,000           Automatic Strike Fund Transfer (\$0.50 per member/mon)         1,087         34,750         2,287         2,763         139,000           Advisory Board Meetings         2,595         5,886         2,719         7,000         1,690         1,087         2,000<	144 MEMBER INVOLVEMENT									
Awards/Recognition         500         Awards/Recognition         300         1,200           Planning & Event Preport         Planning & Event Preport         3,570         300         1,200           Planning & Event Preport         283         3,570         1,945         4,000         760         500         2,000           Rally Rental & Bus         283         20,66         1,600         760         5,000         2,000         2,000           Transportation & Vehicle Exper Total Member Involvement         1,561         24,569         20,066         1,600         2,000 <t< td=""><td>145 Memorabilia/Give away Membe</td><td>927</td><td>20,716</td><td>17,280</td><td>5,000</td><td>8,235</td><td>(3,235)</td><td>20,000</td><td>47,157</td><td>(27,157)</td></t<>	145 Memorabilia/Give away Membe	927	20,716	17,280	5,000	8,235	(3,235)	20,000	47,157	(27,157)
Ex Board Advisory Board Reimbursement	146 Awards/Recognition	200			300		300	1,200	200	700
Ex Board / Advisory Board Reimbursement Raily Rental & Bus         3,570         1,945         4,000         760         2,000	147 Planning & Event Prep				300		300	1,200	,	1,200
Nember Reimbursement/Lost time   3,570   1,945   4,000   760   3,240   16,000     Transportation & Vehicle Exper	148 Ex Board / Advisory Board Reimbu	ırsement			200		200	2,000	•	2,000
Member Reimbursement/Lost time         283         831         5,000         20,000	149 Rally Rental & Bus		3,570	1,945	4,000	760	3,240	16,000	6,275	9,725
Transportation & Vehicle Exper         134         24,569         20,056         15,600         8,995         6,605         2,000           Total Member Involvement         1,561         24,569         20,056         15,600         8,995         6,605         62,400           NEGOTIATIONS         Negotiations Contracts         30,237         1,087         5,000         2,000         20,000	150 Member Reimbursement/Lost time		283	831	2,000		5,000	20,000	1,114	18,886
Total Meetings & Events   Total Meetings & Events	151 Transportation & Vehicle Exper	134			200		200	2,000	134	1,866
NEGOTIATIONS         30,237         1,087         5,000         20,000         20,000           Printing Contracts         445         1,690         1,087         5,000         2,287         2,713         20,000           Meetings & Supplies         445         1,690         1,087         5,000         2,000         20,000           Strike Preparations         Strike Preparations         1,000         1,000         4,000         4,000           Automatic Strike Fund Transfer         \$0.50 per member/mom)         1,087         34,750         2,287         2,760           Automatic Strike Fund Transfer         \$0.582         1,690         1,087         34,750         2,287         32,463         139,000           Automatic Strike Preparations         Executive Board Meetings         294         99         2,000         2,000         2,000         8,000           Steward/Council meetings         2,595         5,886         22,719         7,000         4,961         4,611         18,000           Advisory Board Meetings         15,570         - 10,000         1,000         40,000         40,000           Miscellaneous         200         200         200         200         4,4800           Advisory Board Meetings <td></td> <td>1,561</td> <td>24,569</td> <td>20,056</td> <td>15,600</td> <td>8,995</td> <td>6,605</td> <td>62,400</td> <td>55,181</td> <td>7,219</td>		1,561	24,569	20,056	15,600	8,995	6,605	62,400	55,181	7,219
NEGOTIATIONS         30,237         5,000         5,000         2,287         2,713         20,000           Printing Contracts         445         1,690         1,087         5,000         2,287         2,713         20,000           Meetings & Supplies         445         1,690         1,087         5,000         2,287         2,713         20,000           Strike Preparations         Automatic Strike Fund Transfer (\$0.50 per member/mom)         4,000         1,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         2,287         32,463         139,000         4,000         2,000         2,000         4,00	153									
Neeting Contracts   30,237   1,087   5,000   2,287   2,713   20,000     Negotiations Committee Food Strike Preparations   2,000   1,000   1,000   2,287   2,713   20,000     Strike Preparations   2,000   1,000   1,000   1,000   1,000   2,000     Strike Preparations   2,000   2,000   2,000   2,000   2,000   2,000   2,000   2,000   2,000   2,000     Total Negotiations   2,595   5,886   22,719   7,000   1,565   5,435   2,000   2	154 NEGOTIATIONS									
Meetings & Supplies         445         1,690         1,087         5,000         2,287         2,713         20,000           Negotiations Committee Food Strike Preparations         Strike Preparations         445         1,690         1,000         4,000         75,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         40,000	155 Printing Contracts	30,237			5,000		5,000	20,000	30,237	(10,237)
Negotiations Committee Food         5,000         5,000         20,000         20,000         20,000         20,000         20,000         20,000         20,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         75,000         4,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         800           Total Mactings         1,8,45         5,886         22,719         7,000         1,565         5,435         28,000         40,000         40,000         800           Total Mactings         1,8,45         5,985         5,985         5,886         22,719         7,000         10,000         40,000         800	156 Meetings & Supplies	445	1,690	1,087	5,000	2,287	2,713	20,000	5,509	14,491
Strike Preparations         Strike Preparations         1,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         4,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         8,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         80,000 </td <td>157 Negotiations Committee Food</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5,000</td> <td></td> <td>5,000</td> <td>20,000</td> <td>•</td> <td>20,000</td>	157 Negotiations Committee Food				5,000		5,000	20,000	•	20,000
Automatic Strike Fund Transfer (\$0.50 per member/mom)         1,087         34,750         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         75,000         80,000         80,000         80,000         80,000         80,000         80,000         80,000         80,000         80,000         80,000         80,000         80,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         80,000         80,000         40,000         40,000         40,000         80,000 <td>158 Strike Preparations</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>1,000</td> <td></td> <td>1,000</td> <td>4,000</td> <td>•</td> <td>4,000</td>	158 Strike Preparations			1	1,000		1,000	4,000	•	4,000
Total Negotiations         30,682         1,690         1,087         34,750         2,287         32,463         139,000           MEETINGS & EVENTS         Executive Board Meetings         108         2,000         2,000         8,000         8,000           Steward/Council meetings         294         99         5,484         4,500         4,961         18,000         8,000           521 Party & other events         2,595         5,886         22,719         7,000         1,565         5,435         28,000           Advisory Board Meetings         15,570         -         10,000         40,000         800           Miscellaneous         200         5,886         2,886         2,886         2,000         40,000           Advisory Board Meetings         18,459         5,985         2,831         2,000         800           Actal Meetings         1,845         5,985         2,831         23,700         6,526         17,774         94,800	159 Automatic Strike Fund Transfer (\$)	0.50 per mem	ber/mom)		18,750		18,750	75,000	•	75,000
MEETINGS & EVENTS       Miscellaneous       108       2,000       8,000         Executive Board Meetings       294       99       5,484       4,500       4,961       (461)       18,000         521 Party & other events       2,595       5,886       22,719       7,000       1,565       5,435       28,000         Advisory Board Meetings       15,570       -       10,000       40,000       800         Total Maetings & Events       18,459       5,885       28,311       23,700       6,526       17,774       94,800		30,682	1,690	1,087	34,750	2,287	32,463	139,000	35,746	103,254
MEETINGS & EVENTS         Executive Board Meetings       294       99       5,484       4,500       4,961       (461)       18,000         Steward/Council meetings       2,595       5,886       22,719       7,000       1,565       5,435       28,000         Advisory Board Meetings       15,570       -       10,000       40,000         Miscellaneous       200       200       800         Total Meetings       5,886       5,886       22,719       7,000       10,000       40,000	161			1						
Executive Board Meetings         294         99         5,484         4,500         4,961         (461)         18,000           Steward/Council meetings         2,595         5,886         22,719         7,000         1,565         5,435         28,000           Advisory Board Meetings         15,570         -         10,000         40,000         40,000           Miscellaneous         18,459         5,886         22,719         7,000         1,565         5,435         28,000           Advisory Board Meetings         16,570         200         800         800           Total Maetings & Events         18,459         5,985         28,311         23,700         6,526         17,774         94,800	162 MEETINGS & EVENTS									
Steward/Council meetings         294         99         5,484         4,500         4,961         (461)         18,000           521 Party & other events         2,595         5,886         22,719         7,000         1,565         5,435         28,000           Advisory Board Meetings         15,570         -         10,000         40,000         40,000           Miscellaneous         200         200         800           Total Meetings & Events         5,985         28,311         23,700         6,526         17,174         94,800	163 Executive Board Meetings			108	2,000		2,000	8,000	108	7,892
521 Party & other events         2,595         5,886         22,719         7,000         1,565         5,435         28,000           Advisory Board Meetings         15,570         -         10,000         40,000         40,000           Miscellaneous         200         200         800         800           Total Meetings & Events         18,459         5,985         28,341         23,700         6,526         17,174         94,800	164 Steward/Council meetings	294	66	5,484	4,500	4,961	(461)	18,000	10,839	7,161
Advisory Board Meetings 15,570 - 10,000 40,000 40,000 Miscellaneous 200 800 Total Meetings & Events 18 459 5 985 28 311 23 700 6 526 17 174 94 800	165 521 Party & other events	2,595	5,886	22,719	7,000	1,565	5,435	28,000	32,765	(4,765)
Miscellaneous 200 200 800 800 Total Meetings & Events 18 459 5 985 28 311 23 700 6 526 17 174 94 800	166 Advisory Board Meetings	15,570		•	10,000		10,000	40,000	15,570	24,430
Total Meetings & Events 18 459 5 985 28 311 23 700 6 526 17 174 94 800	ž			I	200			800	•	800
יייין ייין יייין יייין יייין יייין יייין יייין יייין יייין יייין ייין י	168 Total Meetings & Events	18,459	5,985	28,311	23,700	6,526	17,174	94,800	59,281	35,519

SEIU Local 521 Financial Statement For the month of June 2007

В		Σ	۵	~	S	⊢	AQ	AR	AS
	Mar	Apr	May		Jun		7	YTD - Four months	nths
2	Actual	Actual	Actual	Budget	Actual	better/(worse)	Budget	Actual	better/(worse)
170 REPRESENTATIVE DUES									
171 SEIU \$7.65 ea	571,918	386,333	397,907	430,848	412,366	18,482	1,723,392	1,768,523	(45,131)
172 SEIU Unity Fund \$5.00ea	347,500	238,740	247,290	266,200	254,750	11,450	1,064,800	1,088,280	(23,480)
173 SEIU Retirees \$1.00ea	•	1	'	09	•	09	240	•	240
174 SEIU Associate Members-1.00	•		ı	12	ı	12	48	•	48
175 SEIU/ State Council-\$2.53ea	197,089	126,639	130,547	142,490	157,157	(14,667)	569,958	611,433	(41,474)
176 So Bay CLC \$0.55ea	20,898	11,230	11,172	12,100	16,311	(4,211)	48,400	59,611	(11,211)
177 SMCO CLC \$0.60ea	4,965	2,571	2,440	2,838	2,629	209	11,352	12,605	(1,253)
178 Fresno CLC \$0.35ea	510	1,619	1,950	2,800	2,237	564	11,200	6,315	4,885
179 Bakersfield CLC \$0.25ea	•	1,585	1,753	1,625	1,854	(229)	6,500	5,193	1,308
180 Monterey & Santa Cruz LC \$0.5	1,880	2,648	3,605	3,300	3,600	(300)	13,200	11,733	1,467
181 CA Labor Fed 50% X .47ea	13,261	8,901	9,167	9,048	9,501	(453)	36,190	40,829	(4,639)
182 Building Trades-SMCO	250	250	250	250	275	(25)	1,000	1,025	(25)
183 Total Representative Dues	1,158,271	780,517	806,081	871,570	860,678	10,892	3,486,280	3,605,547	(119,266)
184			1						
185 TOTAL EXPENSES	2,584,563	2,280,894	2,112,499	2,390,457	2,216,213	174,243	7,924,343	7,758,314	166,028
186									
187 TOTAL INCOME LESS TOTAL	(642,897)	(283,919)	559,817	(2,500)	(21,997)	(19,498)	(10,000)	(388,996)	(378,998)
188									
189 VOLUNTARY TRANSFERS									
190 Building Funds	•			•		•	ſ	,	1
191 Automatic Legal Defense Fund	9,375	9,375	9,375	9,375	9,375	•	37,500	37,500	•
192 Strike Fund	•			,		•	Ī	•	•
193 Total Transfers	9,375	9,375	9,375	9,375	9,375	•	37,500	37,500	1
194 TOTAL INCOME LESS EXPEN	(652,272)	(293, 294)	550,442	(11,875)	(31,372)	(19,498)	(47,500)	(426,496)	(378,998)

SEIU Local 521 Financial Statement

	۵	-	2	6	ď	) >	>	>		0	QV	OV.
ľ	۵	7	Ā		_ ا	> :	<	-	7	?	2	
-		Mar	Apr	May	un	In C		Aug		<b>&gt;</b> -	rtu - Six months	S
7	$\neg$	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Budget	Actual	better/(worse)	Budget	Actual	better/(worse)
რ 4	TOTAL DUES RECEIPTS	1,937,972	1,960,198	2,661,404	2,182,557	2,224,147	2,376,957	2,412,425	35,468	14,261,744	13,378,703	(883,041)
2	$\overline{}$	•	6.158	7,601	986	797.7	2 400	10.529	8 129	14.400	39.041	24,641
ဖ	$\overline{}$	•	25,000	•			5 000		(5,000)	30,000	25,000	(2,000)
^	$\overline{}$	3.311	3,311	3.311	4.626	3.457	3,600	3.536	(64)	21,600	21.552	(48)
ω	Т-	383	2,308	•	47	234		15	15	•	2,986	2,986
တ	$\overline{}$	3,694	36,777	10,912	11,659	11,457	11,000	14,081	3,081	99'000	88,580	22,580
2 5	TOTAL GENERAL FUND INC	1,941,666	1,996,975	2,672,316	2,194,216	2,235,604	2,387,957	2,426,506	38,548	14,327,744	13,467,283	(860,461)
2 5	2 3 GENERAL FUND EXPENSES											
14						. '						
15	ORGANIZING OFFSET	301,077	301,077	301,077	301,077	301,077	301,077	301,077	(0)	1,806,465	1,806,463	-
1 9	7 SALARIES			1		,						
18	Administrative	29,293	34,472	34,472	34,472	34,472	37,345	50,267	(12,922)	224,069	217,448	6,621
19	9 Admin Support	15,732	18,304	32,391	32,264	32,224	37,674	54,556	(16,882)	226,041	185,471	40,571
20	) Directors	63,466	65,828	65,581	71,063	70,314	83,896	105,810	(21,914)	503,376	442,064	61,312
7	_	179,499	207,013	218,022	248,018	258,140	252,575	413,449	(160,874)	1,515,452	1,524,142	(8,690)
22		58,721	990'29	54,179	55,436	60,802	68,579	87,339	(18,761)	411,472	383,544	27,928
23		2,669	3,321	3,080	3,362	3,203	3,337	4,878	(1,541)	20,019	20,513	(464)
24	1 Data Base Services	17,152	21,396	22,889	23,847	23,361	23,217	36,212	(12,996)	139,299	144,858	(5,559)
52	Temp. Internal Organizers/Res	2,889	3,440	5,190	2,964	9,863	2,000	2,615	2,385	30,000	26,961	3,039
26		915	645	4,275	5,742	9,403	3,000	5,993	(2,993)	18,000	26,974	(8,974)
27	Vacation & Comp Time	5,611	929	2'067	5,921	4,294	25,331	13,090	12,241	151,986	34,640	117,347
78		375,947	422,143	445,146	483,091	206,077	539,953	774,211	(234,258)	3,239,716	3,006,614	233,101
8												
္က	_	102,326	28,766	58,608	61,103	60,615	70,927	96,949	(26,022)	425,562	408,368	17,194
က်	_	30,413	57,561	26,190	29,002	29,278	50,662	52,793	(2,131)	303,973	225,236	78,737
32	_	951	3,012	5,877	434	3,564	1,500	6,410	(4,910)	9,000	20,249	(11,249)
33		2,977	9,614	3,634	1,756	5,335	3,000	3,757	(757)	18,000	30,073	(12,073)
8		2,209	1,049	209	3,639	3,084	10,392	2,164	8,228	62,352	12,654	49,698
32		15,982	17,963	17,106	19,717	22,226	21,475	19,248	2,227	128,850	112,242	16,608
36	$\overline{}$	3,040	2,298	2,431	2,457	4,085	7,500	4,349	3,151	45,000	18,660	26,340
37		3,410	696'2	2,334	3,236	7,085	5,701	6,569	(868)	34,203	30,603	3,600
38	Retire Benefit Trust Fund	10,400	10,400	10,400	10,400	10,400	10,400	10,400	1	62,400	62,400	'
39	Benefits(Health, Dental, Vision	94,218	188,515	111,093	114,959	144,501	163,718	89,661	74,057	982,306	742,947	239,359
40	Workers Comp Insurance	23,737	7,613	7,613	12,613	7,613	20,698	7,613	13,085	124,190	66,802	57,389
41	_	606	1,394	1,331	1,175	1,271	1,000	1,501	(501)	6,000	7,582	(1,582)
45	2						(88,182)		(88, 182)	(529,095)	•	(529,095)
43	Total Payroll Related Exper	293,572	336,154	247,127	260,491	299,057	278,790	301,414	(22,624)	1,672,741	1,737,815	(65,074)
45	Total Salaries & Payroll Expe	669,519	758,297	692,272	743,582	805,134	818,743	1,075,625	(256,882)	4,912,457	4,744,429	168,028
46												

G:\Finance\Monthend\2007\0807\JNC0807 0807 9/26/2007 1:11 PM

SEIU Local 521 Financial Statement

For the month of August 2007

					,						
æ	_	Σ	۵	S	>	×	Υ	Z	AQ	AR	AS
-	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	lnc		Aug		YT	YTD - Six months	S
2	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Budget	Actual	better/(worse)	Budget	Actual	better/(worse)
47 48 MISCELLANEOLIS					l						
	11,000	11 000	11 000	44 000	41 000	11 000	11.000		000	96,000	
	1.000			1,000	000	1,000	1 000		000,9	000'9	
51 Admin exp share w. chapter	375	40	305			1,000		1,000	000'9	717	5,283
52 Free Life insurance to members (SCR, MRY &	SCR, MRY &	1,200	2,454	1,227	1,026	6,800	1,227	5,573	40,800	7,135	33,665
53 Chapter Fund Reimbursement	3,685	3,074	3,119	3,893	4,249	4,000	4,191	(191)	24,000	22,210	1,790
_	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1	9,000	000'6	1
						3,600	262	3,338	21,600	262	21,338
	181,638	189,849	14,356	39,412	167,420	1	21,011	(21,011)	•	613,687	(613,687)
_	•	•	•	•	10,000	•	10,000	(10,000)	,	20,000	(20,000)
<u>й</u>	1	1	•	1	2,200	1	2,200	(2,200)	•	4,400	(4,400)
59 Total Miscellaneous	199,198	207,663	33,732	58,032	198,395	28,900	52,391	(23,491)	173,400	749,411	(576,011)
_			l		1						
—	1		,		1					,	
	7,545	3,909	1,781	8,374	7,911	3,100	1,758	1,342	18,600	31,279	(12,679)
_	13,262	8,995	21,791	11,237	27,134	21,740	20,117	1,623	130,440	102,537	27,903
64 Court Costs			1,215		ı	3,300		3,300	19,800	1,215	18,585
ž						200		200	3,000		3,000
66 Total Arbitrations & Legal	20,807	12,905	24,787	19,611	35,045	28,640	21,875	6,765	171,840	135,030	36,810
68 FACILITIES											
	32,716	37,673	37,731	37,643	37,597	34,922	32,827	2,095	209,532	216,187	(6,655)
$\neg$	6,114	6,114	6,130	6,130	6,130	6,114	6,330	(216)	36,684	36,948	(564)
-			ı		ı	1,525		1,525	9,150		9,150
_	6,853	273	11,793	11,425	11,402	6,900	6,853	47	41,400	48,600	(2,200)
	120	7,383	7,329	7,329	7,329	7,333	7,329	4	43,998	37,450	6,548
74 Rent-Visalia	2,157	661	925	661	661	200	800	(100)	4,200	5,865	(1,665)
	13,135	5,504	18,083	16,099	15,341	18,200	17,166	1,034	109,200	85,329	23,871
76 Kitchen Sundries	1,716	2550.93	2,153	2,328	(1,337)	3,500	5,432	(1,932)	21,000	12,843	8,157
	5,417	5,417	5,433	8,089	9,216	18,033	5,417	12,616	108,197	38,990	69,207
霝	7,948	11,783	8,608	17,551	13,286	000'6	14,082	(5,082)	54,000	73,257	(19,257)
79 Total Admin - Facilities	16,806	77,359	98,187	107,255	99,626	106,227	96,237	066'6	637,361	555,468	81,893
80 81 ADMINISTRATIVE DESIGES			ı								
	910	106	13 025	1 471	1 145	10 000	13 752	(3.752)	90,000	31 204	28 796
		171	647	:   <b>,</b>	115	2009	226	274	3,000	1,159	1841
84 Office Sundries	8,184	4,355	13,187	5,770	9,429	7,000	7,008	(8)	42,000	47,933	(5,933)
85 Office Equipment Leases	36,592	44,816	25,726	43,588	32,548	40,000	28,896	11,104	240,000	212,166	27,834
86 Equipment Maintenance & Rep	3,628	9,575	5,919	6,270	5,751	8,000	5,384	2,616	48,000	36,527	11,473
	•		283		1,000	200		200	3,000	1,283	1,717
88 Research Material & Data						2,000		2,000	12,000	ı	12,000
89 Dues Implementation	•		ı		ı	009		009	3,600	1	3,600
90 Computer Database Services	881	2,482	777	777	324	10,000	52	9,948	000'09	5,294	54,706

SEIU Local 521 Financial Statement For the month of August 2007

	a	-	2	-		>	>	>	,	0,	4	4
ŀ	3		M V		? ]	<b> </b>	<	-	7	7	7 7	æ
-		Mar	Apr	May	unc	חר		Aug		<b>-</b>	Y I D - Six months	SL
$\neg$		Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Budget	Actual	better/(worse)	Budget	Actual	better/(worse)
$\neg$	Miscellaneous		750				1,000	0	1,000	9'000	750	5,250
92	Total Admin - Offices	50,195	63,051	29,564	57,876	50,312	29,600	55,318	24,282	477,600	336,316	141,284
_	COMMUNICATIONS			' '		1						
$\overline{}$	Printing	12,836	11,799	7,881	11,160	3,342	8,000	1,787	6,213	48,000	48,806	(808)
$\neg$	Paper	2,445	1,244	2,404	3,609	2,473	4,000	411	3,589	24,000	12,585	11,415
-	Website/Station/communication	719	799	453	310	251	1,500	303	1,197	9,000	2,835	6,165
86	Telephone & Internet	13,223	11,744	13,188	18,048	11,957	18,000	12,207	5,793	108,000	80,367	27,633
66	Postage	7,775	3,484	7,296	2,642	8,675	6,000	8,709	(2,709)	36,000	38,580	(2,580)
100	Professional Fees/Translations		55	120	195	1	1,000		1,000	000'9	370	5,630
10	Total Communications	36,998	29,125	31,342	35,964	26,698	38,500	23,416	15,084	231,000	183,543	47,457
103	CONFERENCES/MII FAGE											
					175		2 000	575	1 425	12,000	750	11 250
					2	1	1,000	5	1 000	6,000	? ,	000
106	106 International Convention					1			•	1	,	2
107	Industries & Members Conferences			1,500	95		1.000		1.000	9.000	1.595	4.405
108	108 Misc. Members-Reimbursed				604		200		200	3,000	604	2,396
109	Total Conferences/Mileage	•		1.500	874	•	4.500	575	3.925	27.000	2.949	24.051
		. 1				1 1					Ì	1
	STAFF MEETING & TRAINING											
				1,845			2,000		2,000	12,000	1,845	10,155
		4,360	7,456	662			10,000	5,076	4,924	900'09	17,555	42,446
114	Clerical Staff		1,392	259	323	177	800	268	232	4,800	2,719	2,081
115	Executive Staff	2,742		886	1,030		800		800	4,800	4,657	143
116	116 Tuition ReimInternal Organizers						200		200	3,000	•	3,000
117	٥						200		200	3,000	•	3,000
118	Total Staff Training	7,102	8,848	3,652	1,354	177	14,600	5,644	8,956	87,600	26,776	60,825
119												
120	120 EDUCATION & TRAINING			1								
121	Steward & Chief Steward Training						300		300	1,800		1,800
771	Executive Board	;					200		200	3,000		3,000
123	123 Education & Iraining Committee Meeting & Materials	eting & Mai	erials		29		4,000		4,000	24,000	29	23,971
17	2						2,000		2,000	12,000	. ;	12,000
128	lotal Education & Irainin		•		29	•	6,800	•	6,800	40,800	29	40,771
	POLITICAL/SOCIAL INVOLVEMENT	<u></u>				1						
128(	Candidates Account (\$0.10 per	7,930	9,412	9,579	9,902	4,091	6,000	2,004	3,996	36,000	42,917	(6,917)
129	129 Issues Account							2,003	(2,003)		2,003	(2,003)
130	130 Legal						4,000		4,000	24,000	•	24,000
131	Committee Meetings	91	86	89	1,298		300	401	(101)	1,800	1,944	(144)
132(	Conferences					209	2,000		2,000	12,000	509	11,491
133 E	Electoral Staff/ Activity						300		300	1,800		1,800
134	Polls & Surveys					247	200		200	3,000	247	2,753
133	135 Special Printing						200		200	1,200	•	1,200
) 0 1	136 Subscriptions						25		25	150		150

G:\Finance\Monthend\2007\0807\INC0807 0807 9/26/2007 1:11 PM

SEIU Local 521 Financial Statement

Actual		7	∑ .		S	x	×	<b>&gt;</b>	Z	AQ	AR	AS
47         Actual         Actual         Actual         Actual         Actual         Actual         Actual         Actual         Actual         Better/(worse)         Budget         Actual         Detter/(worse)         Budget         Actual	Mar	₽	_	Мау	Jun	In C		Aug		⋝	YTD - Six months	SI
524         300         359         (59)         1,800         904           350         130         133         107         1,800         971           350         200         300         133         107         1,800         971           874         2,487         3,125         8,844         (5,719)         18,750         17,413         1,150           8,235         21,247         5,000         82,260         (77,260)         30,000         150,665         (120           8,995         21,247         5,000         82,260         (77,260)         3,000         1,800         -           8,995         22,661         16,600         82,906         4,431         30,000         134         2           8,995         22,661         15,600         82,903         (67,303)         93,600         160,745         (67           8,995         22,661         15,600         82,903         (67,303)         93,000         134         2           8,995         22,661         15,600         82,903         (67,303)         93,000         14,692         11           8,995         22,661         15,600         6,520         6,500         6	Total Political/Social Invol 8.021 9.498	Actual 9.4	86	Actual 9.647	Actual 11 199	Actual 4 846	Budget	œ	better/(worse)	Budget 79 950	Actual 47 620	better/(worse)
524         300         359         (59)         1,800         904           350         193         107         1,800         971         1,150         971           350         2,487         3,125         8,844         (5,771)         23,550         20,438         3           8,235         2,1247         3,925         9,696         (5,771)         23,550         20,438         3           8,235         21,247         5,000         82,260         (77,260)         3,000         1,800         -         3           760         4,000         74         3,926         24,000         1,800         -         3         3         1,414         5,000         5,000         1,800         -         3         3         1,414         5,000         5,000         1,800         -         3         3         1,414         5,000         5,000         1,400         1,414         5,000         5,00         1,447         3,000         1,495         16         1,414         5,000         1,447         5,447         3,000         14,692         11         1,492         11         1,492         11         1,492         1,492         11         1,492         1,492												
Section   Sect	SOCIAL & ECONOMIC JUSTICE Committee Meetings		ı	22	524	•	300	350	(50)	1 800	000	808
350	778			<b>i</b>		•	300	193	107	1,800	971	829
874         2,487         3,125         8,844         (5,714)         18,750         17,413         1           8,235         2,487         3,925         9,696         (5,771)         23,550         20,438         3           8,235         21,247         5,000         82,260         (77,260)         1,800         500         1           760         4,000         82,260         74         3,925         24,000         5,000         1,800         -         1,800         -         1,800         -         1,800         -         1,800         -         1,800         -         1,800         -         1,800         -         1,800         -         1,800         -         1,800         -         1,800         -         1,800         -         1,800         -         1,800         -         1,800         -         1,800         -         1,800         -         1,900         -         1,800         -         1,800         -         1,900         -         1,900         -         1,900         -         1,900         -         1,900         -         1,900         -         1,900         -         1,900         1,900         -         1,900	200				350	1 1	200	300	(100)	1,200	1,150	90
874         2,487         3,925         9,696         (5,771)         23,550         20,438         3           8,235         21,247         5,000         82,260         (77,260)         30,000         150,665         (120           760         300         300         1,800         500         1,800         500         1           760         4,000         74         3,926         24,000         6,349         17           8,995         22,661         15,600         82,903         (67,303)         93,600         160,745         (67,303)           8,995         22,661         15,600         82,903         (67,303)         93,600         160,745         (67,445)         (67,303)           8,995         22,661         15,600         82,903         (67,303)         93,600         160,745         (67,445)         (67,303)           8,995         22,661         15,600         82,903         (67,303)         93,600         160,745         (67,745)         (67,745)         (67,745)         (67,745)         (67,745)         (67,745)         (67,745)         (67,745)         (77,000)         14,692         11         11         11         11         11         12,500         1		312		1,182		2,487	3,125	8,844	(5,719)	18,750	17,413	1,337
8,235         21,247         5,000         82,260         (77,260)         30,000         150,665         (120           300         300         1,800         500         1,800         -         3           760         4,000         74         3,926         24,000         6,349         17           8,995         22,661         15,600         82,903         (67,303)         93,600         160,745         (67,303)           8,995         22,661         15,600         82,903         (67,303)         93,600         160,745         (67           8,995         22,661         15,600         82,903         (67,303)         93,600         160,745         (67           8,995         22,661         15,600         82,903         (67,303)         93,600         160,745         (67           8,995         22,661         15,600         82,203         (67,303)         93,600         160,745         (67           1,000         6,000         5,000         1,000         1,000         14,692         15           1,000         18,750         6,081         28,669         208,500         44,989         163           1,565         2,000         2,000	5,867 312	312		1,204	874	2,487	3,925	969'6	(5,771)	23,550	20,438	3,112
8,235         21,247         5,000         82,260         (77,260)         30,000         150,665         (120)           760         1,414         5,000         74         3,926         24,000         6,349         17           8,995         22,661         1,500         82,903         (67,303)         93,600         160,745         (67           8,995         22,661         15,600         82,903         (67,303)         93,600         160,745         (67           8,995         22,661         15,600         82,903         (67,303)         93,600         160,745         (67           8,995         22,661         15,600         82,903         (67,303)         93,600         160,745         (67           8,995         22,661         15,600         82,903         (67,303)         93,600         160,745         (67           8,995         22,661         15,600         82,903         (67,303)         93,600         160,745         (67           8,995         22,661         15,600         8,527         (1,527)         30,000         14,692         11           1,8,750         1,000         6,081         28,669         2,0850         2,0850         2,0850 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						1						
300   300   1,800   500   1,800   500   1,800   500   1,800   5,900   1,800   5,900   1,800   5,900   1,800   5,900   1,800   5,900   1,800   1,900	147 Memorabilia/Give away Member 927 20,716	20,716		17,280	8,235	21,247	5,000	82,260	(77,260)	30,000	150,665	(120,665)
760         300         1,800         -         1           760         1,414         500         74         3,926         24,000         6,349         17           8,995         22,661         1,5600         82,903         (67,303)         93,600         160,745         (67           2,287         5,000         82,903         (67,303)         93,600         160,745         (67           2,287         5,000         (447)         5,447         30,000         14,692         16           1,000         1,000         1,000         1,000         1,000         1,692         16           2,287         5,000         6,527         (1,527)         30,000         1,692         16           1,000         1,000         1,000         1,200         112,500         -         112,500         -           4,961         4,961         2,000         2,000         1,2,00         36,281         114,692         114           4,961         4,260         2,666         2,000         2,666         2,000         44,989         163           4,961         4,260         2,666         2,000         2,761         7,209         1,200         1,200 <td>900</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>300</td> <td></td> <td>300</td> <td>1,800</td> <td>200</td> <td>1,300</td>	900						300		300	1,800	200	1,300
760         500         74         3,926         24,000         6,349         17           8,995         22,661         4,000         74         3,926         24,000         6,349         17           8,995         22,661         15,600         82,903         (67,303)         93,600         160,745         (67           2,287         5,000         (447)         5,447         30,000         14,692         16           2,287         5,000         (447)         5,447         30,000         14,692         16           2,287         5,000         6,527         (1,527)         30,000         14,692         16           1,000         1,000         6,527         112,500         -         112,500         -         112,500           2,287         3,163         34,750         6,081         28,669         208,500         44,989         16           4,961         4,281         4,500         2,66         2,000         2,669         2,600         3,484         42,000         36,281         6           4,965         7,000         2,761         7,239         60,000         1,200         1,200         1,200           200         200<							300		300	1,800	•	1,800
760         4,000         74         3,926         24,000         6,349         17           8,995         22,661         4,000         569         4,431         30,000         3,097         26           8,995         22,661         15,600         82,903         (67,303)         93,600         160,745         (67           2,287         5,000         (447)         5,447         30,000         30,297         (67           2,287         5,000         (447)         5,447         30,000         14,692         16,792           1,000         6,527         (1,527)         30,000         14,692         16           1,000         1,000         6,000         -         6,000         -           1,000         1,000         6,000         -         112,500         -           2,287         3,163         34,750         6,081         28,669         208,500         44,989         163           4,961         4,281         4,500         2,666         2,000         2,1474         27,000         15,146         11           4,961         4,281         4,500         2,761         7,239         60,000         1,200         1,216	150 Ex Board / Advisory Board Reimbursement						200		200	3,000	•	3,000
8,995         22,661         5,000         569         4,431         30,000         3,097         26           8,995         22,661         15,600         82,903         (67,303)         93,600         160,745         (67           2,287         5,000         (447)         5,447         30,000         30,297         16,000         14,692         16,692         16,692         16,746         16,746         16,746         16,747         16,277         30,000         14,692         16,746         16,747         16,747         16,277         30,000         14,692         16,746         16,747         16,000         16,000         16,000         16,000         16,000         16,000         16,000         16,000         16,000         16,000         16,000         16,000         16,000         16,146         11,146         11         11,146         11         11,146         11         11,146         11         11,146         11         11,146         11,146         11         11,146         11         11,200         11,200         11,200         11,200         11,200         11,200         11,200         11,200         11,200         11,200         11,200         11,200         11,200         11,200         11,200	ຕົ	3,570		1,945	760		4,000	74	3,926	24,000	6,349	17,651
8,995         22,661         15,600         82,903         (67,303)         93,600         134         2           2,287         5,000         (447)         5,447         30,000         30,297         160,745         (67,303)           2,287         2,656         5,000         (447)         5,447         30,000         14,692         15           1,000         6,527         (1,527)         30,000         14,692         15           1,000         1,000         6,000         -         6           1,000         1,000         6,000         -         112,500           2,287         3,163         34,750         6,081         28,669         208,500         44,989         163           4,961         4,560         2,600         2,761         1,790         27,000         15,146         11           1,565         7,000         2,761         7,239         60,000         18,331         41           6,526         2,000         2,761         7,239         60,000         18,331         41           4,961         4,946         23,700         6,513         17,187         1742,00         70,740         7740	ime	283		831		1,414	5,000	569	4,431	30,000	3,097	26,903
8,995         22,661         15,600         82,903         (67,303)         93,600         160,745         (67,303)           2,287         2,656         5,000         (447)         5,447         30,000         30,297         15,600         -         14,692         15,600         -         14,692         15,600         -         30,000         -         14,692         15,600         -         14,692         15,600         -         14,692         15,600         -         14,692         15,600         -         11,692         11,69	134					'	200		200	3,000	134	2,866
507         5,000         (447)         5,447         30,000         30,297         15           2,287         2,656         5,000         6,527         (1,527)         30,000         14,692         15           1,000         1,000         1,000         6,000         -         6,000         -         112           2,287         3,163         34,750         6,081         28,669         208,500         44,989         163           665         2,000         210         1,790         12,000         982         11           4,961         4,281         4,500         2,761         7,200         15,146         11           1,565         7,000         2,761         7,239         60,000         18,331         41           6,526         4,946         23,700         6,513         17,187         17,200         70,740         77	Total Member Involvemen 1,561 24,569	24,569		20,056	8,995	22,661	15,600	82,903	(67,303)	93,600	160,745	(67,145)
2,287         5,000         (447)         5,447         30,000         30,297         15           2,287         2,656         5,000         6,527         (1,527)         30,000         14,692         15           1,000         1,000         0,000         -         900         -         112           1,000         1,000         0,000         -         112         -         112           2,287         3,163         34,750         6,081         28,669         208,500         44,989         163           665         2,000         210         1,790         12,000         982         11           4,961         4,281         4,500         26         4,474         27,000         15,146         11           1,565         7,000         3,516         3,484         42,000         36,281         9           1,565         7,000         2,761         7,239         60,000         18,331         41           6,526         4,946         23,700         6,513         17,187         17,200         70,740         7740						'						
2,287         2,656         5,000         6,527         (1,527)         30,000         14,692           5,000         1,000         1,000         6,000         -         -         -           2,287         3,163         34,750         6,081         28,669         208,500         44,989         -           4,961         4,281         4,500         210         1,790         15,146         -         -           6,526         2,000         210         1,790         44,989         1         -         -         -           4,961         4,261         26         4,474         27,000         15,146         -	30,237			l		205	2,000	(447)	5,447	30,000	30,297	(297)
5,000         5,000         30,000         -           1,000         1,000         6,000         -           1,000         1,000         6,000         -           1,000         1,000         6,000         -           1,000         2,000         210         1,790         44,989           1,565         2,000         2,761         1,790         15,146           1,565         7,000         3,516         3,484         42,000         36,281           1,565         10,000         2,761         7,239         60,000         18,331           200         23,700         6,513         17,187         17,200         70,740	445 1,690	1,690		1,087	2,287	2,656	5,000	6,527	(1,527)	30,000	14,692	15,308
2,287         1,000         1,000         6,000         -         1           2,287         3,163         34,750         6,081         28,669         208,500         44,989         11           4,961         2,000         210         1,790         12,000         982         12,146           4,961         4,281         4,500         26         4,474         27,000         15,146           1,565         7,000         3,516         3,484         42,000         36,281           200         2,761         7,239         60,000         18,331           200         23,700         6,513         17,187         142,200         70,740	Food						5,000		5,000	30,000	•	30,000
2,287         3,163         18,750         18,750         112,500         -         1           4,961         2,286         20,000         210         1,790         12,000         982         1           4,961         4,281         4,500         26         4,474         27,000         15,146         15,146           1,565         7,000         2,761         7,239         60,000         18,331         1,200           200         23,700         6,513         17,187         17,200         70,740							1,000		1,000	6,000	,	6,000
2,287         3,163         34,750         6,081         28,669         208,500         44,989         10           4,961         2,000         210         1,790         12,000         982         15,146         15,	Automatic Strike Fund Transfer (\$0.50 per member/mom)	er/mom)					18,750		18,750	112,500	•	112,500
665         2,000         210         1,790         12,000         982           4,961         4,281         4,500         26         4,474         27,000         15,146           1,565         7,000         3,516         3,484         42,000         36,281           10,000         2,761         7,239         60,000         18,331           200         1,200         1,200           4,946         23,700         6,513         17,187         142,200         70,740	30,682 1,690	1,690		1,087	2,287	3,163	34,750	6,081	28,669	208,500	44,989	163,511
665         2,000         210         1,790         12,000         982           4,946         4,281         4,500         26         4,474         27,000         15,146           1,565         7,000         3,516         3,484         42,000         36,281           10,000         2,761         7,239         60,000         18,331           200         23,700         6,513         17,187         742,200         70,740						1						
4,961         4,281         4,500         26         4,474         27,000         15,146           1,565         7,000         3,516         3,484         42,000         36,281           10,000         2,761         7,239         60,000         18,331           200         2,761         7,239         1,200           4,946         23,700         6,513         17,187         142,200         70,740				108		999	2,000	210	1,790	12,000	982	11,018
1,565         7,000         3,516         3,484         42,000         36,281           10,000         2,761         7,239         60,000         18,331           200         2,761         7,239         60,000         18,331           4,946         23,700         6,513         17,187         142,200         70,740	294 99	66		5,484	4,961	4,281	4,500	56	4,474	27,000	15,146	11,854
6,526         4,946         23,700         2,761         7,239         60,000         18,331           6,526         4,946         23,700         6,513         17,187         142,200         70,740	2,595 5,886	5,886		22,719	1,565		7,000	3,516	3,484	42,000	36,281	5,719
200     200     1,200       6,526     4,946     23,700     6,513     17,187     142,200     70,740	15,570			•		ı	10,000	2,761	7,239	000'09	18,331	41,669
6,526 4,946 23,700 6,513 17,187 142,200 70,740							200		200	1,200	٠	1,200
	18,459 5,985	5,985		28,311	6,526	4,946	23,700	6,513	17,187	142,200	70,740	71,460

SEIU Local 521 Financial Statement For the month of August 2007

	В	٦	Δ	Ь	S	>	×	Υ	Z	AQ	AR	AS
<b>-</b> -		Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul		Aug		>	YTD - Six months	S.
7		Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Budget	Actual	better/(worse)	Budget	Actual	better/(worse)
172 R	72 REPRESENTATIVE DUES	ı		I								
173S	173 SEIU \$7.65 ea	571,918	386,333	397,907	412,366	424,540	430,848	417,300	13,548	2,585,088	2,610,363	(25,275)
174 S	174 SEIU Unity Fund \$5.00ea	347,500	238,740	247,290	254,750	265,260	266,200	263,365	2,835	1,597,200	1,616,905	(19,705)
175 S	175 SEIU Retirees \$1.00ea	•		•	•	•	9	602	(542)	360	602	(242)
176 S	176 SEIU Associate Members-1.00	'	•	•		•	12	,	12	72	•	72
177 S	177 SEIU/ State Council-\$2.53ea	197,089	126,639	130,547	157,157	139,986	142,490	148,237	(5,747)	854,938	899,655	(44,717)
178 S	178 So Bay CLC \$0.55ea	20,898	11,230	11,172	16,311	14,002	12,100	16,062	(3,962)	72,600	89,675	(17,075)
179 S	179 SMCO CLC \$0.60ea	4,965	2,571	2,440	2,629	2,371	2,838	2,155	683	17,028	17,131	(103)
180 F	180 Fresno CLC \$0.35ea	510	1,619	1,950	2,237	1,967	2,800	2,198	602	16,800	10,480	6,320
181 B	181 Bakersfield CLC \$0.25ea	•	1,585	1,753	1,854	1,760	1,625	1,808	(183)	9,750	8,760	066
182 N	182 Monterey & Santa Cruz LC \$0.	1,880	2,648	3,605	3,600	4,284	3,300	3,989	(689)	19,800	20,006	(206)
183 C	183 CA Labor Fed 50% X .47ea	13,261	8,901	9,167	9,501	9,830	9,048	9,720	(673)	54,285	60,379	(6,094)
184 B	184 Building Trades-SMCO	250	250	250	275	275	250	275	(25)	1,500	1,575	(22)
185	Total Representative Due:	1,158,271	780,517	806,081	860,678	864,274	871,570	865,710	5,860	5,229,421	5,335,531	(106,110)
186												
187 T	187 TOTAL EXPENSES	2,584,563 2,280,894	2,280,894	2,112,499	2,216,213	2,418,840	2,390,457	2,607,469	(217,013)	14,342,744	14,220,478	122,265
188												
189 T	189 TOTAL INCOME LESS TOTA	(642,897)	(283,919)	559,817	(21,997)	(183,236)	(2,500)	(180,964)	(178,465)	(10,000)	(388,996)	(378,998)
190												
191 V	191 VOLUNTARY TRANSFERS					•						
192 B	192 Building Funds	•		l			•		•	•	•	•
193 A	193 Automatic Legal Defense Fund	9,375	9,375	9,375	9,375	9,375	9,375	9,375	•	56,250	56,250	,
194 S	194 Strike Fund	•					•		•			•
195	Total Transfers	9,375	9,375	9,375	9,375	9,375	9,375	9,375	•	56,250	56,250	
196 T	196 TOTAL INCOME LESS EXPE	(652,272)	(293, 294)	550,442	(31,372)	(192,611)	(11,875)	(190,339)	(178,465)	(47,500)	(426,496)	(378,998)

Financial Statement
For the month of September 2007

_	W.		<u>C</u> a						_			<u>13</u>	<b>-</b> J	F		D		_					3			ee verifie		w 1.6.	ed										ag	e :	2 (					
AS		better/(worse	(392,375)		<b>7</b> (		3	3	29,665									13,018	8 8	68,449	31,915	36.642	(226)	(6.179)	4816	(15 694	133.270	261 94		24.002	96,336	(13,769	(14.762	57,906	18,254	30,644	3232		248.141	70.474	2.10	(617,277)	(98,921)		163,023	The second secon
AR	TID - Seven mor	A	16,246,326		3 ( 7 (		<b>3</b> ( <b>3</b> )		106,655	100 5 45 07				2 407 540				248,396	2 2 2 2 3 2 3 3	518,823	1,799,942	443,409	23,882	168,695	30.184	36.694	44 888	3.527.725		472,487	258,300	24,269	35,762	14 838	132,071	21,856	36,672	72,800	897,882	74,415	9.10		2,050,463		5,578,177	
AQ		Виоры	16,638,701			2 6	20.70		77,000					C 74 C 7 C				201.414	C .53	587.272	1,768,027	480,051	23 356	162.516	35,000	21,000	177.318	3779 688		496,489	354,635	10,500	<b>21</b> 000	72,744	150,325	52,500	39,904	72,800	1,146,024	144,889	2002	(617,277)	1,961,532		6731,200 6731,200	
AC	The state of the s	better/(worse)	490,666		66.4 66.4			3	7,076	1		The second secon						7850	78 <b>4</b> .0	7,137	(23,225)	8 7.	(32)	(626)		(6,720)	15.923	18.822	and the second s	6,808	17.599	(2,520)	(2,689)	8,208	1,645	4.3Q	(388)		8,782	13,085	(519)	(88,182)	(33,848)		<b>12</b> 00 <b>2</b>	
AB	Sep	. –	2,867,623	100	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	Č	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	(S)	18,075	909				201077				0 0 0 0 0	70.197	76,759	275,800	59,864	3,369	23.837	3,223	9.720	9.408	521.110		8 5 6	33,063	4,020	5,689	<u>%</u> 2	19,830	3,196	690'9	10,400	154,935	7,813	1,519		312,638		833,748	
¥	Additional and the second	Budget	2,376,957						8	PNO POS								040	37,074	83,896	252,575	68,579	3,337	23,217	2000	300	25,331	639.953		70,927	50,662	1200	3000	10,392	21,475	7,500	5,701	10.400 0.400	163,718	20,698	90 1	(88, 182)	278,790		2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	
<b>&gt;</b>	Aug	· I	2,412,425	003 07	67C'01	202.0	3,530	2	14,081	S ASE EDE	1		Î	301 077	2012			20,267	94,930	105,810	413,449	87,339	4,878	36,212	2,615	5,993	13,090	774.211		96,949	52,793	6,410	3,757	2,164	19,248	4,349	695,9	10,400	89,661	7,613	1,501		301,414		1,075,625	
>	3	Actual	2,224,147	1	1012	200	3 3 3	<b>5</b>	199	719366				20107	5 5			34.47	32.24	70,314	258,140	60,802	3,203	23,361	- 1983 1983	9,403	4.294	506.077		60,615	29,278	3,564	5,335	3,084	22,226	4,085	7,085	10000	144,501	7 0 0 0	737		299,057		805.134	
s	Jun	Actual	2,182,557	900	008'0	909 7	4,520	44	11,659	2 407 246	6,134,610			304 077	10,100		CE: 10	34,472	32,264	71,063	248,018	55,436	3,362	23,847	2,964	5,742	5,921	483,091		61,103	29,002	434	1,756	3,639	19,717	2,457	3,236	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	114,959	12,613	1,175		260,491		743,582	
٩	May	F.	2,661,404		1 5		5.50 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00		2 6 9			A STATE OF THE STA						<b>3</b>	25.25	65,581	218,022	54,179	3080	22,889	90 S	4.275	5.067	445.146		58,608	26,190	5,877	3,634	<b>203</b>	17,106	2,431	2,334		-11,093	7.673	1,331		247,127		692,272	
Σ	Apr	in in	1,960,198	200	0,138	20,000	3,311	2,308	36,777	٠, ¼	0)6'066'1			304 027	10,100			34,472	18,304	65,828	207,013	990'29	3,321	21.396	3,440	845	929	422.143		28,766	57,561	3,012	9,614	1,049	17,963	2,298	1,969		15	12.5	1,394	1	336,154	27	758,297	
ر ا	Mar	Actual	1,937,972	3			2,011 2,001	8	3.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00					204077	5			29,293	15,732	63,466	179,499	58,721	2,669	17.152	2,889	915	5.61	375 947		102,326	30,413	93	5,977	2,209	15,982	3,040	3,410		94,218	23,737	8		293,572		669,519	
			SLo		1		j	İ		2 62		FNSES		F						5 T	search				rers/R	/ 			EXPENSE		g	- ::   	rganiz	Jmin 8	temal	ment	i.	pu	I. Visic	8			d Exp		oll Ex	
E.			S RECEIL	OME	Vidends	rillisually	ent	0	Total Misc. Income	SOA! E	7 144	GXE CALL	3	D OEEGE				ايو	זַס		anizers/Re			ervices	ial Organiz	al/Suppor	Somo Time	ries	ELATED		s Expense	Admin	Internal O	Reimb,-Ak	ReimbIn	eimburser	th Exp	It Trust Fu	Ith. Denta	no Insurar	9	e (saving)	'oll Relate	,	es & Payr	
			TOTAL DUES RECEIPTS	OTHER INCOME	Interest & Dividends	MILIOS AG	Sublease Kent	MISC. INCOME	Total Mis	TOTAL CENEDAL CLINO		SENEBAI FINN EXPENSES		CDCANIZING OFFICE	NG NIKELIN	ONI ADICO	A CARIES	Administrative	Admin Support	Directors	Internal Organizers/Research	Clerical	Facilities	Data Base Services	Temp. Internal Organizers/Re	Temp. Clerical/Support	Vacation & Comp Time	Total Salaries	PAYROLL RELATED EXPENSE	Pension	Payroll Taxes Expenses	Travel Staff-Admin	Travel Staff- Internal Organiz	Mileage/Ins. ReimbAdmin 8	Mileage/Ins. ReimbInternal	Telephone Reimbursement	Retiree Health Exp	Retire Benefit Trust Fund	Benefits (Health, Dental, Visic	Workers Comp Insurance	Recruiting exp	Vacancy Rate (saving)	Total Payroll Related Exp		Total Salaries & Payroll Ex	
-	-	2	_		Т	<u> </u>	7	7	<u>ه</u>	_	<u>-</u> - (	2 2 2 2		<u> </u>		و را <u>د</u>			13 Y	20 D	21 In	22 C	23 F	24 D	25 Te	26 Te	<u>×</u>	28	<u>6</u> 2	8	31 P	32 Tr	33 Tr	<b>3</b> 4	35 M	36 Te	37 R	38 R	38 88	8	41 R	42 V2	43		_	6 t4

Financial Statement

2007
ptember
h of Sej
e mont
For th

_	egreen.	e gar			5									vertical states		_	_	_	_	_	5	3-1	_	_	127				_	-		_	00	8		Pa	_		_						
AS		better/(worse)		92.9	6.283	39 342	5,790		24.747	(789,267	00000)	06' <u>9</u>	(265,741)			(19,403)	26,067	21,885	3500	32,949			<u>0</u> (	(480	10,675	(8,395)	6.55	(1,765)	7	<b>2</b> 9	78,926	(21.216)	78 67 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68		-27-76	200	7 9 7	36.62	FR.748	200	4 08	4 200	59 153	6,250	173,054
AR	YTD - Seven months	Actual		9 6 8		8,258	22.210	10,580	\$	789,267	30 000 30 000	2,900	968,041	The second secon		41 103	126,113	122		168,431			247,409	43,278		56,695		6,685	100,289	<b>0</b> 8	47.304	84216	<b>5</b>		20.00		5 00 3	242,370	37.052	1 283			10,847	9	3841.46
AQ		Budget				47,600	28,000	10,500	25,200				202,300			21,700	152,180	23.60	3,500	200,480			44. 40.	42.798	10,675	48,300	200	8	127,400	24,500	26,230	900			70.00	2,500	49.000	080.080	26.000	3 500		4.200	70,000	7,000	567,200
AC		petter/(worse)	The second secon	(95 / 9)	1 000	5,677	000,4	The second secon	3,409	(175,580)	(10,000)	(1,500)	(979,730)	Property of the second of the		(6,725)	(1,836)	3,300	8	<b>(4</b> )	The second secon		) (	(216)	122	(1,196)		9	3,239	(1,458)	9,719	(1,959)	8		A 071	200	0.050	2000	7.275	500	2,000	009	4	1,000	317
AB	Sep	Actual		16,036 1000		1,123		1,500	6	175,580	10,000	<u>8</u> .	208,630	The state of the s		9,825	23,576			33.50			21,282	6,330		960 i	7,329	8	14961	4958	8,314	10,959	92026		OCU V	256	9.650	3,00	202	100			5		<b>47</b> ,829
₹		Budget		3 8	38	6,800	4,000	f.500	3,600				<b>78</b> 900			3. 180	2 240	3,300	8	% 5 5			22,4	6,14	1,525	006'9	82	<b>8</b> 2	18,200	3200	18033	000 6	106,22,2		5	3 8	2000	40,000	8 000	909	2,000	8	10,000	8	79,600
<u> </u>	Aug	Actual		4 000	3	1,227	4,191	1,500	262	21,011	10,000	2,200	62,391			1,758	20,117	7 12		21,875		0000	32,827	6,330		6,853	7,329	800	17,166	5,432	5,417	14,082	96,237		12 752	22,732	7 008	28 896	5384	5.5.5			25	0	55,318
>	3	Actual	The property of the second	- COO	3	1,026	4.249	1.500	( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )	167,420	000.01	2,280	198,395		la di	791	27,134			35,045			37,59	- 9 130		11,402	7,329	99	15.02 26.02	(1,337)	9,216	13,286	979,626		4		2 Z Z	32 548		000			324		60,312
S	Jun	Actual		4 000	00	1,227	3,893	1,500		39,412	1		68,032			8,374	11,237		x 1.	19,611		01010	37,543	6,130		11,425	7,329	661	16,099	2,328	8,089	17,551	107,255		1 474	1/4/	5 770	43.588	6 270	2			111		57,876
<u>a</u>	May	Actual	100 L		302	2,454	3,119	   280   1		14,356			33,732			7	21.791	ξ. Σ.		24,787	Part of the second seco		36,631	613 9		11,793	7,329	925	18,083  -	2. 53 65	5433	8,608			5	279	794.0	25.75 25.75	) O E			Ligo Car	2		59,564
Σ	Apr	Actual		000 7	8 6	1,200	3.074	1.500	1,111	189,849		1	207,663		in the second seco	3,909	8,995			12,905			37,673	6,114		273	7,383	991	5,504	2550.93	5,417	11,783	77,369		100	124	4 355 A	44 848	9.575	200			2,482	750	63,051
-	Mar	Actual			3,5	SCR. MRY	3685	.500		181.638		 	199, 198			7545	13,262			20,807			32,716	9 1		6,853	والمالة	2,157	13,135	7.16	5,417	7.948	76,806				70 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		200				8		60 195
			i	œ.	chapter	Free Life insurance to members (SCR, MRY	ursemer			N EXPE	xpense	,   	sno		EGAL		, sal			s & Leg		:			srtment		stsonville	*,   	,s		perty Ta	Securi	cilities	OFFICES	2			000	2 8 00 m		Data		Service		fices
8			MISCELLANEOUS	Agency Fee/Assoc, Exp.	Capital Fund Expense Admin exp share w. chapter	insurance to	Chapter Fund Reimbursemen	Dodge Scholarship	Welfare	UHW & L1877 ADMIN EXPE	Hanford - Shawhan Expense	Ex-Board Stipend	Total Miscellaneous		ARBITRATIONS & LEGAL	ons Fees		sts	neous	Total Arbitrations & Leg		ES	Rent-SJC + 535SJC	Ş	Rent-Bakersfield apartment	sno	Rent-Santa Cruz, Watsonville	alia		Sundries	Gen. Liab. Ins. & Property Ta	Building Maintenance/Securi	Total Admin - Facilities	ADMINISTRATIVE DEFICES	TO THE	d. rees	ndriee	Office Equipment Leases	Conferent Maintenance &	flore	Research Material & Data	Dues Implementation	Computer Database Services	Snoat	Total Admin - Offices
			_	_	_	Free Life	Chapter	Dodge S	_				L.		ARBITR	Arbitrations Fees	Retainer	Court Costs	Miscellaneous	Total		_	_	Rent-RWC	Rent-Ba	Rent-Fresno	Rent-Sal	Rent-Visalia					Total	ADMINIS		Audit/Acc. rees	_	_			Research	_		Miscellaneous	Total
L	-	~	<del>8</del>	49	2 2	22	23	Z,	22	28	23	28	29	8	9	8	63	8	62	99	67	88	60	2	7	72	73	74	75	76	7	78	2	8 2	5	3 6	3 2	Š	3 8	3 6	8	8	8	9	88

Financial Statement

2007
 For the month of September

Jun Jul Aug	3 11,160 3,342 1,787 8,000 3,609 2,473 411 4,000	310 251 303	2,642 8,675 8,709	20 135 1,000 12 35,964 26,698 23,416 38,500	176 21000	95 500	874	2000	5,076	323 177 568 800 800 800 800 800 800 800 800 800 8	200	777 5,644 (4,600		000	4,000	29 2000	9,902 4,091 2,004	1298	600	300	): (N)	
Apr May	1799 7.881		2	29,125 31,342		<b>S</b>	1200	of and of		1,392 259		8,848 3,662	Control of the contro	Services of the services of th	Education & Training Committee Meeting & Materials		9,412 9,579	<b>4</b>				

	7007
•	ptembe
	h of Se
•	ie mont
•	For th

В	2			39 SOCIAL & ECONOMIC JUSTICE	Committee Meetings	Conferences	Contributions/Solidarity	*** \$1.00 PER MEMBER	Total Social & Economic		<b>46 MEMBER INVOLVEMENT</b>	47 Memorabilia/Give away Merr	148 Awards/Recognition	149 Planning & Event Prep	50 Ex Board / Advisory Board Reimbursement	51 Rally Rental & Bus	Member Reimbursement/Lost time	Transportation & Vehicle Exp	Total Member Involveme	die.	56 NEGOTIATIONS		58 Meetings & Supplies	59 Negotiations Committee Food	60 Strike Preparations	161 Automatic Strike Fund Transfer (\$0.50 per member/mom)	Total Negotiations	A STATE OF THE STA	164 MEETINGS & EVENTS	65 Executive Board Meetings	Steward/Council meetings	521 Party & other events	68 Advisory Board Meetings	169 Miscellaneous	Total Meetings & Events
_	Mar		ן		· (.	778	। द्व	4,588	5,867	i E.		927	। 20 2		ement			<u>첫</u>	1,561			30,237	<b>4</b> 5			O per men	30,682			  -  -	294 	2,595	15,570		18,469
Σ	Apr	Arthird	Jena					312	312		atmirite.	20,716	(Col)	(4.3)**.	5"1	3,570	283	ALL'S E	24,569				1,690	612.5		(mom/aqu	1,690			terior.	66	5,886			5,985
ď	May				8			1,82	1204			17,280				1945	831	Total	20,056				1883		FF T		1087			8	5.48g	22,719			28,311
S	Jun	bot show	Vergal		524		350		874			8,235				760			8,995				2,287		2	-	2,287	val	- line	-1.5	4,961	1,565			6,626
>		ं - दिस्				: :: : \\ :	1	2,487	2,487			21,247				ho.	1414		22,661			203	2,656		700 pm					665	4,281				4,946
>	Aug	7	Acina		359	193	300	8,844	969'6			82,260				74	569		82,903			(447)	6,527				6,081	, E (1)		210	26	3,516	2,761		6,513
₹	Jaila	. Justinia			8	300	200	3,125	3,925			2,000	300	300	200	4 000	2,000	8	16,600			2,00	5,000	2 2 3	901	18,750	34,760			2000	4500	2,000 7	10,000	82	23,700
AB	des								3,246			437					1,218		6,623				11,824				11,824								
AC			perter/(Worse)		e e	ੜ	2	124		ir .i		:	i Section	e e	200	31			8,977			5,000	(6,824	200	8	18,750	22,926			2,000	4.500	2,000	0000	201	23,701
AQ								() 21.87.5						8					en en				2007				243,250							40	
AR	YTD - Seven month							5 20 659					200				43.5						26.516				56.813								
AS			Detter/(wors					4 2 K											28 188			476	8	35,000		G-60-24	186 437			2	1635	610	51 669	1,40	5

ment	
al Statement	
œ	

For the month of September 2007

		(	Cas	se	5	:0	8-	CV	-0	02	21	3-	JF	-		Do	oci	un	ner	nt <i>!</i>	53-	-18	3		Filed	07	/18	3/20	008
AS	MS	(essigni)//essigni	(62-679)	(65,707)	(182)	8	(56.268)	(17 139)	19	401	572	(728)	(10.238)		(238,500)	-	(89,333)						n did did in the second of the	A PART OF THE PART	(462,054)				
AR	YTD - Seven months		3108915	1,929,101	802		1,053,695	101,839	669'61	15,589	10,803	23,828	73570	1850	6,339,491		16,832,633		(479,662)			65,625		65,625	(646, 77)				
AQ		Budget	3.075.936	1,863,400	420	3	997,427	8.70 0	19,866	19,600	1326	23,100	63.333	<b>178</b>	6,100,991		16,733,201		(17,600)			65,625		65,625	(65,125)				
AC		better/(worse)	(67,704)	(45,996)	8	3	(11,551)	8	22	(2,309)	<b>E</b>	(523)	(4.143)	(82)	(132,390)		(221,599)		<b>3191</b>						276/142				
AB	des	Actial	498 552	312,196			154,040	12,164	2,568	60.'S	2,042	3,823	13,191	275	1,003,960		2,612,055		35					9,375	264,268				
¥		Budget	430,848	266,200	8	2	142,490	12,100	2,838	2,800	1,625	3,300	9,048	250	871,570		2,390,457		<b>8</b>		200 (35) 200 (35) 200 (35) 200 (35)	9,375		9,375	(1(;875)				
Y	Ang	Actual	417,300	263,365	602		148,237	16,062					9,720	275	865,710		2,607,469		(180,964)					9,375	(190,339)				
^	100	- 188 - 188	75	265,260	37		139,986		TE M					22	864,274		2,418,840		(8323			9(3)		9,375	(192,611				
S	nn	Actual	412.366	254,750	•		157,157	16,311	2,629	2,237	1,854	3,600	9,501	275	860,678		2,216,213		(21,997)			S. S. S.		9,375	(31,372)				
Ь	May	Actual	397 907	247 290	Na .		130,547	11,172	2,440	1,950	1,753	3,605	9,167	250	806,081		2,112,499		559,817			1970		9,375	550,442				
W	Apr	Actual	386.333				126,639	11,230	2,571	1,619	1,585	2,648	8,901	250	780,517		2,584,563 2,280,894		(283,919)			1	otanaji	9,375	(293,294)				
, f	Mar	Actual	571.918	347.500		To the	197,089	20,898	4,965	20		1,880	13,261	250	1,158,271		2,584,563		(642,897)					9,375	(662,272)				
В			173 SEIU \$7.65 ea	74 SEIU Unity Fund \$5.00ea	175 SEIU Retirees \$1.00ea	176 SEIU Associate Members-1.(	77 SEIU/ State Council-\$2.53ea	178 So Bay CLC \$0.55ea	179 SMCO CLC \$0.60ea	180 Fresno CLC \$0.35ea	181 Bakersfield CLC \$0.25ea	182 Monterey & Santa Cruz LC \$	183 CA Labor Fed 50% X .47ea	184 Building Trades-SMCO	Total Representative Du		187 TOTAL EXPENSES	3	189 TOTAL INCOME LESS TOT	191 VOLUNTARY TRANSFERS	ng Funds	193 Automatic Legal Defense Fu	Fund	Total Transfers	196 TOTAL INCOME LESS EXP				
	-	2	173 SEIU \$7.65 ea	174 SEIU	175 SEIU	176 SEIU	177 SEIU,	178 So Ba	179 SMCC	180 Fresh	181 Baker	182 Monte	183 CA La	184 Buildi	185 To	186	187 TOTA	188	189 TOTA	191 VOLU	192 Building Funds	193 Auton	194 Strike Fund	195 Tot	196 TOTA				

SEIU Local 521 Financial Statement - For the month of November 2007

Cas	<del>- 5</del> .	00	)-(	٧٠	-0	Ų	4	10	)-U	71	_	_		<i></i>	u		C	111	. :	ာ	,-	19	_		<u> </u>	IIC	·u	U	<i>' '</i>	10	2/2		UC	, —		ГС	ige	;	
45 Total Salaries & Payroll Exp	42 Vacancy Rate (saving) 43 Total Payroll Related Expe				38 Retire Benefit Trust Fund	_	36 Telephone Reimbursement		33 I ravel Statt- Internal Organiza	32 Travel Staff-Admin	31 Payroll Taxes Expenses	30 Pension	29 PAYROLL RELATED EXPENSES	28 Total Salaries		26 Temp. Clerical/Support	_	24 Data Base Services	23 Facilities	22 Clerical	21 Internal Organizers/Research	20 Directors	19 Admin Support	18 Administrative	17 SALARIES	15 ORGANIZING OFFSET	14	13 GENERAL FUND EXPENSES	12	11 TOTAL GENERAL FUND IN	<u> </u>	o Misc. Hoome		6 SMIHSS Administrative Reim	ш	4 OTHER INCOME		-3	8
/roll Ext 669,519	g) ted Expr 293,572			200000000000000000000000000000000000000	und 10,400	1	ement 3 040				30	102,326		3	5			17,152	2,669		_	63,466	15,732	29,293		ET 301,077		PENSES		UND IN 1,941,666		3 694	3,311			1,557,572		Mar	٢
758,297	336,154	1,394	7,613	188,515	10.400	7 080	2.298	17 063	9,614	3,012	57,561	28,766		422,143	656	645	3,440	21,396	3,321	67,066	207,013	65,828	18,304	34,472		301,077			- 1	1,996,975 2	30,77	26 777	3,311	25,000	6,158	1,300,130	ı	Apr	Z
692,272	247,127	1,331	7,613	111,093	10,400	2 2 2 2	2.431	17 106	3,634	5,877	26,190	58,608		445,146	5,067	4.275	5,190	22,889	3,080	54,179	218,022	65,581	32,391	34,472	1	301,077	! 			2,672,316	10,012	10 913	3,311	I	7,601	404,100,1	Actual	Мау	ъ
743,582	260,491	1,175	12,613	114,959	10.400	3 236	2 457	10 717	1,756	434	29,002	61,103		483,091	5,921	5,742	2,964	23,847	3,362	55,436	248,018	71,063	32,264	34,472		301,077			- 1	2,194,216	1,000	11 650	4,626		6,986	2,102,337	ı	Jun	s
805,134	299,057	1,271	7,613	144.501	10,400	7 085	4.085	3,004	5,335	3,564	29,278	60,615	! 1	506,077	4,294	9,403	9,863	23,361	3,203	60,802	258,140	70,314	32,224	34,472	1	301,077			١	2,235,604	1,40	11 457	3,457		7,767	۷,224, ۱4/	1	Jul	<
1,075,625	301,414	1,501	7,613	89.661	10,400	5 5 5 6	4 349	2, 104	3,757	6,410	52,793	96,949	i	774,211	13,090	5,993	2,615	36,212	4,878	87,339	413,449	105,810	54,556	50,267		301,077				2,426,506	17,000	14 081	3,536		10,529	2,412,423	1	Aug	~
833,748	312,638	1,519	7,613	154,935	10.400	6069	3.196	19 830	5,689	4,020	33,063	64,119		521,110	9,408	9,720	3,223	23,837	3,369	59,864	275,800	76,759	28,182	30,948	1	301,077	; 			2,885,698	10,070	18 075	3,391	ı	15,063	2,007,025	Actual	Sep	AB
845,041	313,285	371	5,776	152,707	10,400	0.00	5 281	24,200	2,610	883	33,815	66,395		531,755	7,993	14,533	4,349	24,277	3,374	61,663	282,380	70,612	28,101	34,472		301,077				2,619,416	17,000	24 098	3,761		20,039	2,393,310	ı	Oct	Æ
818,743	(88,182) <b>278,790</b>	1,000	20,698	163,718	10,400	5 701	7.500	21 475	3,000	1,500	50,662	70,927	,	539,953	25,331	3,000	5,000	23,217	3,337	68,579	252,575	83,896	37,674	37,345		301,077				2,387,957	1,000	11 000	3,600	5,000	2,400	2,370,837	Budget		AG
809,762	281,265	1,409	7,718	126,609	10.400	4 352	4 044	18 410	7,393	2,145	31,130	66,529	,	528,497	15,609	6,525		24,006	6,496	61,107	278,360	73,821	28,101	34,472		301,077				2,391,379	33,000	33 089 -	3,536	25,000	4,553	2,330,280		Nov	AH
8,980	(88,182) <b>(2,475)</b>	(409)	12,980	37,109	- 10	1 348	3,456	3,056	(4,393) 9,276	(645)	19,532	4,398		11,455	9,722	(3,525)	5,000	(789)	(3, 159)	7,472	(25,784)	10,075	9,573	2,873		(0)				3,421	12,000	22 089	(64)	20,000	2,153		better/(worse)		A
7,368,686	(793,642) <b>2,509,112</b>	9,000	186,286	1.473.459	93,600	51 305	67 500	193,320	27,000	13,500	455,959	638,343		4,859,574	227,980	27,000	45,000	208,949	30,029	617,208	2,273,178	755,064	339,062	336,104		2,709,697				21,491,616	00,000	99 000	32,400	45,000	21,600	21,382,010	Budget	YTL	AQ
7,232,981	2,645,004	10,881	87,909	1,177,199	93,600	47,093	31.182	175 310	45,766 20,213	27,297	323,245	605,411	,	4,587,977	67,650	57,752	34,533	216,979	33,752	566,179	2,360,682	663,256	269,854	317,340		2,709,694				21,363,775	100,041	163 841	32,240	50,000	78,696	21,199,934		YTD - Nine months	AR
135,705	(793,642) (1 <b>35,891)</b>	(1,881)	98,377	296,260	1,4,1	4 212	36.318	18.065	(18,766)	(13,797)	132,715	32,933	,	271,596	160,329	(30,752)	10,467	(8,029)	(3,723)	51,029	(87,504)	91,808	69,208	18,764		2				(127,841)	07,041	2,905	(160)	5,000	57,096	(192,002)	better/(worse)		AS

SEIU Local 521 Financial Statement - For the month of November 2007

<del></del>	a	3		Э.	$\stackrel{\smile}{-}$			v -		<u> </u>	_	_	<del>ار</del>	<u>ا ر</u>	_		_		_	7		T	711	_	_	<b>)</b> -		9	_	_		IIC	u	$\overline{}$			<b>)</b> /			0				аÇ	<u>,                                    </u>	<u>ي</u>	_
92	92	9	90	89	88	87	8	85	_	_	_	8			8	77	76	75	4	ù	1	担	1 2				66	65	64	63	62		95		57	56	55	54	53	52	51	$\overline{}$		48	٥	_	╛
COMMUNICATIONS	Total Admin - Offices	Miscellaneous	Computer Database Services	Dues Implementation	Research Material & Data	Contributions	Equipment Maintenance & Re	Office Equipment Leases	Office Sundries	Subscriptions	Audit/Acct. Fees	ADMINISTRATIVE - OFFICES		Total Admin - Facilities	Building Maintenance/Securit	Gen. Liab. Ins. & Property Ta	Kitchen Sundries	Utilities	Rent-Visalia	Rent-Santa Cruz, Watsonville		Rent-Bakersrield apartment	Zeni-ZvVC	Rent-SJC + 535SJC	TACILITIES	EACH THES	Total Arbitrations & Lega	Miscellaneous	Court Costs	Retainer	Arbitrations Fees	ARBITRATIONS & LEGAL	Total Miscellaneous	Ex-Board Stipend	Hanford - Shawhan Expense:	UHW & L1877 ADMIN EXPE	Good & Welfare	Dodge Scholarship	Chapter Fund Reimbursemer	Free Life insurance to members	Admin exp share w. chapter	Capital Fund Expense	Agency Fee/Assoc. Exp.	MISCELLANEOUS			в
	50,195	ı	881				3,628	36,592	8,184	1	910			76.806	7,948	5,417	1,716	13,135	2,157	/50	6,853	)	6,114	32,716	2,1		20,807			13,262	7,545		199,198	· ·		181,638		1,500	3,685	(SCR, MRY &	375	1,000	11,000	) chiga	Actual	Mar	۷
	63,051	750	2,482				9,575	44,816	4,355	171	901			77.359	11,783	5,417	2550.93	5,504	661	7,383	2/3	242	5,114	37,673	27 27		12,905			8,995	3,909		207,663	'		189,849		1,500	3,074	1,200	40	1,000	11,000	Coug	Actual	Apr	3
	59,564		777	ı		283	5,919	25,726	13,187	647	13,025		; 	98.187	8,608	5,433	2,153	18,083	925	7,329	11,793	;	6,130	6 130	1		24,787		1,215	21,791	1,781		33,732			14,356		1,500	3,119	2,454	302	1,000	11,000	) chair	<u>.</u>	May	P
	57,876		777				6,270	43,588	5,770		1,471			107.255	17,551	8,089	2,328	16,099	661	7,329	11,425		6,130	37,643	2		19,611			11,237	8,374		58,032		ı	39,412		1,500	3,893	1,227		1,000	11,000	Code	^ <b>~</b> !!!	Jun	S
	50,312	ı	324	ı		1,000	5,751	32,548	9,429	115	1,145	I		99,626	13,286	9,216	(1,337)	15,341	661	7,329	11,402	}	6,130	6130	,	11	35,045			27,134	7,911		198,395	2,200	10,000	167,420		1,500	4,249	1,026		1,000	11,000	7000	م ا	Ju	<
	55,318	0	52				5,384	28,896	7,008	226	13,752			96.237	14,082	5,417	5,432	17,166	800	7,329	6,853	)	6,330	32,827	2		21,875			20,117	1,758		52,391	2,200	10,000	21,011	262	1,500	4,191	1,227		1,000	11,000	Condi	اور الح	Aug	<b>~</b>
	47,829		5,553				725	31,213	9,050	259	1,029			93.028	10,959	8,314	4,958	14,961	800	7,329	8,096	;	0,330	31,282 6 330	3		33,401			23,576	9,825		208,630	1,500	10,000	175,580	191	1,500		1,123		1,000	17,736		^ <del>-</del>	Sep	AB
	59,131		312				4,767	37,079	10,462	38	6,474			88.565	9,744	11,752	698	12,308	800	5,601	8,368	,	0,330	32,964 6 330	2		56,686			53,194	3,492		63,485	1,700	10,000	26,741		1,500	10,845	699		1,000	11.000	School	1	Oct	AE
	79,600	1,000	10,000	600	2,000	500	8,000	40,000	7,000	500	10,000			106.227	9.000	18,033	3,500	18,200	700	7,333	6,900	1,525	6,114	34,922	,		28,640	500	3,300	21,740	3,100		28,900				3,600	1,500	4,000	6,800	1,000	1,000	11.000	pader	Distant		AG
	69,810		52			<b>14</b> 0	3,091	45,453	6,777	447	13,850		: ; ; ;	117.778	7.996	32,611	3,752	13,206	800	7,329	7,196		6,330	38,558 6 330	1		32,193			24,661	7,532		111,819	2,000	10,000	69,603		1,500	4,484	12,231		1,000	11,000	Condi		Nov	¥
	9,790	1,000	9,948	600	2,000	360	4,909	(5,453)	223	53	(3,850)		(11,001,	(11.551)	1.004	(14,578)	(252)	4,994	(100)	4	(296)	1,525	(215)	(3,636)	) ) )		(3,553)	500	3,300	(2,921)	(4,432)		(82,919)	(2,000)	(10,000)	(69,603)	3,600		(484)	(5,431)	1,000			petter/(wolse)	Harlimorea)	-	≥
	716,400	9,000	90,000	5,40 <b>0</b>	18,000	4,500	72,000	360,000	63,000	4,500	90,000		000	956 042	81.000	162,296	<b>3</b> 1,500	163,800	6, <b>3</b> 00	65,997	62,100	13,725	55,026	314,298	)		257,760	4,500	29,700	195,660	27,900		260,100	'		•	32,400	13,500	36,000	61,200	9,000	9,000	99.000	padder			A Q
	513,087	750	11,212			1,422	45,110	325,911	74,221	1,903	52,557		001,000	854 839	101.956	91,666	22,250	125,804	8,265	57,710	72,259	1	55,936	318,991	>		257,310	,	1,215	203,968	52,128		1,133,345	9,600	50,000	885,611	453	13,500	37,540	21,188	717	9,000	105.736	Actual	^~i.al	YTD - Nine months	AR
	203,313	8,250	78,788	5,400	18,000	3,078	26,890	34,089	(11,221)	2,597	37,443		101,00	101 202	(20.956)	70,630	9,250	37,996	(1,965)	8,287	(10,159)	13,725	(21,6)	(4,693)			450	4,500	28,485	(8,308)	(24,228)		(873,245)	(9,600)	(50,000)	(885,611)	31,947		(1,540)	40,012	8,283		(6.736)	petter/(worse)	hottor/(word)	-	AS

SEIU Local 521
Financial Statement - For the month of November 2007

13/	136	3 5	2 2	2 2	1	3	3 2	3 2	3 6	122	126	125	124	123	122	121	120	118	117	116	115	114	113	112		109	108	107	3 3	105	103	Į	3						3	,	T	]
Total Political/Social Inv	Sus	133 Special Printing	TOT POIS & OUI VEYS	Polls & Surveys	133 Electoral Staff/ Activity	Conferences	131 Committee Meetings	l egal	129 Issues Account	128 Candidates Account (\$0.10 p.	BOLITICAL/SOCIAL INVOLVEME	Total Education & Traini	124 Industry Training Events	Education & Training Committee Meeting & Materials	122 Executive Board	121 Steward & Chief Steward Training	120 EDUCATION & TRAINING	Total Staff Training	Tuition ReimOPEIU	Tuition ReimInternal Organizers	115 Executive Staff	114 Clerical Staff	113 Staff - representation & politic	112 Director Training	STAFF MEETING & TRAINING	Total Conferences/Milea	108 Misc. Members-Reimbursed	107 Industries & Members Conferences	106 International Convention	104 Stall-Misc. Conferences	103 CONFERENCES/MILEAGE			Professional Fees/Translations	Postage	Telephone & Internet	Website/Station/communicati	Paper	Printing		a	2
8,021					1		91		.,000	7 030 -	NT _			leeting & Ma			1	7,102	ı		2,742		4,360					S				1	36.998		7,775	13 223	719	2,445	Actual 12 836	Mar		
9,498							20		0,712	0 413				terials				8,848				1,392	7,456										29.125	55	3 484	11 744	799	1 244	Actual 11 799	Apr	3	:
9,647	, i			1	ı		S .		0,0,0	0 570 -						ı	1	3,652	ı	ı	886	259	662	1,845		1,500		1,500				1	31.342	120	7 296	13 188	453	2.404	Actual 7 881 -	мау	7	,
11,199						.,	1 298		0,002	9 900		29		29				1,354			1,030	323				874	604	95		1/3	176		35.964	195	2.642	18 048	310	3.609	Actual 11 160	Jun	U	,
4,846			147	247	0	509			1,00	4 001								177				177											26.698		8.675	11 957	251	2.473	Actual	الا	<	5
4,408							401	1,000	2,003	2004								5,644				568	5,076		!	575				373	573		23.416		8 709	12 207	303	411	Actual 1 787	Aug	-	<u> </u>
4,076								1,000	2 038	2028		3,862			3,862			9,142			968		6,286	1,888		27,981		27,301		000	600		23.628	405	5.776	9 544	1 867	1.607	Actual 4 429	vep	AB	ì
4,095								1,017	2,047	2 048		563		439	124			6,012			2,053	69	1,616	2,274		2,420	846		!	2 175	(601)		39.496	90	13 169	13 463	1 230	5 620	Actual 5 923	CC	AF	1
13,325	22	200	3 6	500	300	2 000	300	4 000	- 000	6,000		6,800	2,000	4,000	500	300		14,600	500	500	800	800	10,000	2,000		4,500	500	1,000		1,000	3	,	38.500	1,000	6,000	18 000	1 500	4.000	Budget 8 000		AG	\$
5,800					,010	1 528	239	!	2,017	2017		449				449		12,273			143	899	11,231			2,248		2,248					26.895	1	8.216	10.927	278	814	Actual 6 661	Nov	AH	-
7,525		200	3 6	500	300	472	61	4 000	(2 017)	3 083		6,351	2,000	4,000	500 (	(149)		2,327	500	500	657	(99)	(1,231)	2,000		2,252	500	(1,248)	- 30	1,000	3		11,605	1,000	(2.216)	7 073	1 222	3.186	better/(worse)		2	<u>}</u>
119,925	225	3,800	4,500	4 500	2 700	18,700	2 700	36 000	- 000,	54 000		61,200	18,000	36,000	4,500	2,700		131,400	4,500	4,500	7,200	7,200	90,000	18,000		40,500	4,500	9,000		9,000	000		346.500	9.000	54 000	162,000	13 500	36,000	72 000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	AQ	<u>}</u>
67,597			747	247	1,000	2 036	2 183	, ,	8 105	49 020		4,903	ı	468	3,986	449		54,202		,	7,821	3,687	36,687	6,007		35,598	1,450	31,145	' ;	2 175	830	!	273.563	865	65.741	114 300	6 211	20,626	ACtual	YID - Nine months	AX	ć
58,334	222	, 000	4,7,000	4 253	2 700	15 964	517	36 000	(8 105)	4 980		56,297	18,000	35,532	514	2,251		77,198	4,500	4,500	(621)	3,513	53,313	11,993		4,902	3,050	(22, 145)		6.825	47 470		72.937	8.135	(11.741)	47.700	7 289	15.374	better/(worse)		AU	2

SEIU Local 521 Financial Statement - For the month of November 2007

	<u>.</u>	<u></u>		_	_	_	ī	_			_	1_	1	1-1	_	1-1	_	1	<u>.</u>		_			<u>,</u>	-	7	1	1	1	_	_		a( ⊐Ì	,	$\check{\Box}$	Ť
71	3	69	88	67	66	65	64	163	162	161	160	159	158	157	56	155	154	153	152	151	50	49	48/	47	46	145	144	43	42	41)(	6	39	138	N		$\dashv$
4	Total Meetings & Events	169 Miscellaneous	168 Advisory Board Meetings	167 521 Party & other events	166 Steward/Council meetings	165 Executive Board Meetings	164 MEETINGS & EVENTS		Total Negotiations	Automatic Strike Fund Transfer (\$0.50 per member/mom)	Strike Preparations	Negotiations Committee Food	Meetings & Supplies	Printing Contracts	156 NEGOTIATIONS		Total Member Involveme	Transportation & Vehicle Exp	Member Reimbursement/Lost time	Rally Rental & Bus	150 Ex Board / Advisory Board Reimbursement	149 Planning & Event Prep	148 Awards/Recognition	147 Memorabilia/Give away Mem	146 MEMBER INVOLVEMENT		Total Social & Economic	143 *** \$1.00 PER MEMBER	142 Contributions/Solidarity	141 Conferences	140 Committee Meetings	139 SOCIAL & ECONOMIC JUSTICE				В
	18,459		15,570	2,595	294			ı	30,682	\$0.50 per me <u>rr</u>	I		445	30,237		ı	1,561	134	Ō I	I	ursement	ı	500	927			5,867	4,588	500	778	ı		ı	Actual	Mar _	٠
	5,985			5,886	99				1,690	ber/mom)			1,690				24,569		283	3,570				20,716			312	312						Actual	Apr	<b>X</b>
1	28,311			22,719	5,484	108		I	1,087	l	I	ı	1,087	1		ı	20,056		831	1,945		I	I	17,280			1,204	1,182	l	I	22 _	I		Actual	May	P
	6,526			1,565	4,961				2,287				2,287				8,995			760				8,235			874		350		524			Actual	Jun	S
	4,946				4,281	665		ı	3,163	ı	ı		2,656	507		i	22,661		1,414	ı	I	ı	ı	21,247	ı		2,487	2,487	ı	I	ı	1	1 1	Actual	Jul	<
	6,513		2,761	3,516	26	210			6,081				6,527	(447)			82,903		569	74				82,260			9,696	8,844	300	193	359			Actual	Aug	~
	3	(1)	I		I		ı	ı	11,824	ı	ı	ı	11,824	1		!	6,623	l	1,218	1,034	I	I	I	4,371		ı	3,246	3,246	I	í	ı	1		Actual	Sep	AB
	57,684		43,057		14,037	589			16,457				5,805	10,652			5,655	1		45				5,610			1,407	1,073	250		84			Actual	Oct	Æ
	23,700	200	10,000	7,000	4,500	2,000			34,750	18,750	1,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	•		15,600	500	5,000	4,000	500	300	300	5,000			3,925	3,125	200	300	300			Budget		AG
	6,581			5,738		842			1,462				1,462				(162)		548					(710)			5,927	5,557	300		70			Actual	Nov	AH
	17,119	200	10,000	1,262	4,500	1,158			33,288	18,750	1,000	5,000	3,538	5,000			15,762	500	4,452	4,000	500	300	300	5,710			(2,002)	(2,432)	(100)	300	230			better/(worse)		2
	213,300	1,800	90,000	63,000	40,500	18,000			312,750	168,750	9,000	45,000	45,000	45,000			140,400	4,500	45,000	36,000	4,500	2,700	2,700	45,000			35,325	28,125	1,800	2,700	2,700			Budget	<b>*</b>	AQ
	135,004	(3)	61,389	42,019	29,183	2,414			74,733				33,784	40,949			172,861	134	4,863	7,428		•	500	159,935			31,019	27,290	1,700	971	1,058			Actual	YTD - Nine months	AR
			28,611						238,017	168,750	9,000	45,000	11,216	4,051			(32,461)	4,366	40,137	28,572	4,500	2,700	2,200	(114,935)			4,306	835	100	1,729	1,642			better/(worse)	ν,	AS

SEIU Local 521
Financial Statement - For the month of November 2007

(210,033)	(316,907)	(106,875)	38,186	(11,875) 26,312	(11,875)	201,959	264,268	(190,339)	(192,611)	(31,372)	550,442	(293,294)	(652,272)	196 TOTAL INCOME LESS EXPI
	84,375	84,375		9,375	9,375	9,375	9,375	9,375	9,375	9,375	9,375	9,375	9,375	195 Total Transfers
														194 Strike Fund
	84,375	84,375		9,375	9,375	9,375	9,375	9,375	. 9,375	9,375	9,375	9,375	9,375	193 Automatic Legal Defense Fur
•		,		An Their while the seembergage						Pro proportion of the control of the	i i		•	192 Building Funds
														191 VOLUNTARY TRANSFERS
											ı			190
(210,033)	(232,532)	(22,500)	38,186	35,687	(2,500)	211,334	273,643	(180,964)	(183,236)	(21,997)	559,817	(283,919)	(642,897)	189 TOTAL INCOME LESS TOT,
											I			188
(82,192)	21,596,307	21,514,116	34,765	2,355,692	2,390,457	2,408,082	2,612,055	2,607,469	2,418,840	2,216,213	2,112,499	2,280,894	2,584,563	187 TOTAL EXPENSES
											ı			186
(207,446)	8,051,577	7,844,131	19,791	851,779	871,570	860,307	1,003,960	865,710	864,274	860,678	806,081	780,517	1,158,271	185 Total Representative Du
(150)	2,400	2,250	(25)	275	250	275	275	275	275	275	250	250	250	184 Building Trades-SMCO
(11,630)	93,057	81,428	(662)	9,709	9,048	9,778	13,191	9,720	9,830	9,501	9,167	8,901	13,261	183 CA Labor Fed 50% X .47ea
(1,052)	30,752	29,700	(297)	3,597	3,300	3,326	3,823	3,989	4,284	3,600	3,605	2,648	1,880	182 Monterey & Santa Cruz LC \$1
113	14,512	14,625	(275)	1,900	1,625	1,810	2,042	1,808	1,760	1,854	1,753	1,585		181 Bakersfield CLC \$0.25ea
5,180	20,020	25,200	570	2,230	2,800	2,200	5,109	2,198	1,967	2,237	1,950	1,619	510	180 Fresno CLC \$0.35ea
703	24,839	25,542	250	2,588	2,838	2,553	2,568	2,155	2,371	2,629	2,440	2,571	4,965	179 SMCO CLC \$0.60ea
(16, 173)	125,073	108,900	775	11,325	12,100	11,910	12,164	16,062	14,002	16,311	11,172	11,230	20,898	178 So Bay CLC \$0.55ea
(48,791)	1,331,198	1,282,406	4,333	138,156	142,490	139,346	154,040	148,237	139,986	157,157	130,547	126,639	197.089	177 SEIU/ State Council-\$2.53ea
108		108	12		12						•			176 SEIU Associate Members-1.0
(113)	653	540	59	_	60	50		602	1	,				175 SEIU Retirees \$1.00ea
(58,911)	2,454,711	2,395,800	5,625	260,575	266,200	265,035	312,196	263,365	265,260	254,750	247,290	238,740	347,500	174 SEIU Unity Fund \$5.00ea
(76,730)	3,954,362	3,877,632	9,425	421,423	430,848	424,024	498,552	417,300	424,540	412,366	397,907	386,333	571,918	173 SEIU \$7.65 ea
											ı			172 REPRESENTATIVE DUES
better/(worse)	Actual	Budget	better/(worse)	Actual	Budget	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	2
<b></b>	TD - Nine months	Υ		Nov		Oct	Sep	Aug	luľ	Jun	May _	Apr	Mar	1
AS	AR	AQ	Al	АН	AG	ΑE	AB	Υ	<	S	P	×	J	В

			Jan	
Headcour	nt	Budget	Actual	better/ (worse)
52,792	TOTAL DUES RECEIPTS	2,333,518	2,196,636	(136,882
32,192	OTHER INCOME	2,333,310	2,190,030	(130,882
	Interest & Dividends	8,300	14,693	6,393
	SMIHSS Administrative Reimbursement	4,167	,	20,833
	Sublease Rent	4,240	,	(453
	Misc. Income - SEIU Int'l subsidy	2,415	,	(2,415
	Total Misc. Income	19,122		24,358
	TOTAL GENERAL FUND INCOME	2,352,640		(112,525
	GENERAL FUND EXPENSES	2,002,010	2,2 (0,110	(112,020
	ORGANIZING OFFSET	317,262	317,262	0
	SALARIES	-		_
5	Administrative	37,619	34,472	3,147
9	Admin Support	41,346	28,208	13,138
13	Directors	84,661	77,095	7,566
55	Internal Organizers/Research	268,360	258,666	9,694
17	Clerical	66,650	56,600	10,050
2	Facilities	5,909	5,765	144
8	Data Base Services	26,995	25,261	1,734
	Temp. Internal Organizers/Research	5,000	135	4,865
	Temp. Clerical/Support	3,000	10,390	(7,390
	Vacation & Comp Time	23,993	11,942	12,052
109	Total Salaries	607,828	508,533	99,295
	PAYROLL RELATED EXPENSES	-		-
	Pension	84,784	,	19,721
	Payroll Taxes Expenses	57,584	•	5,160
	Travel Staff-Admin	4,000		328
	Travel Staff- Internal Organizers	5,000	-	3,428
	Mileage/Ins. ReimbAdmin & Director	9,366	,	7,342
	Mileage/Ins. ReimbInternal Organizers	24,943	,	4,540
	Telephone Reimbursement	8,200	,	(837
	Retiree Health Exp - including Ward	7,392	•	1,090
61	Retire Benefit Trust Fund	9,400	,	-
	Benefits(Health, Dental, Vision, life, 401K, Fle	190,063	,	38,263
	Workers Comp Insurance	7,913	,	300
	Recruiting exp	1,200	211	989
1())'a	Vacancy Rate **most postion has filled	-		-
	Total Payroll Related Expenses	409,843	329,518	80,325
	Total Salaries & Payroll Expenses	1,017,672	838,052	179,620
	MISCELLANEOUS	-		-
	Agency Fee/Assoc. Exp.	12,000	12,000	-
	Capital Fund Expense	1,000	1,000	-
	Admin exp share w. chapter	1,000	652	348

		Jan	
Headcount	Budget	Actual	better/ (worse)
Free Life insurance to members (SCR, MRY	6,800	2,068	4,732
Chapter Fund Reimbursement	4,800	2,872	1,928
Dodge Scholarship	1,250	1,250	1,520
Computer Database Unionwares & MRC cen	100,000	1,230	100,000
Bill Shawhan	10,000	10,000	100,000
Ex-Board Stipends	2,100	2,300	(200)
Good & Welfare	3,600	2,300	3,600
Total Miscellaneous	142,550	32,142	110,408
		02,112	-
ARBITRATIONS & LEGAL	-	204	-
Arbitrations Fees	5,000	2,847	2,153
Retainer	26,500	24,481	2,020
Court Costs	2,750		2,750
39016 Automatic Legal Defense Fund (\$0.25 per mo	9,754	9,754	-
Miscellaneous	417	16	400
Total Arbitrations & Legal	44,421	37,098	7,323
FACILITIES	-		-
Rent-SJC	34,000	33,931	69
Rent-RWC	6,330	6,330	-
Rent-Bakersfield apartment	1,545	-	1,545
Rent-Fresno **no moving	7,200	8,121	(921
Rent-Santa Cruz, Watsonville & Hollister	9,108	5,676	3,432
Rent-Visalia	1,000	800	200
Utilities	21,000	13,562	7,438
Kitchen Sundries	4,000	3,292	708
Gen. Liab. Ins. & Property Tax	15,944	11,873	4,071
Building Maintenance/Security/Janitorial	12,700	8,784	3,916
Total Admin - Facilities	112,827	92,370	20,457
ADMINISTRATIVE - OFFICES	_		_
Audit/Acct. Fees	8,708	3,208	5,501
Subscriptions	500	227	273
Office Sundries	8,000	4,456	3,544
Office Equipment Leases	40,000	36,834	3,166
Equipment Maintenance & Repair Contracts	8,000	2,714	5,286
Contributions	500	2,711	500
Research Material & Data	1,000	268	732
Miscellaneous	1,000	200	1,000
Total Admin - Offices	67,708	47,707	20,001
COMMUNICATIONS	-	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,
Printing	10,000	4,048	5,952
Paper	6,000	740	5,260
Website/Station/communication	1,500	/40	1,500
	2,500	7,165	(4,665
Telephone & Internet ** legacy exp only	7 51111	/ / / ~	

			Jan	
Ieadcou	nt .	Budget	Actual	better/ (worse)
leadcoul	Professional Fees/Translations	417	Actual	41'
	Total Communications	27,417	13,792	13,62
		27,417	13,772	15,02
	CONFERENCES/MILEAGE	-		-
	Staff-Misc. Conf/Seminar staff retreat?	2,000		2,00
	Exec. Board-Conferences	1,000	650	35
	International Convention - no lost time w/ 27	4,635		4,63
	Industries & Members Conferences	1,000	37	96
	Misc. Members-Reimbursed	500		50
	Total Conferences/Mileage	9,135	687	8,44
	STAFF MEETING & TRAINING	-		-
	Director Training	800		80
	Staff - representation & political & communic	7,000	7,718	(71
	Clerical Staff	800	103	69
	Executive Staff	800	531	26
	Tuition ReimInternal Organizers	417		41
	Tuition ReimOPEIU	417		41
	Total Staff Training	10,233	8,352	1,88
	EDUCATION & TRAINING	_	,	_
	Steward & Chief Steward Training	2,383		2,38
	Executive Board - + SEIU Int'l training (IFC	500		50
	Education & Training Committee Meeting &	4,000		4,00
	Industry Training Events	1,667		1,66
	Total Education & Training	8,550	_	8,55
	POLITICAL/SOCIAL INVOLVEMENT	_		
	Candidates Account (\$0.15 per member per n	5,852	5,812	4
	Issues Account (\$0.05 per member per month	1,951	1,937	1
	Legal	4,000	285	3,71
	Committee Meetings	300	717	(41
	Conferences	2,000	349	1,65
	Electoral Staff/ Activity	300	347	30
	Polls & Surveys	500		50
	Special Printing	200		20
	Subscriptions	25		2
	Total Political/Social Involvement	15,128	9,099	6,02
	SOCIAL & ECONOMIC JUSTICE	_	,	
	Committee Meetings	300	101	19
	Conferences	417	-	41
	Contributions/Solidarity	333	1,137	(80
52,792	Caucus Activities	4,399	770	3,62
	Total Social & Economic Justice	5,449	2,008	3,44
	MEMBER INVOLVEMENT	-	2,000	5,44
	Memorabilia/Give away Member Pride	1,667	(3,511)	5,17
	Awards/Recognition	1,667	(3,311)	1,66

			Jan	b - 441
Headcoun	t	Budget	Actual	better/ (worse)
Ticadcoun	Planning & Event Prep	250	. 10122	250
	Ex Board / Advisory Board Reimbursement	417		417
	Rally Rental & Bus	4,000	154	3,846
	Member Reimbursement/Lost time	5,000		5,000
	Transportation & Vehicle Expenses	500		500
	Total Member Involvement	13,500	(3,357)	16,857
	NEGOTIATIONS	_		_
_	Printing Contracts	4,167		4,167
	Meetings & Supplies	5,000	2,075	2,925
_	Strike Preparations	1,000	,	1,000
39016	Automatic Strike Fund Transfer (\$0.50 per m	16,257	16,256	1
	<b>Total Negotiations</b>	26,423	18,331	8,092
	MEETINGS & EVENTS	-		_
	Executive Board Meetings	2,000	2,137	(137)
	Steward/Council meetings	2,083		2,083
	521 Party & other events	5,000		5,000
	Advisory Board Meetings 3*@\$25k	6,250		6,250
	Miscellaneous	167		167
	<b>Total Meetings &amp; Events</b>	15,500	2,137	13,363
	REPRESENTATIVE DUES	-		-
52792	SEIU \$7.65 ea	370,204	413,651	(43,447)
49992	SEIU Unity Fund \$5.00ea	229,130	254,060	(24,930)
600	SEIU Retirees \$1.00ea	50	103	(53)
24	SEIU Associate Members-1.00	2	-	2
52792	SEIU/ State Council-\$2.53ea	122,433	135,424	(12,990)
20600	So Bay CLC \$0.55ea	10,386	11,078	(692)
4300	SMCO CLC \$0.60ea	2,365	2,329	36
	Fresno CLC \$0.45ea	2,042	2,874	(832)
	Bakersfield CLC \$0.25ea	1,583	2,124	(541)
	Monterey & Santa Cruz LC \$0.55ea	3,025	3,4 <b>7</b> 3	(448)
52792	CA Labor Fed 25% X .47ea	5,686	9,530	(3,844)
	Building Trades-SMCO	300	300	-
	Total Representative Dues	747,206	834,945	(87,739)
	TOTAL EXPENSES	2,580,982	2,250,625	330,357
	TOTAL INCOME LESS TOTAL EXPEN	(228,342)	(10,510)	(217,833)
	VOLUNTARY TRANSFERS	-		-
	Building Funds	-		-
	Strike Fund	-	-	-
	Total Transfers	-	-	-
	TOTAL INCOME LESS EXPENSES & T	(228,342)	(10,510)	(217,833)

From: Norman Gleichman [mailto:Norman.Gleichman@seiu.org]

**Sent:** Tuesday, July 01, 2008 1:18 PM

To: Ridley, Eileen R.

Subject: RE: Local 715 v. SHC/LPCH - Documents To Be Authenticated

Dear Ms. Ridley:

I have reviewed your e-mail and the documents you have forwarded with your request that SEIU authenticate them.

First, I wish to reiterate SEIU's position, stated in SEIU's objection dated May 27, 2008, that the subpoenas do not comply with Rule 45(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. As I understand it from your communications to date, the Court in this matter has permitted discovery on the narrow question of the existence of Local 715. You have not supplied me with the Court's discovery order, but I assume you have accurately described it.

It is well settled that no formal structure or financing arrangement is required to meet the definition of "labor organization" under the National Labor Relations Act. Thus, a group of employees can constitute a labor organization without officer elections or elected officials, membership meetings, a dues structure, or a set of bylaws. All that is required is employee participation for the purpose of dealing with employers over wages, hours, or terms and conditions of employment. See, e.g., Sahara Datsun v. NLRB, 811 F.2d 1317 (9th Cir. 1987); Polaroid Corp. 329 NLRB 424 (1999).

Against this backdrop, your subpoena goes far beyond the narrow area of inquiry open to you concerning the issue of the existence of Local 715. As just one example, the identity of the counsel selected to represent Local 715, a subject of the Hospitals' requests for production nos. 1, 20 and 21, is completely irrelevant to the question whether Local 715 exists. Without limitation, the same is true regarding financial transactions regarding Local 715, other SEIU local unions, or SEIU. See request nos. 19, 22-27, 56-57. In sum, these subpoenas constitute an obvious fishing expedition having nothing whatever to do with the question of Local 715's existence.

The subpoenas are also unduly burdensome, in violation of the Federal Rules. The requests are so broadly worded that they would require virtually every piece of written communication to Local 715 to be produced. See, without limitation, request nos. 5, 7, 8, 9 and 10. In this connection, you are advised that SEIU does not maintain a central filing system where documents are uniformly and systematically maintained according to subject matter or other categories. Instead, files and records are kept separately in SEIU's numerous separate departments and regional offices, as well as by individual staff members within those departments. The record retention policies, protocols and categories, if any, used in those separate departments and regional offices are not necessarily compatible. Additionally, a significant volume of SEIU files are not readily accessible because they have been boxed and transferred to an outside archive. Accordingly, the requests detailed herein and similar requests would require SEIU to spend countless hours locating and combing through thousands of separate, uncatalogued files maintained in separate Departments and offices, as well as to retrieve and review files boxed and stored in the

outside archives, simply to determine whether they contain any material falling within the scope of the subpoena. This search would have to be performed manually. The expense to the SEIU in personnel time and archives retrieval charges would be enormous. The subpoena imposes a substantial additional burden on the SEIU in that its personnel would be precluded from carrying out the essential business of SEIU while deployed in the document search demanded by the Hospitals.

The sweeping scope of the subpoenas would force SEIU to divulge SEIU and its members communications on all issues, deliberations, and other activity protected by the First Amendment, including but not limited to SEIU's and its members exercise of the right to freedom of association and freedom of speech. Moreover, while the subpoenas would force the SEIU to mount an expensive, time-consuming records search, that effort will yield little, if any relevant material given the definition of labor organization under the NLRA.

Furthermore, as indicated in SEIU's objection, SEIU does not have custody of much of the documentation your subpoena seeks. I repeat that SEIU is not a party to these actions, and under the Labor Management Reporting and Disclosure Act is not the same labor organization as its affiliated local unions.

Nevertheless, without waiving its stated objections to the subpoenas, in an effort to reach agreement on issues raised by the subpoenas, SEIU further responds to your request as follows:

SEIU is agreeable to stipulating to the authenticity of the following documents:

Order of Emergency Trusteeship dated June 8, 2007 Form LM-15 Trusteeship Report Memorandum from Andrew L. Stern re: trusteeship of Local 715, dated June 8, 2007 Notice of Hearing signed by Anna Burger dated July 12, 2007 Memorandum from Andrfew L. Stern re: IEB Decision on California Jurisdiction dated June 11, 2006

SEIU is unable to stipulate to the authenticity of the following documents for the reasons given:

Letter from B.W. Smith to Laurie Quintel dated December 19, 2007. This letter was purported issued by Local 715, and Local 715 is the entity that would be in a position to authenticate this document. Letter from B.W. Smith to Laurie Quintel dated February 12, 2008. See comment above.

Hearing Officers' Joint Report and Recommendations. The version you sent to me is unsigned.

Without waiving SEIU's previously stated objections to your subpoena, SEIU is producing herewith the following additional documents that are covered by the subpoena:

Signed Hearing Officers' Joint Report and Recommendations dated June 9, 2006 Order of Reorganization signed by Andrew Stern dated January 2, 2007 Hearing Officer's Report on Local 715 trusteeship dated August 13, 2007 Letter from Kristina Sermersheim to Andrew Stern dated June 4, 2007 Letter to Bruce Smith from Andrew L. Stern dated June 8, 2007 Letter to Clarence Dodge from George E. Fairchild dated March 2, 1972 Letter to Bruce Smith from Anna Burger dated August 23, 2007 Servicing Agreement between Local 715 and UHW Form LM-15 Trusteeship Report May 2008

SEIU will further stipulate that the hearing officers' reports attached hereto were duly approved by the SEIU International Executive Board.

With respect to taking President Stern's deposition, I am advised that President Stern will be out of the country between July 9 and July 20, and will not be available prior to his departure. However, I can make his assistant James J. Johnston available in his place. Mr. Johnston recently served as SEIU California Area Director and is currently Director of Union-Wide Programs. By virtue of holding these positions, Mr. Johnston is knowledgeable about the existence of Local 715. Mr. Johnston is available on July 10 and 11. Our preference would be July 10. Please note that Mr. Johnston may only be questioned on the narrow issue about which I understand the Court has permitted discovery: the existence of Local 715.

Filed 07/18/2008

With respect to Greg Pullman, you seemed surprised when I advised you that has been an International Union employee since November 2006. Yet Mr. Pullman testified to this in his deposition which the Hospitals took on March 22, 2007, at which he answered questions related to the 2006 SEIU reorganization in California. It would plainly be improper, oppressive and vexatious to depose Mr. Pullman again on the same issue. Please let me know specifically why the Hospitals seek to depose Mr. Pullman.

Yours,

Norman M. Gleichman Deputy General Counsel

From: Ridley, Eileen R. [mailto:ERidley@foley.com]

Case 5:08-cv-00213-JF

**Sent:** Thu 6/26/2008 2:47 AM

To: Norman Gleichman

Subject: FW: Local 715 v. SHC/LPCH - Documents To Be Authenticated



Mr. Gleichman:

Per our conversation this week attached are documents we would request your client to authenticate which include the following:

June 9, 2006 Hearing Officers' Joint Report And Recommendations 

June 11, 2006 memo from Andrew Stern to Affected SEIU Local Unions in California regarding the IEP decision on California jurisdiction

June 8, 2007 notice of appointment of trustee signed by Andrew Stern

June 8, 2007 memo from Andrew Stern to officers and members of Local 715 regarding appointment of trustee

July, 2007 Form LM-15 (Trusteeship Report) signed by Andrew Stern and Anna Burger.

July 12, 2007 Memo from Anna Burger, International Secretary-Treasurer titled "Notice Of Hearing Appointment Of Hearing Officer And Rules Of Procedure."

December 19, 2007 letter from B. Smith to L. Quintel reflecting copy sent to Norm Gleichman

February 12, 2008 letter from B. Smith to L. Quintel reflecting copy sent to Norm Gleichman 

In addition, we need to have your client respond to the requests presented by the subpoena (obviously, if there are no responsive documents we will need a verified statement to that effect). Further, we are aware there are likely documents retained by your client which are responsive to the requests but are not included in the above request for authentication. In order to expedite this process, please let us know the quantity of responsive documents your client has. While your client's response was due on Monday, we have agreed to an extension of time. However, we will need a response by early next week.

Finally, as discussed, we will want to depose Mr. Stern and Pullman. In particular, Mr. Stern was involved in the creation of the trust regarding Local 715 which is a subject in this matter. Again, our focus is related to the existence and representation of Local 715 and the use of its resources, Please provide us with available dates for these proceedings. Thanks very much.

×

The preceding email message may be confidential or protected by the attorney-client privilege. It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized persons. If you have received this message in error, please (i) do not read it, (ii) reply to the sender that you received the message in error, and (iii) erase or destroy the message. Legal advice contained in the preceding message is solely for the benefit of the Foley & Lardner LLP client(s) represented by the Firm in the particular matter that is the subject of this message, and may not be relied upon by any other party.

Internal Revenue Service regulations require that certain types of written advice include a disclaimer. To the extent the preceding message contains advice relating to a Federal tax issue, unless expressly stated otherwise the advice is not intended or written to be used, and it cannot be used by the recipient or any other taxpayer, for the purpose of avoiding Federal tax penalties, and was not written to support the promotion or marketing of any transaction or matter discussed herein.

### SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION

In re: SEIU Local Union Jurisdiction in California for Long Term Care Workers, Public Services Employees, Public Health Employees, Property Services Workers, and Private Sector Workers in Public Sector Locals

Hearing Officers: Alice Dale Tom Balanoff

## HEARING OFFICERS' JOINT REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## I. Introduction and Procedural Background

This is a joint report and recommendations to the International Executive Board ("IEB") of the Service Employees International Union ("SEIU") concerning the jurisdiction of SEIU local unions in California for long term care workers, public services employees, public health employees, property services workers, and private sector workers in public sector locals. The undersigned hearing officers were appointed by the SEIU Executive Committee<sup>1</sup> to hear this matter and render a report and recommendations concerning SEIU local union jurisdiction in California.

This proceeding commenced when International Secretary-Treasurer Anna Burger issued a Notice of Preliminary Hearing on January 30, 2006 (Ex. 2). On February 13, 2006, Secretary-Treasurer Burger issued a notice of the schedule of hearings and procedure proposed by the

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to Article VI, Section 1(b) and Article XI, Section 6B of the SEIU Constitution and Bylaws, the IEB has delegated the appointment of hearing officers to the Executive Committee.

International Union (Ex. 3). The notice contained six proposed rules of procedure. On February 17, 2006, a preliminary hearing was conducted in Burlingame, California, solely on the question of the schedule and procedures for the subsequent hearings on the substance of the jurisdictional questions. The purpose of that preliminary hearing was to get the views of local union leaders concerning the schedule and procedural rules proposed by the International Union. As a result of the preliminary hearing, modified rules of procedure were issued on March 13, 2006 (Ex. 8). The modified rules of procedure responded to local union comments and suggestions made at the preliminary hearing. In particular, the number of hearing days was doubled from four to eight, consecutive days of hearing were provided, the International Union was directed to establish a website to inform members about the issues involved in the jurisdiction proceeding, and one day of the hearings took the form of an audio conference with hearing locations in Sacramento, Fresno, Riverside, and San Diego.

The hearing process was designed to afford maximum opportunity for input from local union leaders and members on the jurisdictional questions. Hearings on the substantive jurisdictional issues were held on March 24 and 25 and April 27, 2006 in Los Angeles, on April 7 and 8, 2006 in Burlingame, on April 21 and 22, 2006 in San Francisco, and, via audio conference, on April 28, 2006 in Sacramento, Fresno, Riverside and San Diego. The hearings on March 24-25 and April 7-8, held by Sister Dale, dealt with local union jurisdiction for public services employees in Southern and Northern California, respectively, excluding public health

<sup>2</sup> Notices were sent to the following SEIU local unions: Locals 99, 24/7, 265, 280, 347, 415, 434B, 535, 614, 616, 620, 660, 700, 707, 715, 790, 817, 949, 998, 1000, 1280, 1292, 1877, 1983, 1997, UHW 2005, 2028, 2579, 4988, and 5000 NAGE.

employees and private sector workers represented by public sector locals. Hearings on jurisdiction for long term care employees were held on April 21 and April 27. The April 21 hearing also dealt with local union jurisdiction for California State University employees. On April 22, a hearing was held on jurisdiction for Northern California public hospital workers and private sector workers represented by public sector locals. In addition, the April 22 hearing dealt with jurisdiction for employees of the State of California, and property services workers (including cemetery workers, race track employees, private sector greens attendants, private university workers, and multi-service workers). A hearing on jurisdiction for Southern California public hospital workers and private sector workers represented by public sector locals took place on April 27. The hearings held on April 21, 22 and 27 were held by Brother Balanoff. All jurisdictional issues were addressed in the April 28 hearing by audio conference, held jointly by both hearing officers. Norm Gleichman, Deputy General Counsel of the International Union, assisted us at the hearings.

At each in-person hearing, local leaders and members were invited to submit post-hearing materials within 14 days of the close of the hearing on each jurisdictional issue. In addition, pursuant to the March 13 order on procedural questions, the International Union established a website with information concerning the jurisdictional issues covered by the hearings and the opportunities for members to participate. Members were invited to submit comments via the website, which were collected and made a part of the record.

Each affected local union that so desired was provided the opportunity to be heard during the hearing and to submit any documents that it wished us to consider. The following locals made presentations at one or more of the hearings: 24/7, 87, 121RN, 265, 280, 347, 415, 434B.

535, 614, 616, 620, 660, 700, 707, 715, 790, 817, 949, 998, 1000, 1280, 1877, 1983, 1997, 2028, 2579, 4988, and UHW. Many of the affected locals also responded in writing to the requests for information issued by the International Union in connection with the jurisdictional issues.

JJ Johnston, California Area Director, made presentations on the need for restructuring local union jurisdiction in California based on political and demographic developments and the need to grow the union in areas of the state where union density is low. John Tanner, Assistant Director of the Public Services Division, gave the Division's views on the need to restructure public sector jurisdiction in California, including the question whether public sector locals should have jurisdiction for public health care workers. Ian Campbell, Assistant Research Director for the Health Systems Division, gave a presentation on the Division's goals and the role of SEIU's United Healthcare Workers - West ("UHW") in the Division's plans. David Kieffer, Director of the Long Term Care Division, and Jon Barton, Deputy Director of the Division, stated the Division's views on the best way to organize ourselves to win higher standards for home care and nursing home workers. Eddie lny, Assistant Director of the Property Services Division, gave a presentation on the opportunities and challenges facing property services local unions in California. Fifty-five exhibits were entered into the record at the hearings, a transcript of the hearings was made by the court reporters, and post-hearing submissions from a number of locals and individual members were received.

The entire record was considered in the drafting of this report. This report is the product of our collaborative efforts; however, we each concentrated on those issues covered in the respective hearings held separately by each of us.

#### II. The International Union's Policies on Organizing and Jurisdiction Established by the 2000 and 2004 Conventions

Article XIV, Section 3 of the SEIU Constitution and Bylaws authorizes the IEB to "consolidate or merge existing Local Unions under such terms and conditions as the [IEB] may determine when in the opinion of the [IEB] the interests and welfare of the International Union and the membership thereof will be better served by such action."

Delegates to the 2000 SEIU Convention adopted the New Strength Unity Plan ("NSUP") to build power for SEIU members. A critical part of the NSUP was a "Jurisdiction Policy and Procedure" set forth in the "Decide Report" prepared by the President's Committee 2000. The Decide Report was adopted by the 2000 Convention and incorporated in the NSUP. The Committee concluded that "industry-based jurisdiction gives local unions the best opportunity to be recognized by the public, elected officials, industry employers and workers as the principal voice of workers in that industry and geographic area." SEIU members have told the union leadership that they want to be united with their co-workers doing similar work in the same geographic area so that they can exert maximum power to improve their working conditions. The policy of the International Union, as expressed at the 2000 Convention, is to combine members employed in a particular industry in a manner that mirrors the structure of that industry, minimizes fragmentation and, as a result, increases bargaining power. The focus on industrybased jurisdiction necessarily means that employees of the same employer are to be represented by the same SEIU local union.

In his testimony at the hearings, Brother Johnston explained that SEIU's program to refocus and restructure the Union to build an organization that is better positioned to win higher standards for SEIU members began in 1996 with the "Bold Action" program adopted at the 1996 Convention, and continued with the 2000 Convention's NSUP and the "Seven Strengths" program adopted by the 2004 Convention delegates. Among the elements of the Seven Strengths program are building local union strength, political strength, and industry strength. SEIU's Policy on Jurisdiction contributes to the enhancement of all three of these strengths by fostering local unions with the size, capacity, resources and focus to win big for SEIU members in bargaining, and in the state capitals and Congress.

Brother Johnston testified that, in many states across the country, SEIU has consolidated and rationalized local union jurisdiction to create more powerful local unions. In California, Florida, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Maryland, Michigan, Missouri, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Washington, local unions have participated in a process similar to the one now underway in California. The results have been astounding: SEIU grew by 820,000 between 1996 and 2004.

This achievement was also due to our relentless focus on local union organizing. As part of the New Strength Unity program, the 2000 Convention adopted a local union organizing mandate that became Article XV, Section 16(a) of the SEIU Constitution and Bylaws. The organizing requirement was confirmed by the 2004 Convention. That provision states:

> Every Local Union shall continue to implement an annual local union organizing budget equivalent to 20 percent of the local's budget (after payment of all per capita tax obligations), to be spent consistent with the principles of the applicable industry division of the International Union. Each industry division shall submit its principles and the procedures for their enforcement for approval by the International Executive Board no later than January 2005.

Ex. 1, p. 31.

As part of the implementation of this requirement, the International Union divisions require locals to submit organizing plans on an annual basis. In addition, local unions are expected to have full-time organizing directors who are responsible for drawing up the locals' organizing plans and overseeing their execution.

Further, the Seven Strengths program adopted at the 2004 Convention includes a commitment to building national strength. A component of this commitment was expressed in the United Strategy for Strength resolution adopted by the Convention. This resolution commits the International Union and local union to devoting resources, including personnel, dedicated to growing the union in areas such as the South and Southwest, where union density, and SEIU's presence, has historically been weak. Through adoption of this resolution, the 2004 Convention mandated the International Union resources be redirected from areas of traditional strength, such as California and New York, to emerging growth regions.

## III. Political and Demographic Trends in California

Brother Johnston, Brother Tanner, and Dean Tipps, Executive Director of the California State Council, made the case for the need to reorganize local union jurisdiction in California. Tr. 1056-64. They pointed out that, notwithstanding conventional political wisdom, California is not a "safe" state for candidates who support progressive positions of importance to working men and women. The data presented by these witnesses establishes that SEIU locals are strongest in the slower-growing coastal areas of the state such as San Francisco, Santa Clara, Los Angeles, and Alameda counties. These counties readily support agendas for working Californians; however, they are losing influence due the demographic shift to inland counties such as the

Central Valley counties and Sacramento, San Joaquin, Kern, San Bernardino, Riverside and San Diego counties, where the political climate is much more conservative, and SEIU is much weaker. SEIU is growing in California, but we are growing in the coastal areas where population growth in stagnant.

It is vital that we hit upon a strategy to unite workers in the inland counties within the same industry. In order to be able to organize successfully on a massive scale and affect the politics of these areas in a way which benefits our members by lifting standards, we must bring to bear the resources and expertise of our successful coastal locals. Currently, the vast majority or our organizing resources are concentrated in a few coastal county locals, and are mostly absent in strategic counties where growth and political power are on the rise.

#### IV. Current SEIU Local Union Jurisdiction in California

The evidence presented at the hearing dramatically highlighted the fragmentation of SEIU local union jurisdiction by geography, industry and employer. None of the four industry divisions (Public Services, Health Systems, Long Term Care, and Property Services) are unified under the present system of local union jurisdiction in California. The testimony revealed that many locals are quite small and therefore under-resourced. In addition, a number of locals still exercise jurisdiction across industry lines.

#### **Current Public Services Local Unions** A.

For purposes of this report, the term "public services local unions" refers to SEIU local unions whose membership is made up primarily of local or state government workers (including California State University system employees). We address separately below, in a section devoted to Long Term Care Division members, home care providers considered employees of in home supportive services ("IHSS") public authorities.

#### 1. Local government employees

According to Brother Tanner's testimony, 22 California counties have multiple public services local unions.<sup>3</sup> Some of these local unions have jurisdiction for workers in a single county; others exercise jurisdiction in several counties. One public services local, Local 535, represents over 30,000 social services employees in local government statewide, and has members in many counties. Local 535 also represents private sector health care workers and workers employed by publicly-funded social service agencies. Other local government workers are represented by 19 local unions of vastly different sizes and resources (excluding Local 99, which represents Los Angeles area school employees). Some public services locals represent IHSS providers, property services workers, and private health care employees. We summarize below the jurisdiction exercised by these local unions for local government workers who are not represented by Local 535.

Los Angeles County employees are represented by Local 660, which represents over 51,000 employees of Los Angeles and Orange counties. About 19,000 Local 660 members are in the public health field, including those at the Los Angles County Department of Public Health

<sup>3</sup> These are the counties of Alameda, Contra Costa, Fresno, Kern, Los Angeles, Kings, Marin, Monterey, Napa, Orange, Riverside, Sacramento, San Diego, San Francisco, San Joaquin, San Mateo, Santa Barbara, Santa Clara, Solano, Stanislaus, Tulare, and Ventura.

Ingleside Hospital, Los Angles County – USC Medical Center, Rancho Los Amigos National Rehabilitation Center, and the King/Drew Medical Center. Former Locals 434 and 787 previously merged into Local 660. Local 347 represents about 12,000 municipal workers in Los Angeles County, most of whom work for the City of Los Angeles. Local 2028, which was created as a result of the consolidation of former locals 102 and 1926, represents over 8,000 employees in San Diego County, and ten cities and school districts. Local 2028 also represents stadium and arena employees, theater and convention center workers, and workers at Delmar racetrack, who fall under the Property Services Division. In addition, Local 2028 represents employees of a Fredericka Manor, a private sector nursing home, and Edgemore, a county owned and operated nursing home. Employees of Riverside County, cities in the county, and special districts are represented by Local 1997, which represents about 6,000 public workers. Twenty-five percent of Local 1997's members are healthcare workers. Local 998, which is currently under trusteeship, represents about 5,500 employees of Ventura County (including Ventura County Medical Center) and various municipalities in that county, as well as workers for the courts, special districts, and IHSS workers.

Santa Barbara local government workers are represented by Local 620, which also represents public sector employees in San Luis Obispo county for a total representation of about 4,000. County and municipal workers in Kern, Tulare and Kings counties, including public hospital workers at Kern County Medical Center, are represented by Local 700, which represents over 7,000 workers. Local 700, which originally had jurisdiction limited to Kern County, is the product of a merger with former Local 690, which had jurisdiction for Tulare and Kings counties. Local 700 also represents employees of the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District,

Page 12 of 79

which has employees who work in Bakersfield, Fresno and Stanislaus County. Local 817 represents over 5,000 workers in Monterey and San Benito counties, including city and county employees, IHSS providers, employees at Natividad Medical Center, a public hospital in Monterey County, and Hazel Hawkins Hospital, a district hospital in San Benito County.

Local government workers in Santa Clara and San Mateo counties are represented by

Local 715, which represents over 26,000 employees. Some of Local 715's public sector

members include employees of Santa Clara Valley Medical Center and San Mateo General

Hospital. Local 715 also represents employees at El Camino Hospital, a district hospital. In

addition, Local 715 has units in other SEIU divisions: private university workers at Stanford

University and Santa Clara University (including Santa Clara Mission Cemetery workers),

private hospital workers at Stanford and Lucile Packard Hospitals, IHSS providers, and

multiservice workers employed by Bon Appetit/Compass who work at Stanford and Santa Clara

universities.

Local 790 represents employees of cities, counties (including public hospitals), school districts, special districts, private non-profits, and other private sector employees (including airport service workers at San Francisco International Airport) in San Francisco, Alameda, Contra Costa, San Joaquin, and Sacramento counties. Local 790, which currently represents almost 29,000 employees, is itself the product of the mergers of former SEIU Locals 390, 400 and 22. In San Francisco, social services workers are represented by Local 535, and some public health classifications are represented by UHW. Specifically, UHW represents public health care employees at San Francisco General Hospital and the Laguna Honda public skilled nursing facility. UHW, Local 535, and Local 616 (which alone represents about 14,000 employees and

providers) also represent different classifications of local government workers in Alameda County, including employees at the Alameda County Medical Center. Local 616 also represents IHSS providers, employees of special districts, Head Start workers, and employees of one private non-profit. Santa Cruz local government workers, including both municipal and county employees, are represented by Local 415, which represents about 4,500 workers. Local 415 also represents IHSS providers, and is operating under a servicing agreement with Local 715. City, county school, court, special district, and child care workers in Marin County are represented by Local 949, which represents under 2,000 local government and related employees. Local 707 is an amalgamated local of about 6,000 county, special district, court, city, schools, IHSS, for-profit and non-profit contract agencies, and private sector hospitals workers, with jurisdiction in Sonoma, Mendocino and Lake counties.

Local 614 represents about 2,300 employees, including employees of Napa County, as well as employees in four cities in the county, school district employees, IHSS workers, and classified employees at Napa Community College. Local 614 has been in merger talks with Local 1280, which represents over 3,300 local government and related employees, as well as IHSS providers, in Solano County. Locals 1280 and 614 share an executive director. Local 1292 represents under 800 employees in cities, the courts, special districts, and publicly-funded private agencies in Butte, Shasta, and Siskiyou counties. Local 4988 has about 1500 members, 60% of whom work for the counties of Amador and Calaveras, cities, and special districts.

# 2. Education and State Employees

Employees of the Los Angeles, Lynwood, Torrance and Pleasant Valley unified school districts, Head Start workers, and Los Angeles Community College employees, are represented by Local 99, which is currently under trusteeship. Local 99 represents over 36,000 employees in Los Angeles and Orange counties. Local 1983, also known as California Faculty Association, represents 23,000 teaching faculty at all 23 campuses in the California State University ("CSU") system. Non-teaching employees in bargaining units 2, 5, 7 and 9 in the system are represented by Local 2579, the California State University Employees Union, which represents over 15,000 CSU employees. Employees of the State of California in many bargaining units are represented by Local 1000, which represents over 83,000 state employees.

### B. Current Long Term Care Local Unions

SEIU's Long Term Care Division includes IHSS providers, homecare workers employed by private agencies, private sector nursing home workers, and employees of senior living facilities. In California, SEIU represents over 200,000 homecare workers and approximately 20,000 nursing home workers. SEIU's nursing home workers are currently represented by three California local unions: Local 434B, which represents workers in 50 private nursing homes, UHW, which represents workers in 152 private nursing homes, and Local 2028, which represents workers at one private nursing home.

Homecare providers are in many different California local unions. SEIU represents IHSS providers in four types of unions. The bulk of the home care providers are represented by Local

434B, which represents almost 123,000 SEIU homecare members in Ventura, 4 Los Angeles and San Bernardino counties. In addition, the Local 434B leadership heads Local 4034, also called the California United Homecare Workers Union ("CUHW"). Local 434B and CUHW share the same president and secretary-treasurer. CUHW is a California homecare workers union jointly affiliated with SEIU and AFSCME pursuant to the Strength In Unity Agreement between the two international unions. Ex. 38. CUHW represents over 22,000 homecare workers in 25 counties.<sup>5</sup> Together, CUHW and Local 434B represent home care workers in 28 counties in Northern, Central, and Southern California. Local 434B and CUHW represent only long term care workers. Another AFSCME affiliate, the United Domestic Workers of America ("UDW"), represents about 60,000 IHSS providers in 10 counties. Over 46,000 IHSS providers in nine counties<sup>7</sup> are represented by UHW, SEIU's statewide health care union. In addition, as noted above, Locals 715, 616, 614, 415, 707, 998, 1280 and 817 certified representatives of IHSS providers in their respective jurisdictions.<sup>8</sup>

<sup>4</sup> Local 434B has represented the Ventura County IHSS workers under a servicing agreement with Local 998. This group recently voted overwhelmingly to join Local 434B.

<sup>5</sup> CUHW represent IHSS providers in Imperial, Inyo, Tulare, Kings, Mono, Madera, Mariposa, Tuolumne, Alpine, Nevada, Sierra, Sutter, Colusa, Lake, Glenn, Butte, Plumas, Tehama, Lassen, Shasta, Trinity Humboldt, Del Norte, Siskiyou, and Modoc counties.

<sup>6</sup> Placer, El Dorado, Stanislaus, Merced, San Luis Obispo, Kern, Santa Barbara, Riverside, Orange, and San Diego.

<sup>7</sup> San Francisco, Fresno, Marin, Sonoma, Contra Costa, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Amador and Calaveras.

<sup>8</sup> Locals 616, 614, 707 and 1280 operate jointly through the Joint Organizing Partnership. Local 415 is party to a servicing agreement with Local 715, and Local 998 is party to a servicing agreement with Local 434B.

# C. <u>Current Property Services Jurisdiction</u>

SEIU's Property Services Division includes janitors, security guards, employees of stadiums, arenas and convention centers, private higher education employees, subcontracted airport workers, and racetrack workers. The largest Property Services Division local union in California is Local 1877, which represents janitors, stadium and arena workers, convention center employees, subcontracted airport workers at all major California airports, grocery store cleaners, racetrack workers, residential building workers, cemetery workers, and employees in other allied industries. Local 24/7 represents security officers in Northern California. Local 265 represents cemetery workers and greens keepers. Locals 700, 715, and 535 also represent cemetery workers. Local 280, also called the Pari-Mutuel Employees Guild of California, represents pari-mutuel clerks throughout the state. As noted above, Locals 715, 790 and 2028 also represent small numbers of Property Services Division members.

### D. Private Hospital Workers Represented by Public Services Locals

UHW, the product of a 2005 merger between former Locals 250 and 399, is a large local of 130,000 members with statewide jurisdiction for private sector healthcare workers in California. At the time of the merger, Local 250 had about 94,000 members, and Local 399 represented over 30,000 workers. Yet there are also several public sector locals that represent

<sup>9</sup> In addition, Local 87 represents janitors in San Francisco. Local 87 was not formally included in this proceeding, but a Local 87 representative testified at the hearing on property services jurisdiction.

#### E. **Representation of District Hospital Workers**

District hospitals are run by the elected boards of special healthcare districts. UHW represents workers at eight district hospitals. Workers at three other district hospitals are represented by public sector Locals 817, 715, and 5000 (NAGE).<sup>10</sup>

#### V. **Organizing Programs and Results**

Despite the impressive growth in overall SEIU membership over the past decade, only a relative small percentage of that growth has been due to organizing wins. According to a submission from the Public Services Division, during the period 1998 through 2005, California public sector unions (include those representing state workers and school employees) organized just 75,161 new workers. This number includes 23,500 added in 2000 and 2004 by California

10 NAGE represents employees at Menifee district hospital in Riverside County.

Faculty Association, Local 1983, through an agency shop campaign and accretion of teacher aides. Among local unions whose focus is local government workers, the organizing wins for this period amounted to only 48,494 new members.

Many public sector locals are not contributing at all to our California growth, and those that are contributing are not winning at the pace needed to achieve union density in our priority services and geography. Our annual average growth per local union over the past 6 years is 368 workers. A disproportionate amount of that growth results from our current membership base: agency shop, residual and part-time worker victories. We are not organizing on pace in services that were formerly publicly-delivered and are now privately-delivered, publicly-funded such as mental health and developmental disabilities workers. Only two local unions have achieved a measure of union density in mental health services.

We are also not growing on pace in other Public Services Division priority services such as childcare. These privately-delivered, publicly-funded services are severely underfunded resulting in unreliable access and service quality and poverty level workforce wage and benefit standards.

Why are our growth numbers in the California public sector so anemic? It is true that many opportunities for growth lie in localities where existing local government local unions don't have sufficient resources to mount large-scale organizing drives. For example, the Public Services Division estimates that, in Tulare County, there are 1,800 workers, including residual public units, hospital district employees, child care centers and mental health workers, who could be organized immediately. This figure does not count an additional 1,000 developmental

disability community workers who could win the right to union representation in the near future given new political leadership in Sacramento. This fact further underscores the necessity to realign our jurisdiction to bring local union resources to bear in those localities of low union density.

But the geographic imbalance in our present local union structure does not fully explain the mediocre growth of our public sector local unions. Even in 2006, many public sector local unions are not "on program" with respect to SEIU's organizing mandates. For example, many of our public sector local unions are simply too small to be able to devote the resources required to fund full-time organizing directors. Thirteen of our California public sector locals represent less than 10,000 workers, and nine represent less than 6,000 public employees. The Public Services Division reports that eight local unions are not contributing to our growth goals due to lack of capacity and/or political will. To address this problem, some locals have banded together to jointly organize, in what amounts to a partial step toward consolidation. Specifically, Locals 707, 614, 1280, 1292 and 949 formed the Joint Organizing Partnership ("JOP") with Local 616. The JOP is led by Local 616's organizing director. Similarly, Local 4988 receives organizing services from Local 790 through their servicing agreement. But Locals 2028, 1997, 998, 700 and 817 do not have organizing directors.

Local 347 represents about 12,000 workers, yet it too lacks a full-time organizing director. According to the Public Services Division, the person listed as the organizing director is actually the local's fulltime political director. In addition, Local 347's organizing plan for 2006 does not comply with SEIU's organizing mandate. The Division reports that the three staff listed as organizers are actually representatives. The Division explains that, in 2005, the local

assigned responsibility for its organizing program to its General Counsel in order to recruit a fulltime organizing director and staff and to develop a viable organizing program. The Division points out that this was not done, and that, as in 2006, the three staff listed as organizers in 2005 were actually representatives.

In addition, Local 347 continues to struggle with maintaining its membership base. The Division reports that, on April 24, 2006, the local informed its members employed by the City of Commerce that it was withdrawing from the ballot rather than face a decertification election challenge from the Commerce City Employees Association. Similarly, during the hearings, a decertification challenge to Local 347 in a large unit from a public safety union affiliated with an AFL-CIO union was only averted after the local called on the International Union for assistance. The Division reports that there are 119 independently-represented cities in Los Angeles and Orange counties employing over 5,000 employees for which Local 347 has no organizing plan or dedicated resources.

Our organizing shortfalls extend beyond the Public Services Division. The goal of Health Systems Division is to build a strong national union to change the lives of RNs and hospital workers, to hold hospitals accountable to workers, patients, the underserved, and communities, to improve the quality of care delivered, and to win health care for all. Assistant Research Director Campbell testified that the biggest challenge to achieving these goals is the current low union density in the industry. Tr. 1898-1908.

Brother Campbell submitted data showing the job we have before us in the private hospital sector in California. Statewide, fully 78% of hospital beds are in private facilities, and of these, 39% are in totally non-union facilities. In the markets identified as growth markets by

the Health Systems Division, significant percentages of non-union facilities exist. In the Fresno County market, private hospitals make up 95% of hospital beds, and 52% of those beds are in non-union facilities. There is also a non-union district hospital in Fresno County. In Orange County, 93% of hospital beds are in private hospitals, of which 73% are in facilities where no union is present. In San Diego County, private sector hospitals have 65% of the total hospital beds in the county, and 33% of those beds are in non-union hospitals. In addition, 52% of the district hospital beds are in non-union facilities in San Diego County. In Riverside County, 68% of the hospital beds are in private sector hospitals, of which 35% are in non-union facilities. And in San Bernardino County, private acute care facilities make up 84% of the hospital beds in the county, with 59% of them located in non-union hospitals. In addition 175 of the 199 district hospital beds in that county are in non-union facilities.

Based in part on the above data, the Health Systems Division estimates that there are approximately 100,000 unorganized hospital and related workers in Southern California. The Division's plans call for organizing more than 40,000 private and healthcare district healthcare workers in California over the next four years. These are mostly professional and technical workers. The Division is targeting district hospitals, private profit and private non-profit hospitals in markets in Fresno, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino and San Diego counties. For 2006, the Division's plans call for organizing 6,000 workers in private sector and district hospital targets in California. These include workers in hospitals and related facilities in the Kaiser, CHW, Tenet and Sutter chains and district hospitals where some of the workers are already in unions.

UHW is the key to the Health Systems Division's organizing plans. UHW is the only local union with jurisdiction to organize private sector healthcare workers in California. And although it currently shares jurisdiction for district hospital workers with public sector unions, UHW represents employees seven district hospitals, far more than any other SEIU local union. Yet, UHW has also failed to keep pace. Since the 2005 merger of 250 with 399, UHW has only organized about 2200 hospital workers. It is plain that UHW has much further to go in organizing its current jurisdiction.

### VI. Discussion and Recommendations

It is stating the obvious to say that the current patchwork of SEIU local union jurisdiction in California is not designed to maximize local union strength through uniting workers by industry in the most relevant geography. Rather, the current jurisdictional patterns are in large part an outgrowth of piecemeal affiliations, mergers, and special arrangements that do not reflect the current needs of SEIU members to win significant improvement in standards, preserve gains previously won, and grow the union in areas of low union density. We emphasize that such growth in not growth for growth's sake; it is vital if we are to retain the standards we have won in areas of higher union density. We simply cannot be islands of strength in a sea of weakness; we must improve standards throughout the state so that employers cannot use lower standards in the unorganized areas of the state to attack wages and benefits in the locations where we are a significant presence. And as the testimony established, we must increase out statewide clout to promote a progressive agenda and to head off efforts to block that agenda through initiatives such as Proposition 75, which we only managed to defeat by a narrow margin. As pointed out by

Brother Johnston, SEIU devoted substantial resources to that campaign to just to maintain the status quo. To accomplish this, we must project our strength into the eastern part of the state, where political power is migrating in step with population growth. We must also take a hard look at what the relevant geography is for each industry division, and be prepared to match our structure to that geography, irrespective of the current jurisdictional hodgepodge.

In addition, we need to take stock of the inability of many locals in their current configuration to adhere to the organizing mandates of SEIU. We think that it will take new internal structures and locals of larger size to establish properly-resourced organizing programs geared to the 21st century. Our outdated structure in California has not produced the kind of organizing results we will need to have across the state if we are to keep standards high, grow the union, and win for our members. These principles underlie our recommendations for jurisdictional realignment in California.

The imperative of creating new local organizations that are not only self-sustaining, but that can devote resources to organizes in the growth areas, also flows from the current practice, of divisions subsidizing local organizing work. Notwithstanding the United Strategy for Strength resolution adopted by the 2004 Convention, the Public Services, Property Services, and Long-Term Care Divisions are currently subsidizing organizing campaigns in California. This needs to change if we are serious about our commitment to commit resources to unionize the "red" states.

We emphasize that our California locals are already no strangers to consolidation and realignment. As detailed above, many of the local unions involved in these proceedings are themselves the products of earlier consolidations. We are recommending an intensification of

that process to permit SEIU to exercise the maximum leverage possible on behalf of our California members.

Because the thorniest issues we address relate to the appropriate jurisdiction for healthcare workers, we turn preliminarily to the contention made by UHW that all healthcare workers, broadly defined to include public health workers and long-term care employees, should ultimately be placed in a single local union. We do not think that the record of healthcare to date demonstrates that this is necessarily the best approach for growth. We believe that the jury is still out on the question whether, in order to raise standards and build power for our members, we need to amalgamate all of the sectors of the broadly defined healthcare world into a single entity. This has not been our uniform structure for representing members in the different sectors of the healthcare industry, and, as a matter of fact, recent jurisdictional decisions have resulted in recommendations for different structures based on different density, geography, capacity, and growth possibilities in each case. For example, although in New York all healthcare workers are in one local, it is not as a result of International Union jurisdictional decisions, but rather through voluntary mergers, except for interns and residents who are still in a separate local. Jurisdictional decisions in Illinois and Washington have resulted in sectoral structures, and there are sector-based locals in Oregon, Wisconsin, and Pennsylvania.

## A. Jurisdiction for Local Government Employees

## 1. Proposals for Geographic Reorganization

By memorandum dated March 17, 2006, prior to the opening of substantive hearings in this matter, the International Union circulated a concept for reorganizing public sector

jurisdiction in California for local government workers. Ex. 10. The proposal covered public sector locals except Locals 99, 1000, 2579, 1983, and a future childcare local contemplated to be jointly affiliated with AFSCME. The key element of the International Union's proposal was a map of the state with four regions designated by ovals running from north to south. The ovals, often referred to during the hearings as "eggs" due to their shape, represented four regional public sector local unions that the International Union proposed be established in lieu of the existing arrangement of 19 separate local unions. The map was more of a framework than a precise blueprint; the four regions were generally delineated, but at the periphery of each oval there was some ambiguity. Two counties, San Francisco and Alpine, did not appear to fall within any of the regions, while counties at the borders of the proposed new locals, such as San Joaquin, Kern, and Riverside, appeared in two ovals. For purposes of this decision, we refer to the four proposed regional locals as the Northern, North Central, South Central, and Southern regional public sector locals.

Local representatives who testified at the hearings generally recognized the need to consolidate small local unions and reorganize public sector jurisdiction along regional lines, although there were different views expressed about the precise makeup of regional configurations. Locals 2028, 660, 1997, and 715 explicitly or implicitly supported the four-local model proposed by the International Union. Local 620 proposed a fifth local to be comprised of three coastal counties (Santa Barbara, Ventura and San Luis Obispo) plus five Central Valley counties (Tulare, Kings, Kern, Inyo and Mono). Local 700 endorsed this proposal, but added a ninth county, Fresno, in a post-hearing submission. At the hearing itself, Local 700 proposed an alternative Central Valley local consisting of Kern, Kings, Tulare, Fresno, Madera, Mariposa,

Merced, Stanislaus, and possibly also San Joaquin. In addition, Local 700's Executive Director, Ward Wollesen, testified that Inyo and Mono counties, on the eastern side of the Sierra Nevada range, would logically fit with Local 700's jurisdiction. Brother Wollesen stated the local's preference to be in a local representing other Central Valley counties rather than a local headquartered in Los Angeles or San Francisco.

Local 715 (Santa Clara and San Mateo counties) urged that San Joaquin County be placed in the North Central regional local, while Local 790 presented testimony from San Joaquin County members who desired to remain with Local 790. Local 817 (Monterey and San Benito counties) came out in favor of a single statewide local union for public sector workers, to be achieved in phases. Under Local 817's proposal, there would be an initial consolidation of public sector local unions into two regional locals, one in the north and one in the south. The northern boundaries of San Luis Obispo, Kern, and San Bernardino counties would be the dividing line between these two proposed locals. According to the proposal, presented by Local 817 Executive Director John Vellardita, these two locals would then be merged within five to seven years to form a single statewide public sector local. Local 949 (Marin County) proposed a Northern California provisional local covering the jurisdictions currently exercised by Locals 790, 616, 707, 1280, 614, 4988, and 1292. According to Local 949 Executive Director Kris Organ, this Northern California provisional local would encompass San Francisco, Alameda, San Joaquin, Calaveras, Tuolumne, Mono, and all counties north of those counties.

Locals 535 and 616 proposed three public sector locals. Local 535 Executive Director Damita Davis-Howard's description of the proposed Northern California provisional local was similar to Local 949's proposal, and included the members serviced out of Local 535's Oakland

and Sacramento offices, including the State Bar (a statewide employer), San Andreas Regional Center (located in the South Bay), and Valley Mountain Regional Center (located in multiple counties including San Joaquin and Stanislaus), as well as Local 535 members serviced out of Local 535's San Jose offices that are located in Alameda County and north of San Mateo County. Sister Davis-Howard further testified that the Central California local include all of the jurisdictions currently represented by Locals 415 (Santa Cruz County), 700 (Kern, Tulare and Kings counties), 715 (Santa Clara and San Mateo counties), 817 (Monterey and San Benito counties), plus all Local 535 members serviced out of its San Jose office, with the exceptions of members working in Alameda County and north of San Mateo County and the regional center employees she listed as part of the Northern California local. Local 535's proposed Southern California public sector local would include all of the jurisdictions currently represented by Locals 347, 620, 660, 998, 1997, 2028, and all Local 535 members serviced out of Local 535's Pasadena, San Diego, and Santa Barbara offices. This would include the counties of Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo, Riverside, Orange, San Diego, Imperial, and San Bernardino. Local 2028 Executive Director Ben Monterroso, while supporting the 4-egg concept, testified that Orange County should be added to the jurisdiction of the southernmost local.

Only one local, Local 347, opposed fundamental changes to its current structure.

Representatives of Local 347, which represents about 12,000 municipal employees employed by the City of Los Angeles and other cities in Los Angeles and Orange counties, took the position that city workers have unique issues and interests and that city and county workers should not be in the same local union.

Locals urged that various principles be adhered to in the course of our consideration of the public sector jurisdictional questions. Local 535 presented a comprehensive list of eight additional recommendations to guide SEIU during any reorganization. These were: the creation of new local unions rather than the merger of locals into existing local unions; the creation of an advisory body to assist with transition and implementation issues; ensuring operational continuity; creation of industry councils; restructuring of other SEIU bodies that support public sector locals in California; providing full employment for staff; allowing all members to vote on the hearing officers' recommendations; and preservation of the history and culture of the current public sector locals. Ex. 12. In addition, at the hearing, Sister Davis-Howard urged that, in consolidating existing local unions to create new entities, existing locals be moved in their entirety into the new locals, rather than being divided up and assigned to different regional locals. Tr. 130, 134-35.

Many of the suggestions of Local 535 were echoed by other locals. In particular, locals and members emphasized the need for members to vote on the reorganization plan, the importance of maintaining staff and offices in local communities where existing local unions maintain a presence, the necessity of honoring staff contracts, the benefits of establishing new ("provisional") local unions instead of merging locals into existing unions, and the importance of creating a member advisory committee charged with laying out democratic governance structures, dealing with dues, staffing and office location issues, and establishing statewide industry councils to bring together SEIU members doing similar work across regional locals.

### 2. Proposals for Public Healthcare Workers Jurisdiction

One focus of the hearings was the question whether jurisdiction for employees in public healthcare facilities should go to public sector locals or to UHW. By "public healthcare workers" we mean employees of public hospitals, public nursing homes, and related facilities, including healthcare workers in clinics, prisons and health departments.

UHW President Sal Rosselli and other UHW representatives and members testified that, in general, public hospital workers belong in a local union focused on healthcare. Tr. 1938-78. Brother Rosselli stated that the common healthcare industry was more important than the common employer. UHW argued that hospitals form a distinct sector, with common legal requirements, managed care contracts, technology and clinical practices. UHW stressed that the care delivered and the work performed are the same in privately run hospitals as in public hospitals. UHW emphasized that, compared with other public employees, hospital workers are distinguished by the requirement for advanced education, licensing, certification and continuing education, the highly regulated environment in which they operate, exposure to toxic substances, infectious diseases, and higher rates of injuries, unique scheduling issues and unique staffing issues.

UHW noted that the counties' portion of funding was relatively small, ranging from 21.9% in San Francisco to 2.6% in San Joaquin County. UHW made the point that public sector healthcare workers identified themselves as healthcare workers first, and county workers second. Brother Rosselli pledged to bring the same high standards UHW has won for private sector hospital workers at systems like Kaiser to public hospital workers. UHW noted that many healthcare job classifications were common across the public and private sectors. UHW

representatives argued that UHW's knowledge of the healthcare industry and workplace issues common to all healthcare workers would be at the service of public hospital workers if they were in the same union – UHW.

While stating its general position that public hospital workers belong in UHW's jurisdiction, UHW also acknowledged that a county-by-county analysis was warranted, with the yardstick being a determination of which local could most effectively represent the interests of public healthcare members. Brother Rosselli testified that, because public healthcare workers form a substantial portion of the membership of Local 660, and because Local 660 has done an excellent job in winning high standards for its public hospital members, Local 660 should retain jurisdiction for its public hospital members. In a post-hearing submission, Brother Rosselli requested that UHW be granted jurisdiction for public hospital workers in Alameda, San Francisco, and Monterey Counties. He argued for a case-by-case approach with respect to jurisdiction for SEIU members employed by public hospitals in other counties, and for employees of all public hospitals in which SEIU currently does not have membership, including all hospitals affiliated with the University of California, in the event that AFSMCE transfers those members to SEIU at some point in the future.

Brother Vellardita, on behalf of Local 817, testified in support of UHW's position. He stated that Local 817 was not as well positioned as UHW is to win higher standards for workers at the public hospital in Monterey County. Brother Vellardita testified that Local 817 lacked the knowledge of the industry that UHW has acquired through its representation of private sector hospital workers. He emphasized that medical economics trumps the single employer – single

union approach because relationships with county officials cannot ultimately overcome the dictates of the healthcare industry market.

For its part, the Public Services Division took the position that public sector local unions should have jurisdiction for public healthcare workers. Tr. 1908-20. Assistant Division Director Tanner testified that placement of public hospital workers in public sector unions would promote industry strength, because public health workers and other public workers in the same community share the same employer, and community strength, because public health members work shoulder to shoulder with other public services workers to build safe and healthy communities. Brother Tanner pointed out that healthcare workers represent a substantial portion of the membership of public locals. He asserted that health services are just one of many types of services provided by county workers, including social services, public safety, property services, and transportation, none of which ought to be removed from the jurisdiction of public sector locals. He stressed that, because the county is the single employer for public healthcare workers and other employees of the county, placing these members in the public sector local is the only way to uphold the principles of "One Employer - One Union" and "Speaking with One Voice." Brother Tanner emphasized that major benefits for all county workers, including health insurance, pension, retiree medical, and vacation, tend to be the same for all workers, with the exception of public safety employees. He also stated that public sector locals will have the capacity and experience to carry out the political work at the state and federal levels that impact county government expenditures on public health needs.

The Public Services Division presentation also pointed out the practical difficulties of attempting to split off representation of public health workers from other county workers.

Brother Tanner explained that bargaining units for all but one county are cross-departmental. He remarked that, in unit determination decisions, the largest possible bargaining units are favored, with the goal of avoiding fragmentation and the proliferation of smaller bargaining units. Josie Mooney, Local 790's Executive Director, and other representatives and members of Local 790, echoed this proposition. Sister Mooney presented evidence that 33 job classifications in the San Francisco Department of Public Health were located in other City of San Francisco departments. Tr. 2077-78. She noted in particular Clerks, Transcriber Typists, Account Clerks, Health Care Billing Clerks, and Senior Telephone Operators, all of whom are covered by the same contract and are in the same bargaining unit whether inside or outside of the Department of Public Health (Ex. 53).

Similar testimony was given by Kristy Sermersheim, Executive Secretary of Local 715, Brother Wollesen on behalf of Local 700, Mark Kisselburg, an executive board member of Local 1997, and Annelle Grajeda and Steve Matthews, General Manager and Director of Health Operations, respectively, of Local 660. Tr. 1929-38, 2013-34, 2092-2100. Brother Matthews testified that Los Angeles County's workforce is highly integrated with many classifications of workers working in many different county departments, including the Department of Health Services ("DHS"). For example, according to Local 660, 20 of 22 Los Angeles County departments (including DHS) employ intermediate clerks, and 16 departments (also including DHS) have Warehouse Worker Aids. Nurses work in eight county departments in addition to DHS. The collective bargaining units and contracts covering these common job classifications span departmental lines. Local 660's official positions was that public healthcare units belong in public sector unions (Ex. 54).

Moreover, Brothers Wollesen and Kisselburg pointed out that it was unlikely that the union could unilaterally remove healthcare workers from common bargaining units with other county workers. They stressed that the counties had an interest in the makeup of the bargaining units and were unlikely to agree to the removal of these workers from the broader county units. Grace Corse, a Registered Nurse and chair of the combined Los Angeles County RN bargaining units for Local 660, testified that nurses identified with their fellow county employees and did not wish to be divided from them in a separate local union. Tr. 2039-41. She asserted that RNs benefited from the public sector locals' fight for safe staffing levels. Other health care members of Local 660 and 790 gave similar testimony. Sister Grajeda emphasized that health care members contribute greatly to the local membership as a whole, and also benefit from their unity with other county workers in the local. Brother Wollesen pointed out that the goal of creating larger, effective regional public sector locals would be undermined by removing public health workers, such as those who work for Kern Medical Center, from the public sector locals. He added that public health workers' pay and benefits are protected by the fact that they are currently inextricably linked to the pay and benefits for workers countywide.

Further, Sisters Davis-Howard, Mooney and Fran Jefferson, Executive Director of Local 616, testified that at Alameda County Medical Center, Laguna Honda Hospital, and San Francisco General Hospital, where multiple SEIU local unions represent employees of the same employer, relationships among the local unions have been difficult, and bargaining coordination has not always gone smoothly. Tr. 2084-86. Sister Mooney described two occasion on which Local 790 and UHW took opposite positions on issues being discussed with the San Francisco Department of Public Health. Sister Davis-Howard noted that, frequently, the locals were

obliged to call in assistance from the International Union to referee disputes among the locals during contract negotiations. Tr. 2001.

The Public Services Division also contended that public health systems constitute a separate sector, as evidenced by the fact that public hospitals have their own association, called the California Association of Public Hospitals, which is separate from the association for private hospitals. Along these same lines, the Division stressed that public health systems have a fundamentally different mission than do their private sector counterparts: to provide indigent care and psychiatric emergency care, and to serve the entire community, irrespective of ability to pay. For instance, Brother Tanner testified that public hospitals provide 85% of the indigent care in counties where they operate and serve a patient population that is 76% people of color, despite the fact the public hospitals make up only six percent of California hospitals.

## 3. Proposals for District Hospital Jurisdiction

Another issue to be resolved in this proceeding is jurisdiction for district hospital employees. Healthcare districts were created after World War II in California to address the problem of a shortage of hospital beds in the state. Healthcare districts are governed by elected boards. Most health care districts in California are purely public. Some have a two-board, district-private structure. In one or more of these cases the public board retains majority control of the private board. A minority of the district hospitals are affiliated with chains or managed by management companies. Examples of this are Oak Valley Hospital, managed by the Catholic Healthcare West chain, and Marin General Hospital, managed by the Sutter hospital chain.

District hospitals serve as safety net providers, including significant care for uninsured and underinsured residents. Healthcare districts are the chief source of inpatient, outpatient and emergency care to rural residents and residents in agricultural, fishing, mining and timber areas. There are 47 district hospitals, of which 31 are rural. Fifteen districts have hospitals operated by private companies. Eighteen districts provide health services but do not operate hospitals.

Some district hospitals have changed their governance from public to private. However, recent conversions in control of district hospitals have been from private to public. Examples are the creation of a healthcare district to rescue Alameda Hospital, 11 the leasing of Doctors' Hospital Pinole to the West Contra Costa healthcare district, and the switch in control of Palo Verde Hospital in Blythe from LifePoint Hospitals to the Palo Verde healthcare district.

County governments play an indirect role in the operations of healthcare districts and their hospitals. Counties approve the formation of the healthcare districts and appoint the first district board. County treasurers may act as the district treasurers and assess, collect and distribute property taxes to the districts. However, the districts have their own bonding and taxing authority to finance both operations and capital. Under California law, district hospital workers are considered public sector employees. They are covered by the Meyers-Milias Brown Act, the collective bargaining law for local government workers, and participate in public pension systems.

Healthcare districts are one type of special district, but there are others. Examples of other types of special districts are sanitary districts, water districts, recreation and park districts,

<sup>11</sup> It was explained at the hearing that Alameda County Medical Center has a unique governance structure that does not precisely fit the district hospital or public hospital models.

and community services districts. Public services locals represent workers at 68 special districts (not including education). Public services locals organized 11 new special districts in the past five years. There are approximately 4,300 healthcare district workers unorganized in SEIU targeted counties in California.

UHW and Local 817 argued that UHW should have jurisdiction for district hospitals.

UHW emphasized that district hospitals, although nominally public, behave more like private sector hospitals and are beyond the control of local government officials. Brother Vellardita added that Local 817 did not have the expertise in representing health care workers to win the highest standards for members at the district hospital it has organized (Hazel Hawkins Hospital), and asserted that UHW would be better placed to represent those members.

The Health Systems Division did not directly address the jurisdiction issue concerning district hospitals. However, as discussed above, the Division's presentation made clear that the Division included the organization of district hospitals as part of its plan to organize the healthcare industry in California.

The Public Services Division, Local 715, and Local 1997 urged us to recommend that public sector locals be given jurisdiction for district hospitals. Sister Sermersheim stressed that public sector entities like district hospitals belong in public sector unions. In urging that public sector locals have jurisdiction for district hospitals, Brother Tanner underscored the difference between district and private hospitals in terms of mission, payer mix, and governance, and likened healthcare districts to other special districts whose employees are represented by SEIU local unions.

## 4. Proposals for Southern California Education Jurisdiction

Local 99 Deputy Trustee Bill Lloyd requested that Local 99 be granted jurisdiction for education employees in the same geographical area as that covered by the South Central regional public sector local union. In a post-hearing submission, Brother Lloyd argued that the education employers form a separate sector of public employees that justifies a separate local union.

Brother Lloyd stressed that Local 99 represents the lion's share of school employees in Southern California. He asserted that, with over 30,000 members, Local 99 has sufficient size to be an effective local union with adequate resources to organize more education employees and lift standards for those already represented. Brother Lloyd pointed out that, in addition to an anticipated agency shop campaign that should add 10,000 Los Angeles Unified School District ("LAUSD") Unit G workers to the ranks of fee payers or members, Local 99 is targeting LAUSD community representatives, and will focus on early education employees and workers in public and private charter schools. Brother Lloyd noted that a June ballot proposition, if passed, will make preschool available for all 4-year olds in California, and would result in thousands of new SEIU Local 99 members filling jobs that will be created by this initiative.

Brother Lloyd stressed that, unlike other local government employees, school employees are not covered by the Meyers-Millias-Brown Act. Instead, school employees are subject to the provisions of the Education Code and the Educational Employment Relations Act of 1976, which established collective bargaining in California's public schools and community colleges. He noted as well the unique set of players that shape policy and funding in the educations arena, including the California Teachers Association and the California School Employees Association.

### 5. Recommendations for Local Government Jurisdiction

## a. General Principles

The current fragmentation of local government jurisdiction and representation is simply inadequate to meet the needs of SEIU members in the 21st Century. We must be bold and recognize the need to change ourselves and our union if we expect to stay ahead of the curve as government and industry change all around us. Our 600,000 California members should provide us with greater clout in improving their lives than we have thus far been able to bring to bear on the employers and political bodies with whom we must deal. At the outset of this discussion of public sector jurisdiction, we state an overriding principle: we need larger, stronger local unions in the public sector that can focus on growing the worker voice for workers within the jurisdiction of the Public Services Division, including the 100,000 developmental disabilities workers and 40,000 mental health workers in California. These new local unions are also needed to grow our traditional public worker presence in cities and counties in the conservative, fastest-growing areas of the state. In short, we are convinced that the time for significant change is now.

Accordingly, we recommend the creation of four new local unions with jurisdiction for local government employees. We find the proposal to combine 19 local unions representing public sector employees into one or two local unions to be overly ambitious as a first step. The melding of 19 different local union cultures, personnel, collective bargaining responsibilities, and political orientations into four local unions will be a major undertaking. As Bill Steck, Executive Director of Local 707, stated, we must be careful to do the job right. It may be that, at some point in the future, it will make sense to further consolidate our California public sector locals

into two or even one local union. For now, however, we recommend adopted of the "four egg" approach.

In this connection, we note that Locals 535 and 620 questioned the viability of a San Diego based local. Tr. 132-33, 189. It is true that a San Diego public sector local, even with the Local 535 members and jurisdiction in Imperial County, might not have the size and therefore the resources necessary to assure rapid expansion into the northern and eastern portions of the two-county area. However, given that San Diego is the second largest city in California, and that Imperial County is slated for growth, we think that, as of now, the prospect of a Southern public sector local based in San Diego and with jurisdiction in Imperial County is worth pursuing. As indicated below, however, we also recommend that this jurisdictional arrangement, like the others we recommend today, be revisited in the future so that a determination may be made whether these new regional public sector locals are organizing, growing, and engaging in political action at the high level we expect.

We agree with the suggestion of Brother Steck and Sister Davis-Howard to create what they each termed "provisional locals." By this we understand them to mean that new public sector unions should be chartered, as opposed to merging existing local unions into other existing locals. We agree that this will enhance the prospects for cooperation from all members and leaders of existing locals, and will provide a real opportunity for a fresh start in terms of developing local union structures that will meet the needs of our California members now and in the future for strong, resource-rich, democratic unions with expansive reaches into all parts of California.

Whether or not the creation of four regional locals leads to further consolidation along the lines proposed by Local 817, we think these new locals should closely cooperate with each other and share operations where possible. For example, the new locals may be able to realize economies of scale and improve efficient delivery of member services by jointly carrying out "back office" administrative or representational functions.

From what we have said thus far it should be clear that we do not think a stand-alone municipal workers local union such as that urged by Local 347 makes sense. Our experience in virtually every public sector union in California is that city workers and county workers are together in the same local unions, and that each group gives strength to the other. For example, Local 715 represents over 1,500 municipal workers and nearly 11,000 county workers, and Local 620 represents about 1,200 municipal workers and over 2,200 county workers. Representatives of public locals emphasized the benefits of combining the strength of municipal and county employees. Matthew Nathanson, Acting President of Local 415, testified that there are many similarities in job classifications between city and county workers. Tr. 682. He also noted that the person "who is on the City Council may be the person who is on the Board of Supervisors tomorrow."

Moreover, Local 347's own experience demonstrates that it has grown in part by merging formerly independent associations into the local union. These independent associations are far smaller than Local 347, but nevertheless decided that they could unite their strength with other public workers in a much larger organization and still retain a degree of local control. There is no reason why the same cannot be true of Local 347 itself, which will be far larger in proportion to the new South Central regional local union than the independent associations were when

compared with Local 347 at the time they merged into Local 347. In addition, if our recommendations are adopted, Local 347, like other public sector locals, will have a seat at the table in drawing up the structure of the new regional locals.

If our recommendations are adopted, all existing SEIU public sector local unions in California except Locals 99, 1000, 1983, 2579, and the new SEIU-AFSCME joint childcare local union, will be consolidated into new local unions. This consolidation will include a substantial portion of the members of Locals 347, 415, 535, 614, 616, 620, 700, 715, 790, 817, 949, 998, 1280, 1292, 1997, 2028, and 4988. We agree with Sister Davis-Howard that it is vital to preserve in permanent form the proud history, achievements, cultures and traditions of these SEIU local unions. Accordingly, we recommend that the International Union establish a suitable archive or similar facility whose purpose will be to memorialize this history for the benefit of current and future SEIU members and activists.

### b. Geographic Jurisdiction

With respect to the contested questions of geographic boundaries for the new locals, we recommend as follows. Jurisdiction for San Joaquin County should be awarded to the Northern regional local union. Although that county is sometimes considered a part of the Central Valley, San Joaquin County employees are currently represented by Local 790, and to move them to the North Central local would split the public sector workers currently represented by Local 790 into two regional local unions, because the bulk of the Local 790 membership will go into the Northern local union.

Similarly, we do not think it makes sense for Kern County to be placed in the South Central local union. To do so would divide the members currently represented by Local 700 into two regional local unions, given that Kings and Tulare counties are placed in the North Central local union. Moreover, Brother Wollesen and Chuck Waide, Local 700's Supervisor of Field Services, made a convincing case that SEIU's Kern County members have much more in common with the Central Valley counties to the north than they do with the Los Angeles basin to the south. Tr. 489-91, 504-06. Besides being geographically separated from Los Angeles by the Tehachapi range, Kern County and its workers share a common culture and political orientation with Central Valley counties like Tulare and Kings.

We also do not recommend splitting up the Tri-County area identified by Walt Hamilton, Executive Director of Local 620, and Sister Davis-Howard. The Tri-County area consists of Ventura, Santa Barbara, and San Luis Obispo counties. Tr. 134, 187. Brother Hamilton testified that there is a historical tie among these counties. The central labor council covering these counties is known as the Tri-County Central Labor Council. There are also close ties between this area and Los Angeles. Los Angeles-based Local 99 has assisted Local 998, the Ventura public local, with school district bargaining. Tr. 316. Local 998's IHSS providers are being serviced by Local 434B, also headquartered in Los Angeles, and have recently voted to join that local. In addition, Local 660, another Los Angeles-based union, assisted Local 998 by training organizers and assisting with collective bargaining. Tr. 344. On the other hand, we do not perceive a strong connection between Santa Barbara and the Central Valley counties. These are some of the factors that lead us to conclude that the coastal Tri-County area is more appropriately placed in the South Central regional local.

In addition, we are convinced that the South Central regional local should have jurisdiction for Orange County. Orange County forms an essentially unbroken greater metropolitan area with much of Los Angeles County. Except for a small strip to the south, it is surrounded by jurisdictions that will be in the South Central local (Los Angeles, San Bernardino and Riverside counties). Locals 347 and 660, both based in Los Angeles, already represent workers in Orange County. Former Orange County Local 787 merged into Local 660 and became Chapter 787 of Local 660, with an office in the county. Tr. 344. By contrast, Local 2028, which is seeking jurisdiction for Orange County, does not represent workers in the county and has no offices there.

Finally, we recommend that Regional Center employees be assigned to the regional public local where the employees work. The particular statewide concerns of these employees can be the subject of an industry council uniting similar workers across regional lines.

We therefore recommend the following geographic jurisdiction for these four local government local unions, together with the recommended mergers of public sector workers in existing locals into each new regional entity, as detailed below. The names we use here for the new locals are for purposes of this report only and we are not recommending that the IEB adopt these particular designations.

Northern Regional Public Sector Local: Jurisdiction for public sector workers in the counties of Del Norte, Siskiyou, Modoc, Humboldt, Trinity, Shasta, Lassen, Mendocino, Tehama, Plumas, Glenn, Butte, Sierra, Lake, Colusa, Sutter, Yuba, Nevada, Sonoma, Napa, Yolo, Sacramento, Placer, El Dorado, Amador, Marin, Solano, San Francisco, Contra Costa, Alameda, San Joaquin, and Calaveras. We recommend the merger into this new local of the

public sector units, excluding IHSS workers, of Locals 535 (Northern workers only), 614, 616, 707, 790, 949, 1280, 1292, and 4988. In addition, public employees represented by UHW and Local 790 who work for San Francisco International Airport should be merged into the Northern regional local.

North Central Regional Public Sector Local: Jurisdiction for public sector workers in the counties of San Mateo, Santa Cruz, Santa Clara, Santislaus, Alpine, Tuolumne, Mono, Monterey, San Benito, Merced, Mariposa, Madera, Fresno, Kings, Tulare, Inyo, and Kern. We recommend the merger into this new local of the public sector units, excluding those in district hospitals, of Locals 415 (also excluding IHSS workers), 535 (North Central workers only), 700, 715 (including IHSS workers), and 817 (also excluding IHSS workers).

South Central Regional Public Sector Local: Jurisdiction for public sector workers in the counties of San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura, Los Angeles, San Bernardino, Riverside, and Orange. We recommend the merger into this new local of the public sector units of Locals 347, 535 (South Central workers only), 620, 660 (excluding Los Angeles Office of Education employees), 998, and 1997 (excluding district hospital workers).

**Southern Regional Public Sector Local:** Jurisdiction for public sector workers in the counties of San Diego and Imperial. We recommend the merger into this new local of the public sector units of Locals 535 (Southern workers only) and 2028.

Many public sector locals and members voiced their desires for a process to allow member and leader input concerning the structure of the regional locals. We agree with these concerns, and accordingly we recommend that the International President appoint a broad-based member advisory committee on the public sector reorganization. The committee should be

charged with developing a proposed structure for the regional public local unions, as well as proposed industry councils that would operate across regional lines. The committee should develop a plan for transitional continuity and governance. The committee's work should be driven by the following set of core principles:

- Regional locals should have similar structures
- Structure must facilitate growth
- Structure should dramatically increase member participation
- Structure should enable the delivery of enhanced member services
- Implementation plan should provide for new leader and staff development

We recommend that the committee's work be concluded no later than six months and that a plan be submitted to the undersigned hearing officers for review and approval prior to submission to the International President by the end of 2006.

### c. Jurisdiction for Public Healthcare Workers

We further recommend that the new regional public sector local unions have jurisdiction for public healthcare employees, including public hospital workers and public nursing home workers. We are not persuaded that it makes sense to divide workers of a single employer into multiple local unions. Such a course would run counter to the principle we have adhered to in countless jurisdictional matters of uniting workers of a single employer in a single union to build power for our members and to enable us to speak with one voice. The mixed results we have had in San Francisco and Alameda County with multiple unions representing employees of a single public employer further suggests that we need to try another approach. By eliminating

fragmented local union representation of employees of the same employer, we will be able to speak with one voice on behalf of our members at these facilities.

We are not convinced that stripping out public healthcare workers from multi-department county-wide bargaining units is achievable, practicable or desirable. In fact, legal and administrative constraints on fragmentation of bargaining units could make this a risky maneuver without clear benefits to the members.

We recognize the special mission of the public health sector. We think that the public sector regional unions will be in the best position to deal with county employers on the issues facing county public health workers. Many of these issues cut across departmental lines and affect other county workers as well. We want to see a structure that permits public health care workers to fully benefit from efforts made on behalf of other county workers, and also to make their own contributions toward winning higher standards for their brothers and sisters in other departments of local government.

We are also mindful of the Herculean task the Health Systems Division has given UHW: organizing over 40,000 private sector and healthcare district employees into SEIU.

Accomplishing this far-reaching goal will require tremendous resources, focus, and dedication from UHW, and falls squarely within its core jurisdiction. No other SEIU local union in California is attempting to nor has the capacity to organize private sector healthcare workers. We think that UHW needs to concentrate on this primary goal, and that our recommendation regarding jurisdiction will help it do just that.

If our recommendation is adopted, new regional public sector locals will exercise jurisdiction for public healthcare workers within their respective geographies. This includes

public healthcare workers currently represented by existing public sector local unions, and workers currently represented by UHW at San Francisco General Hospital, Alameda County Medical Center ("ACMC") (including the public acute and psychiatric hospital and clinics and public nursing home), and the Laguna Honda Hospital public nursing home. These units should be merged into the Northern regional public local. We suggest one exception to assignment of public health members to the regional public locals. UHW represents workers at Tuolumne General Hospital. No other SEIU local union represents workers at this hospital, and there was no testimony offered concerning this facility. The Public Services Division should gather information concerning Tuolumne to evaluate whether and how it fits in with the Division's strategic goals. For the present, these workers should remain in UHW, subject to later review.

## d. Public Sector Registered Nurses

Based on the testimony given by public sector registered nurses, we think RNs demonstrated a strong identification with the special, public mission of the public health care populations with whom they work, as well as an appreciation for the work of other healthcare workers and an understanding of the significant role registered nurses can play in a unified healthcare local union. We recommend that the new regional public sector locals have jurisdiction for the public sector registered nurses, just as current public sector local unions like Local 790 have jurisdiction for public sector registered nurses. We also recognize that RNs play a critical role in leading the public health care team. Accordingly, we make specific recommendations on RN structures both within and outside the new regional local unions.

We recommend that each regional local have dedicated staff to help coordinate and lead the nurse program work within the new public locals. Each regional local should also have a designated nurse leader with responsibility for overseeing the development and implementation of the nurse program within the local. We further recommend that each regional local representing RNs have proportional representation on the steering committee/leadership group of the Nurse Alliance of California. This will enable public sector RNs to have a strong voice in matters of legislation, policy, standards and bargaining that cut across regional lines. We think such representation will promote the RN identity within the public sector regional locals, including the occupational and professional issues of public sector RNs.

To ensure that public sector RNs have a significant role in the development of statewide initiatives of interest and benefit to the profession, we also recommend that two co-chairs of the Nurse Alliance of California be created, and that one co-chair be reserved for a representative of public sector RNs, and the other for a representative of private sector RNs. Due to the high density of public sector nurses in California and the critical role that California nurses play in leading on quality, patient care issues, we also recommend strengthening the public sector RN voice at the national level by adding an additional public sector RN representative to the national Nurse Alliance leadership group.

Further, we recommend that a representative of public sector registered nurses serve on the member advisory committee referenced below, so that registered nurses will have a role in the design of the regional public sector unions that will be created if our recommendations are approved.

# e. Ancillary Public Sector Jurisdiction

In addition to their core jurisdictions, public sector local unions also represent employees of private sector employers, such as bookstores, contracted national park employees, and non-public airport workers (other than contracted airport workers and security personnel), that don't fall into any of the SEIU industry divisions. Locals 535 and 790 are examples of public local unions with such members. We recommend that the regional public sector unions retain jurisdiction for these ancillary units.

## 6. Jurisdiction for Private Non-Profit Agency Employees

Consistent with our recommendation that the new regional public services local unions absorb the jurisdiction for public sector workers from existing public sector local unions, we also recommend that the new regional locals have jurisdiction for employees of publicly-funded private non-profit agencies. Such agencies are prevalent in the delivery of services to developmentally disabled and mental health clients. The new regional local unions should also have jurisdiction for privately-funded non-profit agencies that deliver social services, such as Planned Parenthood and the Red Cross.

## 7. Jurisdiction for District Hospital Employees

The evidence regarding district hospitals demonstrated that they are a true hybrid.

Although district hospitals are clearly public entities, they often behave like private sector hospitals. County government does play a role in the establishment and operation of district hospitals, but that role is limited. Some healthcare districts hire a private company or chain to manage the district hospital; other district hospitals are directly managed by elected boards. And

some even have a combination of public and private governance. To make matters even less clear, several hospitals have passed from private to public governance, or vice versa. District hospital workers confront many of the same challenges as other hospital workers, but this does not further the claims of either the public sector locals or UHW, because both represent, and will continue to represent, hospital workers.

We believe that the evidence does not warrant a blanket grant of jurisdiction to either public sector locals or UHW for organizing unorganized district hospitals. Instead, we recommend that UHW and the public sector locals share jurisdiction for the unorganized district hospitals, and that a case-by-case approach govern the award of specific organizing rights, with the right to organize a particular district hospital determined by division strategic growth goals in the area. We further recommend that proposals to organize particular district hospitals be submitted to the directors of both the Public Services Division and the Health Systems Division for approval. Any disagreement between the divisions regarding a grant of organizing rights with respect to a district hospital should be resolved by the International President.

Division strategic organizing imperatives are less significant with respect to El Camino district hospital in Santa Clara County and Hazel Hawkins district hospital in San Benito County, both district hospitals that have already been organized. While the case is a close one, we recommend that, on balance, the private-sector behavior of these two district hospitals argues for UHW to represent the members at El Camino and Hazel Hawkins, and that merger with UHW would strengthen the hand of these workers given their particular circumstances. As discussed above, workers at Alameda County Medical Center should be merged into the Northern regional public local.

## 8. Jurisdiction for School Employees

We agree with Local 99 and Local 535 that Local 99 is large enough to be a self-sustaining local union focused on the education sector. In addition, we recommend that Local 99 be granted jurisdiction for all education employees in the same geographic area covered by the recommended South Central regional public sector local, namely, Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo, San Bernardino, Riverside, and Orange counties.<sup>12</sup>

We think that the particular statutes and policies governing education in California make a separate schools local feasible in Southern California. By "education employees," we mean Head Start, K-12, county office of education, and community college employees. With one exception (noted below), all such employees represented by current public sector local unions in the counties listed above should be merged into Local 99 as soon as feasible. These include employees of the Santa Monica school district and the Los Angeles County Office of Education currently represented by Local 660, Pleasant Valley School District workers in Local 998, and Ventura Community College members represented by Local 535. Due to legal restrictions on school employees and supervisors being in the same certified union, jurisdiction for LAUSD school supervisors represented by Local 347 should be transferred to the new South Central regional public local.

<sup>12</sup> In his post-hearing submission, Brother Lloyd requested jurisdiction for Kern County education employees. However, if our recommendations are adopted by the IEB, the South Central public local will not have jurisdiction for Kern County. Accordingly, Local 99 should not have jurisdiction for Kern County school employees. Rather, these employees should be in the jurisdiction of the North Central regional local.

# 9. Jurisdiction for Employees of the State of California and the California State University System

In contrast to the multiplicity of local unions representing local government workers, only one SEIU local union, Local 1000, represents employees of the State of California. Accordingly, we have no occasion at this time to recommend any changes. We note that Local 1000 needs to adhere to the 20% organizing budget mandate set forth in the SEIU Constitution and Bylaws. In this connection, Local 1000 should submit an organizing plan to the Public Services Division for approval.

Local 1000 is an affiliate of the California State Employees Association ("CSEA") along with Local 2579, which represents classifications of non-faculty employees of the CSU system. The CSU system is governed by an independent Board of Trustees. Tr. 1119. At the hearing on CSU jurisdiction, Local 2579 President Pat Gantt requested jurisdiction for private sector employees of CSU foundations. Tr. 1115. Brother Gantt testified that there are nearly 35,000 employees working for 80 foundations throughout the CSU system. Half of the foundations are student-run, and those account for 7,500 employees. Fifteen hundred employees are performing work substantially similar to bargaining unit work being performed by CSU employees. Yet, only 70 foundation employees are currently represented.

We agree that it makes sense for Local 2579 to have jurisdiction for the CSU foundation employees, except for jurisdiction already granted to SWU, provided that Local 2579 develops and submits to the Public Services Division for approval a detailed plan for implementing the 20% organizing budget requirement. This plan should be submitted jointly by Local 2579 and

California Faculty Associates, Local 1983, the SEIU local union that represents CSU teaching faculty.

Both Local 1983 and Local 2579 represent CSU employees across the state. Some of the CSU campuses are far from urban centers. Accordingly, we recommend that these two CSU locals intensify their coordination and cooperation to the maximum extent possible. We recommend that, wherever possible, Locals 1983 and 2579 coordinate bargaining and share office space and staff. Ultimately, we think that these two locals, representing employees of the same employer, should voluntarily discuss maximizing coordination.

## B. Jurisdiction for Long-Term Care Workers

## 1. Proposals for Long-Term Care Reorganization

### a. The Statewide Model

According to the testimony of Brothers Kieffer and Barton on behalf of the Long-Term Care Division, local elected leaders in the Division from across the country have concluded that long-term care is a distinct industry within healthcare uniquely dependent on state Medicaid programs for funding. Tr. 1121-36, 1979-91. Therefore, the Division believes that the most logical geographical model for organizing and collective bargaining strength in the Long-Term Care Division is a single statewide local union for long-term care workers in each state.

Brothers Kieffer and Barton stressed that it is important to concentrate members' political power at the state level because the state Medicaid program is the most important funding stream for long-term care workers. They added that uniting all employees of statewide and national nursing home chains and homecare entities is best done in a single statewide local union. The

Division representatives emphasized that large scale organizing and bargaining campaigns require large scale resources, and that overcoming wage and benefits variations within a state is best accomplished by unified statewide approaches.

Brothers Kieffer and Barton explained that, in California, there are 90,000 unorganized nursing home workers, 70,000 unorganized home health care workers, and 46,000 unorganized employees of assisted living facilities and comprehensive care campuses. Uniting these 206,000 long-term care workers into SEIU will require focus, concentration, resources, and speaking with one voice.

The Division presentation highlighted SEIU's organizing successes among long-term care workers. Over the last decade, long-term care representation in California grew from less than 10,000 members to almost 240,000. <sup>13</sup> Of these, Local 434B organized at least 107,000 and UHW organized at least 43,000. In 2005, SEIU organized 18,710 new long-term care workers in California. Local 434B organized 18,494 of those new members, and UHW organized 292. In 2005, Local 434B and the Division devoted considerable resources to achieve that result. Future organizing is tied to the private sector in nursing homes, homecare agencies and senior housing ~ sectors that are still subject to state politics.

Brothers Kieffer and Barton pointed out that the nursing home industry is a national and statewide industry. There are 1185 nursing homes in California. Twenty-two firms control 450 facilities and most operate in both Northern and Southern California. Multi-facility operators run 90% of the state's nursing home facilities. Many of the largest California operators are also the

<sup>13</sup> This corrected figure was supplied in a post-hearing submission from the Division.

largest national companies that bargain with other SEIU locals in other states. SEIU bargains with most statewide operators at a statewide table.

The Division believes that nursing home membership growth requires a statewide strategy. SEIU is engaging in joint political work with a majority of the large chains to help the industry win more funding to enable raising standards for industry workers. Through such statewide efforts we have won organizing rights at 120 facilities, with 50 organized to date. Our work on accountability campaigns is statewide in scope. And Medi-Cal, the California Medicaid program, pays nursing home fees for 65% of all nursing homes residents.

In homecare, county-based strategies were initially important in organizing the industry and setting standards. However, today, county-based strategies are less important in organizing and contract bargaining, based on three factors: 1) Medicaid funding decisions are made in Sacramento, 2) IHSS public authorities are now mandated, and 3) all IHSS workers are organized. Between 1999 and 2004, our political successes in Sacramento have reduced the county share of IHSS funding from 66% to 17.5%, and increased the state share from 0 to 32.5%.

The Division explained that a county-based strategy will not address IHSS wage disparity across the state. The highest paid IHSS workers earn \$3.75 an hour more than the lowest paid IHSS workers for doing exactly the same work. Wage variations for nursing home CNAs is \$5.83 per hour. Yet Medicaid is the biggest funder for IHSS workers and nursing home workers, and is controlled in Sacramento. And wage standards for IHSS workers cannot be explained by the alignment of county bargaining. Whether or not the same local union represents IHSS providers and county employees, wage rates are determined by the poverty rate and local labor rates.

Brothers Kieffer and Barton asserted that the collective political strength of the union statewide is what is most important in addressing current and future challenges in homecare and nursing homes. These two facets of the industry are inextricably linked, according to the Division. They are part of the continuum of long-term care and share the same Medicaid funding source which is controlled at the state and federal levels. Brothers Kieffer and Barton asserted that battles for future growth in the industry must be fought at the state level, including defending the Medicaid program from repeated assaults by politicians, influencing state contracts with managed care organizations that contract with long-term care providers, and using our political strength to increase the pace of organizing in nursing homes and senior housing. According to the Division, for a local union to efficiently and effectively manage statewide issues and strategies, long-term care members should be its sole priority, providing a single voice representing long-term care members across the state.

Local 434B supports the concept of a single local union with jurisdiction for all long-term care workers in California. Tr. 1344-94, 2102-27. Local 434B President Tyrone Freeman testified that Local 434B understands the particular needs and concerns of long-term care workers because it represents only long-term care workers in California. Brother Freeman stressed that Local 434B is already a statewide union, representing nearly 180,000 long-term care workers in every geographic area of the state. Brother Freeman agreed with the Division testimony that a statewide strategy will be necessary as we move to address the needs of long-term care workers at the bargaining table and in Sacramento. He cited a 2002 court ruling that lHSS "is a comprehensive program, comprehensively regulated by the state." He pointed out that SEIU local leaders representing homecare workers have endorsed a united strategy by signing the

California Homecare Council agreement providing for a unified approach to bargaining standards and legislative initiatives. Brother Freeman chairs the Council. He also detailed the many programs offered by Local 434B designed solely for long-term care workers, such as a scholarship program, a housing corporation, and various training programs.

In post-hearing submissions, Sister Jefferson, on behalf of Local 616, supported the statewide model advanced by the Long-Term Care Division and the Division's rationale for that model. Sister Jefferson concluded that only Local 434B, as the SEJU local union with the largest long-term care membership and a statewide presence, is in a position to carry out the statewide model. Local 616 stated that, in the event immediate consolidation of all long-term care members into Local 434B is not feasible, those IHSS workers in public sector local unions should be consolidated with Local 434B now, and UHW's long-term care units should be merged after a transition period. UHW and Locals 707, 817, 715, and 1280 opposed a statewide model that would unite all long-term care workers in Local 434B.

### b. The North/South Model

On behalf of UHW, Brother Rosselli stated that, in principle, a single local union representing all healthcare workers, including long-term care employees, was the preferred model. Brother Rosselli argued that long-term care workers are healthcare workers, and therefore all California long-term care workers should be united in UHW. However, acknowledging the complexity of the issue, Brother Rosselli proposed that UHW be granted jurisdiction for all IHSS workers and nursing home workers in Northern California, and that Local 434B be granted jurisdiction for these workers in Southern California. Tr. 1256-64. UHW

further proposed that it be granted jurisdiction for all private sector homecare workers in the state.

In proposing a partnership between UHW and Local 434B, Brother Rosselli emphasized the successes realized by both local unions on behalf of long-term care workers. Brother Rosselli stressed that Local434B and UHW were already working together in the nursing home sector with coordinated bargaining, political work, and organizing support, and in the homecare sector in the California Homecare Council and the California United Homecare Workers Union. He pointed out that, together, UHW and Local 434B have organized and represent the vast majority of unionized long-term care workers in California. Brother Rosselli further asserted that UHW and Local 434B have the resources, depth of industry knowledge and vision necessary to address the challenges of the future. He detailed UHW's plans to organize private sector home health workers, nursing home workers, and employees of senior living facilities. In a post-hearing submission, Brother Rosselli presented additional materials and arguments in favor of a UHW-434B partnership in the representation of convalescent workers. The North/South model was also endorsed by Local 817. Tr. 1184-85, 1195. Local 616 opposed the North/South model

### c. The Public Sector Model

Several public sector locals advanced the proposition that all jurisdiction for homecare workers should be granted to the new public sector locals. Under this scenario, homecare workers represented by UHW and Local 434B would be assigned to the new regional locals, as would homecare workers currently represented by existing public sector locals. In addition, the regional public sector locals would have responsibility to organize the private sector non-hospital

owned homecare agencies. Ex. 34. In support of this approach, Sister Sermersheim, on behalf of Local 715, testified that counties continue to play an important role in the determination of wages and benefits for homecare workers. Tr. 1208-31. She argued that the county is the employer of record for both traditional county employees and IHSS workers. She maintained that counties contribute to the funding of IHSS programs, and that the county must pay 50% of the cost of raising IHSS workers' wages beyond \$10.50 per hour and healthcare benefits higher than \$.60 per hour, whereas the state pays zero. Sister Sermersheim pointed to the important gains made by public locals on behalf of homecare workers. She added that county unions have the political clout at the county level to increase standards for IHSS workers. Local 715 Vice President Christine Walters requested that, if the Local 715 IHSS workers could not stay in a public sector local, they preferred to merge with UHW. Tr. 1250.

Local 1280 Executive Director Art Grubel supported the public sector model in additional comments filed following the hearings. Brother Grubel stressed that, until the state takes over administration of the IHSS program, a county-by-county approach will yield more results for our IHSS members. He stated that, based on political obstacles to achieving state administration through legislation or the initiative process, and expected opposition from advocates for the elderly and the disabled, state administration of the homecare program is unlikely to be achieved anytime soon. Locals 415 and 707 also support the public sector model. Tr. 1204-08, 1325-32, 1343.

As an alternative, Brother Grubel identified what he termed a "modified status quo" approach. Under this concept, UHW and Local 434B would continue to represent homecare workers in the counties where they already have jurisdiction. The new regional public sector

locals would absorb homecare jurisdictions from the existing public sector unions currently representing homecare workers in each region. UHW and Locals 434B, 616 and 817 oppose the public sector union option.

## 2. Recommendations for Long-Term Care Workers

There is no doubt that the long-term care sector is a distinct industry. Institutionally, SEIU has recognized the unique attributes and requirements of this industry and its workers through the establishment of a Long-Term Care Division, separate from the Health Systems Division, which encompasses acute care and related facilities. The record persuasively demonstrates that the long-term care industry is characterized by state and national employers and that a statewide strategy is imperative if we are to effectively deal with the key industry players on behalf of current and future members. The record also establishes beyond dispute that all facets of the industry - homecare, skilled nursing care, and senior living - are linked as part of the continuum of supportive services for the infirm, with related workplace issues, employee skill sets, payer mixes and clients who go from one setting to another. We are convinced that, to raise standards for long-term care workers, a unified approach on the statewide level is the optimum choice. No other option has the prospect of successfully addressing the current county-by-county disparities that exists among California homecare workers. Along with the Division, Local 434B, the SEIU local union with the largest contingent of long-term care workers, agrees that uniting long-term care workers in one union makes the most sense. The North/South approach not only violates this concept; but, by the logic of UHW's single healthcare union model, the entire Southern California hospital membership of UHW would need to be moved to Local

434B. This would essentially undo the consolidation of Locals 399 and 250 that was just completed in 2005.

The county-based model of homecare work made sense when we were organizing the IHSS public authorities, and when county funding for IHSS providers was paramount. Now that all California IHSS workers are in unions, and state funding has become dominant, the county-by-county approach no longer meets the needs of our homecare membership.

SEIU locals have already taken steps in the direction of unifying our approach to the organization and representation of California long-term care workers. For example, as members of the Joint Organizing Partnership, Locals 707, 614, 1280 and 616 already pool their resources. In addition, through the California Homecare Council, local unions representing homecare workers coordinate bargaining strategies, uphold standards, determine policy goals, attempt to speak with one voice to coalition partners, and jointly plan legislative strategies. However, we need to go much further in unifying our efforts if we hope to realize our goals of organizing and lifting up all California long-term workers.

Accordingly, we think that, ideally, uniting long-term care workers in one union makes the most sense. We also believe that, to really win for long-term care workers, that local union should focus solely on the particular needs of those workers.

In proposing a structure for representation of long-term care workers, we are mindful of the principles enunciated by Sister Davis-Howard concerning the benefits of beginning anew with newly-chartered unions that can organize themselves along the most effective lines, irrespective of the old bureaucratic structures of our existing unions. Sister Davis-Howard's request that we recommend the creation of new local unions, rather than the merger of SEIU-

represented units into existing local unions, focused on the question of how we can best reorganize our public sector jurisdictions in California. However, we find her concerns equally applicable to the long-term care setting. We therefore recommend that a new local union be chartered to focus solely on our long-term care members.

We turn now to the question of the precise plan of consolidation for this new local union.

Our recommendations are as follows: First, homecare workers currently represented by Local

434B and our existing public sector unions should be merged into the new long-term care local,
with one exception.

The exception we recommend concerns the North Central regional local union. That proposed local has a large geography stretching from San Mateo, Santa Cruz and Monterey counties in the west to Mono and Inyo counties in the east, and from Stanislaus and Tuolumne counties in the north to Kern County in the south. The placement of all IHSS workers in this geography in a long-term care local would leave this local with the smallest membership base by far among the three regional locals with significant geographical sweep: according to the estimates of the International Union, the North Central regional local would have under 35,000 workers, compared with over 51,000 in the Northern region and 91,000 in the South Central region. The Southern region would have less than the North Central region, but the Southern region has a compact geography encompassing just two counties: San Diego and Imperial. We are concerned that, given the extensive territory to be covered and the increased number of collective bargaining agreements to be negotiated by the North Central local, the projected membership without homecare workers may not be sufficient to assure growth and representation at the levels we expect.

Accordingly, we recommend that, for the present, the IHSS workers currently in Local 715 be placed in the North Central regional local union, which would bring the anticipated membership in that local up to about 45,000. Based on these recommendations, the IHSS units in Locals 415, 434B, 614, 616, 707, 817, and 1280 should be merged into the new long-term care local union.

Second, the private nursing home units represented by Locals 434B and 2028 should be consolidated with this new long-term care local. Third, all private sector homecare workers represented by Local 434B should change their affiliation to the new long-term care local union. Fourth, Local 415's unit of Sunshine Villa workers should be merged into the new local. If this recommendation is adopted, we will have two local unions representing nursing home workers in the state: the new long-term care local union and UHW.

We further recommend that, for the present, UHW continue to represent its current private sector homecare workers, IHSS workers and nursing home workers. This recommendation is based on the large number of nursing home and IHSS workers currently represented by UHW and the difficulties that would be posed by removing these members from UHW.

If this recommendation is adopted, we will have three local unions representing homecare workers in the state: the North Central regional public local, the new long-term care local, and UHW. We recognize that this determination may not be the optimum solution in the long run. While three locals representing homecare workers is an improvement over the current fragmented structure, by its very nature this structure is not as compatible with the notion of speaking with one voice as a single long-term care local union would be. For this arrangement to

work well, the locals should closely coordinate their homecare activities within the California Homecare Council. The Long-Term Care Division should propose to the International President any changes in the structure of the Council which the Division deems advisable in light of the changed makeup of the Council resulting from our recommendations.

Our recommendation with regard to representation of private nursing home workers will, if adopted, result in two unions representing SEIU nursing home members: the new long-term care local and UHW. As with the recommended homecare representation model, this split representation approach is less conducive to speaking with one voice than would be the case with a unified structure. To address these concerns, we recommend that the Long-Term Care Division determine the appropriate vehicle to foster coordination and growth. One possible approach could be the creation of a unity council for private sector nursing home workers. Article VIII, Section 1(f) of the SEIU Constitution and Bylaws, adopted at the 2004 Convention, empowers the International President to require coordinated bargaining and contains new language authorizing industry divisions to propose to the International President structures, procedures and financing for coordinated bargaining.

The homecare council and any structure developed for coordination of our efforts on behalf of nursing home workers should take the lead in advancing coordinated bargaining, legislative, and political goals for SEIU's California long-term care members. We recommend that the locals with nursing home and long-term members be part of the appropriate council or other body and be required to abide by decisions and participate in programs of these vehicles of coordination.

Rather than recommending a grant of exclusive jurisdiction to the new long-term care local, UHW, or the North Central public sector local, we propose that authorization to organize long-term care facilities be determined by the Division, subject to approval by the International President. These determinations should be based on the locals' organizing strategies and the ability to win higher standards for our long-term care members in a given location. We recommend that, pending issuance of the Division's organizing plan for California long-term care workers, local unions be required to submit specific organizing proposals to the Division for approval, and that all organizing proceed in the name of the International Union.

We further recommend that the effectiveness of this reorganization be evaluated by the Division in the future to determine what changes may be warranted. The Division's analysis should focus on the success of the existing model in 1) helping to raise standards for homecare workers 2) helping to organize the long-term care industry, broadly defined, and 3) permitting UHW and the North Central regional public local to organize their core jurisdictions of private sector healthcare workers and public sector workers, respectively.

Consistent with our recommendations for public sector jurisdiction, we recommend a process for development of the structure of the new long-term care local. Accordingly, we recommend that the International President appoint a member advisory committee on long-term care reorganization. The committee should be charged with developing a proposed structure for the new long-term care local. The committee should develop a plan for transitional continuity and governance. The committee's work should be driven by the following set of core principles:

- Structure must facilitate growth
- Structure should dramatically increase member participation

- Structure should enable the delivery of enhanced member services
- Implementation plan should provide for new leader and staff development

We recommend that the committee's work be concluded no later than six months and that a plan be submitted to the undersigned hearing officers for review and approval prior to submission to the International President by the end of 2006.

## C. Jurisdiction for Private Hospital Workers Represented by Public Locals

Local 4988's private hospital units are in chains whose employees elsewhere in the state are represented by UHW. UHW plainly has the expertise, leverage and chain-wide strategies to win for these workers. Local 4988 requested that its relationship with Local 790 remain unchanged. However, if our recommendations are approved, Local 790 will be merged into a new regional local union. Accordingly, we recommend that Local 4988's private sector healthcare units merge into UHW. In addition, the affiliation of private healthcare units represented by Locals 707, 715, and 2028 should be changed to UHW as soon as feasible.

Local 121RN, which represents about 7000 private sector nurses and professionals in Southern California, seeks jurisdiction for Kaiser nurses and professionals in Southern California currently represented by Local 535. Tr. 1992-96. However, not all of Local 535's Kaiser units are in Southern California, and one is a unit of technical employees. We therefore recommend that Local 535's Kaiser units be merged into UHW as soon as feasible. UHW already represents SEIU members in the Kaiser system and is the principal SEIU local union in the Kaiser bargaining council. As such, it is the logical local union to exercise jurisdiction for these Kaiser employees.

## D. Jurisdiction for Property Services Division Members

On behalf of the Property Services Division, Brother Iny described the trend across SEIU toward consolidation of smaller local unions into larger unions focused solely on property services members. In New York City, Locals 32E, 531, 54 and 2 merged into Local 32BJ in March 2001. In 2005, Local 36 (Philadelphia) merged into Local 32BJ. And in 2006, Local 82 (Washington, D.C.) united with Local 32BJ. Working together in New York City has recently led to displaced worker protection, card check and neutrality for Brooklyn waterfront redevelopment, coordinated bargaining and the maintenance of fully paid health insurance for New York City members, and growing the union by 4,000 including suburban markets like New Jersey. In Chicago, Locals 25, 73 and 236 merged into Local 1 in 1999. In 2002, Local 1 merged with Local 50 (St. Louis), Local 96 (Kansas City), and the property services part of Local 150 (Wisconsin). Working together in Chicago has recently led to health insurance for suburban and contracted public sector security, and organization and increased standards for suburban contracted school janitors, helping to raise standards for public sector cleaners. In addition, property services members in Local 79 (Detroit), Locals 508 and 585 (Pennsylvania), and Locals 47 and 85 (Cleveland) have united in Local 3, a tri-state property services local.

Mike Garcia, President of Local 1877, testified that we are not at the point yet in California of being able to unite all property services workers in a single local union. Instead, as the next step toward uniting California property services workers, Brother Garcia and the Division proposed the creation of a California Property Services Council to bring together all California property services local unions to facilitate coordinated bargaining, help plan and implement strategic organizing campaigns, and enhance communications among local unions

representing similar employees. Local 265 supported this proposal. We agree that a California Property Services Council makes sense, and recommend that, pursuant the Division's recommendation and the International President's authority under Article VIII, Section 1(f) of the SEIU Constitution and Bylaws, such a Council be created with sufficient resources to carry out these objectives. The Council should be organized along industry sector lines so that the concerns and needs of the different occupational and employer-based groups within the Property Services Division can be addressed by leaders and members in those groups.

We recommend that the International President adopt the following plan recommended by the Division. Within six months of the IEB's decision in this matter, the locals, together with property services units from other locals, should confer and agree to a structure and plan of action for the Council. This plan should be submitted to the undersigned hearing officers by the end of 2006 for approval and submission to the International President. We recommend that Locals 1877, 24/7, 265, 280, the Los Angeles security local, and the private university group be required to participate in the Council.

As noted above, Local 2028 has an amusement division that includes stadium and arena employees, theater and convention center workers. These workers should be in a Property Services Division local union. Accordingly, we recommend that these units be consolidated with Local 1877 as soon as feasible.

In the higher education sector, the Property Services Division has members (in-house and contracted) at dozens of colleges and universities around the country. Examples are Harvard, USC, Stanford, New York University, and the University of Chicago. In California, Local 1877

also represents workers at the University of San Francisco, UC Davis, UC Berkeley, UC Irvine, Cal State Sacramento, and San Jose State.

At the hearings and in post-hearing submissions, the United Stanford Workers chapter of Local 715 proposed two alternative jurisdictional arrangements for workers at Stanford and Santa Clara universities. First, the chapter proposed that a charter be issued to a new local union covering those two universities with jurisdiction to organize university workers throughout the state. In the alternative, the chapter suggested that the Stanford workers be united with UHW, which is servicing the Stanford and Lucile Packard Hospitals and which, if this report is approved by the IEB, will soon be granted jurisdiction for the employees of those facilities. The chapter's requests were based in part on the feeling of those testifying that university workers were not part of the core jurisdiction of SEIU and did not fit into neatly into any of the division-based local unions.

Although there have been unification discussions between representatives of the Stanford chapter and Local 1877, it appears to us that an interim step toward eventual merger should be the chartering of a new local union with jurisdiction for workers employed by Stanford and Santa Clara universities. We recommend that this new local be required to participate in the Property Services Council and the development of its structure and plans, and to abide by the Council's requirements. The Council should create a higher education division that will focus resources on organizing and winning higher standards for higher education workers.

With respect to SEIU's racetrack members, testimony from Local 280 President Richard Castro made it clear that SEIU and UNITE-HERE are currently in discussions to unite SEIU's racetrack members with the gaming industry workers represented by UNITE-HERE. We agree

that UNITE-HERE is the logical place for SEIU's racetrack members. Until these discussions are completed and the racetrack members change their affiliation to UNITE-HERE, we recommend that they be housed in their current local unions (Locals 2028, 1877 and 280), participate in the Property Services Council, and abide by the Council's requirements. In the event that the change in affiliation to UNITE-HERE takes longer than anticipated, Local 2028's racetrack workers should be merged into Local 1877.

We recommend that Local 1877 have jurisdiction for subcontracted San Francisco International Airport ("SFO") employees and such workers represented by other locals should be merged into Local 1877. Any remaining employees located at SFO, whether employed by the airport directly or by ancillary employers located there, should be assigned to the Northern public local.

California cemetery workers in SEIU are spread among five local unions. Cemetery workers form a recognized occupational group within the Property Services Division. The current fragmentation of cemetery worker representation is antithetical to the New Strength Unity approach of uniting workers with similar jobs in single union. Consolidating the power of cemetery workers will give us the opportunity to organize the many non-union cemetery and country club operations identified by Local 265 in its extensive post-hearing submission.

The first step toward uniting cemetery workers will be to reduce the number of locals representing workers in the field. Accordingly, we recommend that all private sector cemetery workers in Locals 1877, 535 and 715 be merged into Local 265, which represents cemetery and country club workers exclusively. Local 265 should also be granted jurisdiction for unorganized private sector cemetery workers and green attendants. Jurisdiction for public sector cemetery

workers currently represented by Locals 700 and 715 should be awarded to the North Central regional public local.<sup>14</sup> Local 265 should participate in the Property Services Division Council and abide by its requirements.

#### E. Jurisdiction for Multiservice Workers

Local 715 represents approximately 200 employees of Bon Appetit/Compass, a food service company, in two bargaining units at Stanford and Santa Clara universities. We recommend that Service Workers United, a union of multiservice workers jointly affiliated with SEIU and UNITE-HERE, have jurisdiction for these workers. Our recommendation is consistent with the testimony of Sister Sermersheim.

#### VII. Further Recommendations and Implementation

We believe we should reorganize ourselves to enable SEIU in California to grow, to exercise enhanced political and bargaining power, and thereby win higher standards for our members. Accordingly, we further recommend that the jurisdictions of our California local unions be reviewed in the near future. The yardstick for measuring the success of the jurisdictional models we are recommending today should be growth and higher standards. As part of this review, locals should be held accountable for fulfilling SEIU's organizing mandate. In this connection, we think that the International President should immediately appoint a

<sup>14</sup> Local 700 represents workers at two district cemeteries in Bakersfield, whose employees are considered public employees; Local 715 represents employees at one public sector and one private sector cemetery.

California area-wide public sector organizing director, with responsibilities across local union lines, to work with our California public locals on their growth strategies during the implementation of the IEB's decision. The organizing director should also work with the other division locals and the International organizing leadership to best coordinate all division growth plans in California.

If our recommendations are adopted, we will have created powerful local unions able to focus significant resources on organizing, bargaining, and politics. Existing structures within which much of our California political work is currently done will need to be re-examined. That re-examination should take place immediately following the submission of the public sector, property services, and long-term care structure and implementation plans, with a view to instituting a new political program structure in California as soon as possible after the submission of the plans. Accordingly, we further recommend that, nine months from the date of the IEB's decision in this matter, the charter of the California State Council be revoked and a new State Council constitution be developed and approved by the new array of locals and the International Union. Then, the State Council should be re-chartered.

We recognize, and we ask the California leaders of our local unions to recognize, that establishing this or any jurisdictional framework is simply a first step toward energizing our organizing programs, changing workers' lives, strengthening our political action, enhancing member mobilization, and generally unleashing the power of SEIU, its members, and coalition partners. For our work in California to succeed the way we want it to, local leaders in the state must step up to the plate and come to agreement on a common agenda. We hope that, by leaving

behind the local structures and jurisdictions of the past, California's SEIU leaders will have a clear path to forging common ground, unencumbered by past disagreements.

We also agree with the many statements made during the hearings that the reorganization should include a process for membership voting. We recommend that a vote take place on proposed changes in members' affiliation. Although a membership vote on jurisdictional changes is not required by the SEIU Constitution and Bylaws, which vests the International Executive Board with the authority to determine jurisdiction, we think a vote on member movement into new local unions would be appropriate. We recommend that such a vote take place after the IEB's decision in this matter. We further recommend that the International Union implement a program of education and outreach to members concerning all facets of the reorganization plan. This program should allow members the opportunity to learn about the reorganization plan, make comments, ask questions, and register concerns.

We recommend that the International President issue a timetable and rules governing the vote at the appropriate time. Those rules should deal with the voting method, eligibility issues, approval requirements, and related matters. While we think it would be inappropriate for the hearing officers to attempt to settle all of those details in this report, we do recommend that, based on the comprehensive scope of the reorganization we propose today, a pooled vote among affected members is needed of locals. By "affected members," we mean members whose local union affiliation will change if our recommendations are adopted. We have attached a list of membership groups by local eligible to vote under this proposal. A piecemeal approach, either unit by unit or local by local, would be incompatible with our interrelated jurisdictional recommendations. For example, with respect to the proposed regional locals, the approval or

disapproval by a single local or series of units could alter the relative balance among the locals that we seek to achieve with these recommendations. Similarly, inconsistent outcomes among locals could leave members outside of all existing or proposed local unions.

In addition, we recommend two additional voting processes for members who change their affiliation to newly-chartered locals. We believe these members should vote on any new permanent constitutions and bylaws for their locals that may be developed and proposed.

Members should also vote on regular officers of new locals once the provisional periods for these locals have concluded. The International President should determine the appropriate schedule for such votes.

As we noted above, our phenomenal growth over the past decade has been no accident. Rather, we think it is in part the result of the decisions made by SEIU Conventions to reorganize our union to maximize opportunities for growth and raising standards for members. Our Policy on Jurisdiction was approved by the 2000 Convention to guide that reorganization, and has been implemented in a number of reorganizations across the nation. Our recommendations today flow directly from that Policy. We believe that our recommendations are the right approach for our members and for SEIU in California. It is vital that our California local unions fully cooperate in the implementation of any IEB decision on California jurisdiction. Accordingly, we recommend that, in implementing the IEB's decision in this matter, the International President be guided by policies adopted for implementing previous IEB decisions concerning local union jurisdiction.

We further recommend that the Secretary-Treasurer or her designee oversee the implementation of the IEB's decision in this matter. Finally, we recommend that the undersigned hearing officers retain jurisdiction in these proceedings to resolve disputes arising from this

report and recommendations, to review and approve structures and plans presented by the member advisory committees for the new regional public locals, the new statewide long-term care local, and the property services council, and to review the California jurisdictional arrangements in the near future.

#### IX. Summary and Conclusion

In the public sector, we recommend the chartering of four new public sector local unions with jurisdiction for public services members in the state on a geographic basis. Existing public sector local unions should be merged into the new locals, based on geography, as detailed herein. The International Union should create an archive to preserve the history and achievements of our current California public sector locals. A broad-based member advisory committee should be established to propose a structure for the new local unions and a plan for continuity during the transition. The member advisory committee proposals should be submitted to the hearing officers for approval and submission to the International President by December 31, 2006. The new regional public locals should have jurisdiction for public sector healthcare workers. Public healthcare units currently represented by UHW should be merged into the appropriate regional public sector local, except for UHW's unit at Tuolumne General Hospital. The regional public locals should also assume the jurisdiction for private non-profit agencies, and for ancillary units that the current public sector units already represent.

The regional public sector locals should also have jurisdiction for public sector RNs.

Each regional local should have dedicated staff for the RN program and proportional representation on the steering committee of the Nurse Alliance of California. In addition, public

and private sector RN co-chair positions should be created to head the Nurse Alliance of California. Further, a public RN representative position should be added in the national Nurse Alliance leadership group. Public RNs should have representation on the membership advisory committee for the public sector locals.

Jurisdiction for unorganized district hospitals should be shared by the new regional public sector locals and UHW. Authority to organize a specific facility should be granted based on the importance of the hospital to the division's strategic organizing plan. Organized units at El Camino and Hazel Hawkins district hospitals should be merged into UHW.

Local 99 should be awarded jurisdiction for all school employees in the same geographical area as that assigned to South Central regional local union. School employee units in existing public sector locals in this territory should be merged into Local 99. Jurisdiction for LAUSD school supervisors should be granted to the South Central regional public sector local.

A new statewide long-term care local union should be chartered. Local 434B and IHSS units in Locals 415, 614, 616, 707, 817, and 1280 should be merged into this new local. IHSS workers currently represented by Local 715 should be assigned to the North Central regional public local. UHW should retain the IHSS workers it currently represents.

The private nursing home unit represented by Local 2028 should be merged into the new long-term care local. UHW should retain the private sector homecare workers and private sector nursing home workers it currently represents. The Long-Term Care Division should recommend the appropriate vehicle for achieving coordination and growth in the private nursing home sector. The Division should grant authority to organize long-term care facilities based on local union strategic objectives and opportunities to raise standards for long-term care workers. In the future,

the Division should review the current long-term care representational arrangements and propose changes if warranted. A broad-based member advisory committee should be established. The committee's report should be submitted to the hearing officers by December 31, 2006.

Private sector hospital units currently represented by Locals 535, 707, 715, and 2028 should be merged into UHW.

The International President should adopt the recommendation of the Property Services

Division to create a California Property Services Council, and a member advisory committee
should make a proposal to the hearing officers on the Council's structure and activities by

December 31, 2006. Local 2028's amusement division should be merged into Local 1877. A

new local union should be granted jurisdiction for the private university units at Stanford and

Santa Clara universities. This new local should be required to participate in the Property

Services Council and abide by its decisions. A higher education division should be created

within the Council to promote the interests of our higher education members. Racetrack workers
should continue to be housed in their current local unions until arrangements for their change of
affiliation to UNITE-HERE can be completed. Private sector cemetery workers should be
merged into Local 265, which should be granted jurisdiction for all such workers and greens
attendants in California. Jurisdiction for public sector cemetery workers should be awarded to
the North Central public local. Local 715's unit of multiservice workers should be merged into
Service Workers United.

California public sector jurisdiction should be reviewed in the near future based on growth and standards. The charter of the California State Council should be revoked within nine months of the date of the IEB's decision so that a new structure based on the reorganized local

union jurisdictions can be established and a new charter issued. A pooled vote of affected members should take place regarding members' changes of local union affiliations, pursuant to a timetable and rules issued by the International President. The hearing officers should retain jurisdiction to resolve disputes and review and approve structures and plans proposed by member advisory committees. A statewide public sector organizing director position should be established during the transitional period. Finally, the Secretary-Treasurer or her designee should oversee implementation of the IEB's decision.

Respectfully submitted,

Alice Dale

Hearing Officer

Tom Balanoff

Hearing Officer

Dated: June 9, 2006

#### **VOTER ELIGIBILITY**

### **CALIFORNIA MEMBERSHIP AFFILIATION CHANGES**

Members in units whose local union affiliation will change pursuant to the IEB decision may vote.

#### **ELIGIBLE:**

- All members of Locals 347, 415, 434B, 535, 614, 616, 620, 660, 700, 707, 715, 790, 817, 949, 998, 1280, 1292, 1997, 2028, and 4988
- All public hospital members and other public sector members of UHW, except UHW members at Tuolumne General Hospital
- All cemetery worker members of Local 1877



ANDREW L. STERN International President

ANNA BURGER International Secretary-Treasurer

MARY KAY HENRY Executive Vice President

GERRY HUDSON Executive Vice President

EUSEO MEDINA Executive Vice President

TOM WOODRUFF
Executive Vice President

SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION CTW; CLC

1800 Massachusetts Ave NW Washington DC 20036

> 202.730.7000 TDD: 202.730.7481 www.SEIU.org

1944-900H

#### ORDER OF REORGANIZATION

TO: All Officers and Members of Locals 265, 521, 715, 2007, SWU, and UHW, California, Service Employees International Union, CtW, CLC

By virtue of the authority contained in the Constitution and Bylaws of the Service Employees International Union, and consistent with the June 2006 International Executive Board decision on California local union jurisdiction and the vote of the members, I direct that all workers represented by SEIU Local 715 (except for Santa Clara University and Stanford University workers, El Camino Hospital and Stanford/Lucille Packard Hospital workers, Bon Appetit/Compass workers, and Santa Clara Mission Cemetery workers), be and are hereby, reorganized into SEIU Local 521, that all Santa Clara University and Stanford University workers be, and are hereby, reorganized into SEIU Local 2007, that all El Camino Hospital and Stanford/Lucille Packard Hospital workers be, and are hereby, reorganized into SEIU Local UHW, that Bon Appetit/Compass workers be, and are hereby reorganized into SEIU UNITE-HERE Service Workers United, and that all Santa Clara Mission Cemetery workers be, and are hereby, reorganized into SEIU Local 265, effective January 2, 2007, or as soon thereafter as practicable.

Dated: January 2, 2007

Andrew L. Stern
International President



June 8, 2007

Mr. Bruce Smith 1598 Hillmont Avenue San Jose, CA 95127

Re: Appointment as Trustee of SEIU Local 715

Dear Brother Smith:

This is to inform you that, pursuant to the authority vested in me by Article VIII, Section 7, of the International Constitution and Bylaws, I have appointed you as Trustee to take charge and control of the affairs of Service Employees International Union, Local 715, effective immediately.

I have enclosed the Order of Trusteeship, which should be posted immediately in the Local Union headquarters and in such other places as would best inform the membership of the imposition of Trusteeship.

In the performance of your duties, you must comply fully with the provisions of the International Constitution and Bylaws and the provisions of Title III of the Labor Management Reporting and Disclosure Act ("LMRDA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 461-466.

The office of the International Secretary-Treasurer will bond you in your new capacity as Trustee of the Local Union, effective as of the date of the imposition of the Trusteeship. Please note that anyone else who handles the funds or property of the Local Union must also be bonded in accordance with the requirements of the LMRDA.

ANDREW L. STERN International President

ANNA BURGER International Secretary-Treasurer

> MARY KAY HENRY Executive Vice President

GERRY HUDSON
Executive Vice President

ELISEO MEDINA Executive Vice President

TOM WOODRUFF Executive Vice President

SERVICE EMPLOYEES
INTERNATIONAL UNION
CTW. CLC

†800 Massachusetts Ave NW Washington DC 20036

> 202.730.7000 TDD: 202.730.7481 www.SEIU.org

Mr. Bruce Smith June 8, 2007 Page 2

As Trustee, you are not to obligate the International Union for the payment of any bills or debts, past or future. This includes, but is not limited to, fees for lawyers, accountants, statisticians, economists, or similar professional personnel. If special circumstances arise requiring protection of the interests of the International Union, as distinguished from the interests of the Local Union, and in that connection the services of attorneys or other professionals are needed, you shall not retain any such persons or incur any indebtedness without my permission or the permission of a person authorized to act in my behalf. If you have any questions concerning your duties as Trustee, please contact this office.

In unity,

Andrew L. Stern International President

#### **Enclosures**

cc. Anna Burger, International Secretary-Treasurer
John Ronches, Assistant to International Secretary-Treasurer
JJ Johnston, Area Director

#### SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION, CTW, CLC

In the Matter of the Trusteeship	)	
Imposed on Service Employees	)	Marc Earls
International Union, Local 715	)	Hearing Officer
	)	

# REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION TO THE INTERNATIONAL EXECUTIVE BOARD

#### PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

I was appointed by the International Executive Board ("IEB") of the Service Employees International Union ("SEIU" or "International Union") to serve as hearing officer on the question whether an emergency trusteeship imposed on Service Employees International Union, Local 715, was properly implemented and should be continued. On June, 8, 2007, International President Andrew L. Stern issued an order imposing a trusteeship on Local 715 (Exhibit 2). In the order, President Stern stated that he had received a request from the officers and Executive Board of Local 715 for the imposition of an emergency trusteeship over Local 715 due to grave concerns of the Local 715 leadership about the ability of Local 715 to carry out its collective bargaining responsibilities and defend its status as collective bargaining representative of employees for whom Local 715 is the collective bargaining agent. President Stern appointed Bruce W. "Rusty" Smith, former Training Director and Senior Field Representation of SEIU Local 715, as Trustee to take charge of the affairs of the local. The trusteeship was imposed effective June 8, 2007. President Stern's order and my appointment by the International Executive Board were pursuant to the provisions of Article VIII, Section 7 of the SEIU Constitution and Bylaws (Exhibit 3).

Page 3 of 6

On July 12, 2007, a hearing on the trusteeship was noticed for July 24, 2007 (Exhibit 1). The notice was provided to Local 715 members and former officers. The hearing commenced at 4:30 p.m. on July 24, 2007, at the local union office in Redwood City, California, and was attended by Trustee Smith and members of Local 715. Brother Smith gave testimony and entered two exhibits into evidence. I kept the record open until July 31, 2007, for the submission of additional materials.

#### **FINDINGS**

None of the relevant facts are in dispute. In the spring of 2006, hearing officers appointed by the SEIU International Executive Board held hearings throughout California concerning local union jurisdiction, including possible merger and consolidation, for public service employees and property services workers among others. On June 9, 2006, the hearing officers issued a Joint Report and Recommendations concerning local union jurisdiction in California, which recommended extensive reorganization of SEIU local unions in California. On June 10, 2006, the International Executive Board approved the hearing officers' Joint Report and Recommendations, including the recommendation of a membership vote on the proposed changes in California members' affiliation (Exhibit 4). On August 21, 2006, President Stern directed that a vote of the affected California membership take place. The vote was held and the ballots were counted on October 6, 2006. The membership approved the reorganization plan.

Pursuant to the approved reorganization plan, public sector and publicly-funded workers in California are being reorganized into four newly-created regional public sector locals, based on geography: Local 221, for locals in the Southern Region of California, Local 521, for locals in

the Central Northern Region, Local 721, for locals in the Central Southern Region, and Local 1021, for locals in the Northern Region.

Under the reorganization plan, with a few exceptions, members of Local 715 are being reorganized into Local 521, the Central Northern regional public local. Two of the exceptions are that Local 715 members employed by Santa Clara University and Stanford University (including members in a separate bargaining unit at the power generating facility at Stanford University) are to be reorganized into a new university local, and that Local 715 members employed by El Camino Hospital and Stanford and Lucille Packard Hospitals are to be reorganized into SEIU United Healthcare Workers - West (UHW).

On January 2, 2007, SEIU chartered the new regional California public locals, and President Stern issued orders implementing the reorganization of all affected California members into their new locals. After President Stern issued the reorganization order concerning Local 715, Local 715 began the process of reorganizing its members into their respective new unions and, for the most part, the reorganization process has gone smoothly. By the late spring of 2007, a significant majority of the Local 715 membership had been successfully reorganized into Local 521. However, there are still a number of Local 715 members who have not yet been reorganized into their new local unions, including the employees of Stanford Hospital and Clinics/Lucille Packard Hospital, El Camino Hospital, Stanford University, and Santa Clara University. There are approximately 3,500-4,000 members of SEIU Local 715 in seven jurisdictions who remain members of Local 715, and the Local still maintains a treasury.

The shift of a significant percentage of the Local 715 membership to Local 521 has resulted in the shift of the resources associated with those members to Local 521, which has hampered the ability of Local 715 to continue carrying out its collective bargaining

responsibilities with regard to the remaining Local 715 members who have not yet been reorganized and to defend its status as the collective bargaining agent of these members.

In addition to the challenges posed by the shift in resources, the process of reorganizing the remaining Local 715 members into their new unions has been openly thwarted and undermined by at least one employer. Since May 30, 2007, Stanford Hospital and Clinics has taken the position that Local 715 no longer exists, it has refused to recognize UHW as Local 715's servicing agent, and it has prevented UHW staff from having access to Local 715 members on Hospital premises, making it exceedingly difficult for UHW to service these members, as it is obligated to do under the servicing agreement between Local 715 and UHW. The evidence establishes that Stanford Hospital is manipulating the transition period to thwart Local 715's status as collective bargaining agent, thereby threatening the collectively-bargained rights of Local 715 members at Stanford Hospital and Clinics.

Based on the factors detailed above, on June 4, 2007, Kristina M. Sermersheim, Executive Secretary of Local 715, acting on behalf of the officers and Board of Directors of Local 715, and upon a unanimous vote of the Local 715 Executive Board, requested that President Stern impose an emergency trusteeship on Local 715 (Exhibit 5). President Stern granted Local 715's request and placed Local 715 into trusteeship on June 8, 2007.

#### **RECOMMENDATION**

It is plain that the trusteeship imposed by President Stern over Local 715 was properly imposed. The Local continues to have collective bargaining responsibilities and must continue to manage its resources, even as members and resources are shifted to other SEIU local unions. The Local was having serious difficulty protecting the collectively bargained rights of its

remaining members given its dwindling membership base and the concerted efforts of one key employer to undermine Local 715 status as collective bargaining agent. Accordingly, I recommend that the trusteeship be continued in order to assure Local 715's performance of its collective bargaining responsibilities. The approximately 4,000 remaining Local 715 members deserve full representation during this transition process. The trustee should do his utmost to assure the reorganization of remaining Local 715 members pursuant to the IEB's June 2006 reorganization decision, in a manner that protects the collectively bargained rights of these members.

Respectfully submitted,

Marc Earls

Hearing Officer

Dated: August 13, 2007



August 23, 2007

Bruce W. ("Rusty") Smith, Trustee SEIU Local 715 2302 Zanker Rd San Jose, CA 95134

Dear Brother Smith:

On August 13, 2007, Hearing Officer Marc Earls submitted his report and recommendation to the International Executive Board on the Emergency Trusteeship imposed upon SEIU Local 715. The International Executive Board reviewed the report and recommendation and adopted the report and recommendation in its entirety. A copy of the report and recommendation is enclosed.

In unity,

Anna Burger

International Secretary-Treasurer

ANDREW L. STERN International President

ANNA BURGER International Secretary-Treasurer

> MARY KAY HENRY Executive Vice President

> GERRY HUDSON Executive Vice President

> ELISEO MEDINA Executive Vice President

> TOM WOODRUFF Executive Vice President

SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION CTW. CLC

1800 Massachusetts Ave NW Washington DC 20036

> 202.730.7000 TDD: 202.898.3481 www.SEIU.org

> > 4836-900H

**Enclosure** 

cc: Andrew L. Stern, International President
Marc Earls, Hearing Officer
Kristy Sermersheim, International Vice President
John Ronches, Assistant to the International Secretary-Treasurer
J.J. Johnston, Jr., Area Director
Former SEIU Local 715 Officers and Executive Board Members

U.S. Department of Labor Office of Labor-Management Standards Washington, DC 20210

## FORM LM-15 TRUSTEESHIP REPORT

Form approved Office of Management and Budget No. 1215-0188 Expires 11-30-2009

For Official Use Only

This report is mandatory under P.L. 86-257 as amended. Failure to comply may result in criminal prosecution, fines, or civil penalties as provided by 29 U.S.C. 461.

READ THE INSTRUCTIONS CAREFULLY BEFORE PREPARING THIS REPORT.

1. File Number of Labor	2. Type of Report				3. Date Trusteeship Establishe	ed
Organization Held in Trusteeship		pages 1 through 4.)				
513-588	X Semiannual for (Complete pages 1	period ending and 2 only.)	12/8	/2007	6 / 8 /	2007
4. Labor Organization Held in Trustees	hip					
Affiliation or Organization Name S	ervice Employee	s Internation	al Union		•	
Designation LU - Local Unio	n	P.O. B	ox, Bldg. and F	Room No., if any		
O is aution Number	Number 715 Sutter	Numbe	r and Street 2	2302 Zanker	Road	
Designation Number	Number 7 1.3 Suffer	City	San Jose			
Unit Name (if any)		State	California	1	ZIP Code + 4 9513	4
5. Labor Organization Imposing the Tru	steeship					
Name Service Employees	International Un	nion				
File Number 000-137						
P.O. Box, Building and Room Numb	per, if any					
Number and Street 1800 Massa	achusetts Avenue	e, NW				
City Washington		State	District o	of Columbia	ZIP Code + 4 200	36
6. List the article(s) and section(s) of the	constitution which spec	cifically authorize imp	osition of the t	rusteeship:		ı
Article VIII, Sections	7(a) and 7(f).					
						ļ
				···		
		Signat				
Each of the understaned, duly authorize of perjury and other applicable penaltic	es of haw, that all-eCine i	information submitte	ed in this report	t (including the in	formation contained in any acco	mpanying
documents) has been examined by the on penalties in the instructions.)	V-1	e best of the unders	gned's knowle	dge and belief, tr	ue, correct, and complete. (See	the section
1 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	d. slew	Descident	26 Signed	But	Louit	T
24. Signed		President (if other title,	26, Signed		- JWW -	_ Trustee (if other title.
Other (Specify)		see instructions.)		Trustee		see instructions.)
International Pres	sident			, ,		
	202) 730-7000		On	05/19/08		
Cinna D	eleithone Mismber			Date	Telephone Number	
25. Signed	<i></i>	Treasurer	27. Signed			Trustee
Other (Specify)		(if other title, see instructions.)		Trustee		(If other title, see instructions.)
International Sec	retary-Treasure	r				
on 5/20/08 (	202) 730-7000		On			
Date Te	elephone Number			Date	Telephone Number	

Name of Labor Organization Held In Trusteeship	File Number
Service Employees International Union	513-588

- 7. Check the reason(s) for establishing or continuing the trusteeship:
  - a. To correct corruption or financial malpractice.
  - X b. To assure the performance of collective bargaining agreements or other duties of a bargaining representative.
    - c. To restore democratic procedures.
    - d. Other.
- 8. Provide a detailed statement which explains each reason checked in Item 7, above.

As the result of changes in the jurisdiction of SEIU local unions in California, Local 715 is in the process of reorganizing the majority of its members into other SEIU local unions. The shift of a large majority of Local 715 members, and resources associated with those members, to other SEIU unions is making it difficult for Local 715 to carry out its remaining collective bargaining responsibilities and to defend its status as collective bargaining agent. The significant decline in Local 715's membership and resources has impaired Local 715's ability to operate as contemplated by the Local 715 Constitution and Bylaws. The process of reorganizing the remaining Local 715 members into their new SEIU local unions is still underway.

- 9. During the period covered by this report
  - a. Did a convention or other policy-determining body meet to which the trusteed labor organization sent delegates or would have sent delegates if not in trusteeship?

X No Yes (if the answer is "Yes," complete and file Form LM-15A.)

b. Did the labor organization imposing the trusteeship hold an election of officers?

X No Yes (If the answer is "Yes," complete and file Form LM-15A.)



ANDREW L. STERN international President

ANNA BURGER International Secretary-Treasurer

> MARY KAY HENRY **Executive Vice President**

GERRY HUDSON Executive Vice President

ELISEO MEDINA Executive Vice President

TOM WOODRUFF Executive Vice President

SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION CTW. CLC

1800 Massachusetts Ave NW Washington DC 20036

> 202.730.7000 TDD: 202.730.7481 www.SEIU.org

> > MATERIAL STATES

#### ORDER OF EMERGENCY TRUSTEESHIP APPOINTING A TRUSTEE TO TAKE CHARGE AND CONTROL OF THE AFFAIRS OF SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 715

To: All Officers and Members of Service Employees International Union, Local 715

Pursuant to my authority under Article VIII, Sections 7(a) and (f), of the SEIU Constitution and Bylaws, I have determined that an emergency situation exists within Service Employees International Union, Local 715 (hereinafter "Local 715"), and that in order to protect the interests of the membership, the immediate appointment of a Trustee is necessary for the purpose of assuring preservation of the union's status as collective bargaining representative and its performance of collective bargaining duties and functions, and otherwise carrying out the legitimate objects of the International Union.

I have received a request from the officers and Executive Board of Local 715 requesting the imposition of the emergency trusteeship due to the difficulties the Local Union is experiencing in performing its collective bargaining duties due to the shift of members and resources to other SEIU local unions. Local 715 is in the process of reorganizing most of its members into Local 521 pursuant to the International Executive Board's June 2006 decision on California jurisdiction. At this point, many members of Local 715 have moved into Local 521 in accordance with this decision. However, Local 715 still retains collective bargaining responsibilities on behalf of members who have not yet been moved into the successor local union. Local 715's responsibilities include the representation of employees and Stanford Hospital and Clinics/Lucile Packard Children's Hospital. Pursuant to the IEB's decision, Local 715's Stanford Hospital members will be united with other SEIU healthcare members in SEIU United Healthcare Workers - West ("UHW").

Reports I have received indicate that, since May 30, 2007, the Hospital has taken the position that Local 715 no longer exists, and the Hospital is refusing to recognize Local 715's servicing agent, UHW. The Hospital's actions threaten to deprive Local 715 members at Stanford Hospital of their collectively-bargained rights. The shift of a large majority of Local 715 members, and resources associated with those members, to Local 521, is making it difficult for Local 715 to carry out its remaining collective bargaining responsibilities and to defend its status as collective bargaining agent. The significant decline in Local 715's membership and resources has impaired the Local's ability to operate as contemplated by the Local 715 constitution and bylaws.

SEIU0001

From the information that I have received, including the request from the Local 715 officers and Executive Board for imposition of an emergency trusteeship over Local 715, I have determined that placing Local 715 under trusteeship is the best means of preventing disruption of contracts, assuring that the Local Union performs its duties as collective bargaining representative, protecting the interests of the membership, and otherwise carrying out the legitimate objects of the International Union. Further, I have determined that an emergency exists that justifies pre-hearing appointment of a Trustee. Therefore, in light of the situation facing Local 715 and by virtue of my authority as International President, I have this date appointed Rusty Smith as Trustee of Local 715, with all of the powers that he is entitled to assume under the SEIU Constitution and Bylaws and applicable law. The Trustee shall assume responsibility for Local 715 immediately.


The Local Union's Constitution and Bylaws are suspended for the period of the Trusteeship. By operation of this Order of Emergency Trusteeship and pursuant to my authority under Article VIII of the SEIU Constitution and Bylaws, I hereby remove all Local 715 officers, including Executive Board members, representatives and employees of Local 715. In accordance with Article VIII, Section 7(f) of the SEIU Constitution, I will request that the International Executive Board appoint a hearing officer and that a Notice of Hearing and Appointment of a Hearing Officer be issued in the very near future. Consistent with this notice, a hearing regarding the imposition of an emergency trusteeship over Local 715 will be held within the time limits set forth in Article VIII, Section 7(f).

I am requesting that all members and employees of Local 715 cooperate with the Trustee in every respect. All former officers, representatives and employees of the Local Union should immediately make available to the Trustee all books, records, funds and other property of the Local Union in their possession and control.

Dated this 8th day of June, 2007.

Andrew L. Stern International President

Anna Burger, International Secretary Treasurer cc: Kristy Sermersheim, Executive Secretary, Local 715 Former Executive Board Members, Local 715 Rusty Smith, Trustee



05/14/2007 16:13 FAX 408 954 1"

SBIC 521

Ø001/003

LOCAL 715





TO: Laurie Quillel	<del>-</del> -		
Cover Page + D page(s)			
□ Urgent □ For Review □ Please Comment  Message:	☐ Please Reply	□ Pleuse Re	oycle :
			:
			:

#### NOTE: IF FACSIMILE IS MISREDIRECTED

The page(s) comprising this facelimile transmission contain confidential information from SEIU Local 715. This information is intended solely for use by the individual or entity named as the recipient hereof. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this transmission is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify us by telephone immediately.

#### SAN JOSE OFFICE

2302 ZANKER ROAD, SAN JOSE, CA 95131 - 408-954-8715 - Fax: 408-954-1538

#### REDWOOD CITY OFFICE

891 MARSHALL STREET, REDWOOD CITY, CA 94036 # 650-365-8715 Fax: 650-365-7956

#### STANFORD OFFICE

P.O. BOX 19152, STANFORD, CA 94309 = 650-723-3680 = Fax: 650-723-3650

O 2003 MINE TITL STILL ST-COUNSE Son applic 2019 Colorida (Olicos Forms Fast Cover Share pull) 7657, 16-63

08/14/2007 18:15 FAX 408 954 1073

SEIU 521

@ 002/003

June 14, 2007

Via Facsimile and U.S. Mail

Laurie J. Qunitel, Director - Employee and Labor Relations Stanford Hospital and Clinics 300 Pasteur Dive M/C 5513 Stanford, CA 94305-5513

Dear Ms. Qunitel:

On June 8, 2007, the International President of the Service Employees International Union ("SEIU"), CtW, CLC, Andrew L. Stern, acting pursuant to Article VIII, Section 7 of the International Union's Constitution and Bylaws and applicable federal law, took control of all operations of SEIU Local 715 ("Local 715"). A copy of the official Trusteeship Order is attached hereto.

All officers of Local 715 have been removed. President Stern appointed me as the Trustee with full authority to act on behalf of Local 715:

Effective June 8, 2007, all matters relating to the representation of the employees of your organization under the Local 715 collective bargaining agreement will be handled under my direction. We intend to fulfill all of our collective bargaining obligations, and expect no interruption in the provision of services to our members.

All servicing agreements to which Local 715 is a party will remain in full force and effect in every respect, without any change whatsoever.

Accordingly, Kim Tavaglione, Joceyln Olick, and Ella Hereth will continue to be the representative responsible for servicing your facility.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call me at 408-316-4460. Thank you.

Sincerely yours,

Bruce W. ("Rusty") Smith

International Trustec

Attachment

06/14/2007 16:13 FAX 408 954 1' "

SEIU 521

Ø003/003



June 8, 2007

#### TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

In accordance with the powers vested in me by the Constitution and Bylaws of the Service Employees International Union, CtW, CLC, I have appointed Bruce W. Smith as Trustee over the affairs of SEIU Local 715, effective immediately.

The Trustee will have charge of the affairs of the Local Union until relieved of responsibility by me.

The Trustee will be governed by the provisions of the Service Employees International Union Constitution and Bylaws and the provisions of applicable law.

Sincerely,

ANDREW L. STERN

ANNA BURGER

MARY KAY HENRY Executive Wee President

GERRY HUDSON Executive Vice President

ELISEO MEDINA Executive vice Pressione


TOM WOODKUFF Executive Vice President

Andrew L. Stern International President

SERMICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION CTW. CLC

1800 Massachusetts Ave NW Washington DC 20036

> 202.730.7000 TDD: 202.730.7481 www.SEIU.org





# LOCAL 715

SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION

Via Facsimile

June 18, 2007

Laurie J. Quintel, Director **Employee and Labor Relations** Stanford Hospital and Clinics 300 Pasteur Dive - M/C 5513 Stanford, CA 94305-5513

Dear Ms. Quintel:

On June 8, 2007, the International President of the Service Employees International Union ("SEIU"), CTW, CLC, Andrew L. Stern, acting pursuant to Article VIII, Section 7 of the International Union's Constitution and Bylaws and applicable federal law, took control of all operations of SEIU Local 715 ("Local 715"). A copy of the official Trusteeship Order is attached hereto.

All officers of Local 715 have been removed. President Stern appointed me as the Trustee with full authority to act on behalf of Local 715.

Effective June 8, 2007, all matters relating to the representation of the employees of your organization under the Local 715 collective bargaining agreement will be handled under my direction. We intend to fulfill all of our collective bargaining obligations, and expect no interruption in the provision of services to our members.

All servicing agreements to which Local 715 is a party will remain in full force and effect in every respect, without any change whatsoever. Accordingly, Kim Tayaglione. Jocelyn Olick, and Ella Hereth will continue to be the representative responsible for servicing your facility.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call me at 408-316-4460. Thank you.

Sincerely yours,

Bruce W. ("Rusty") Smith International Trustee

**SEIU0006** 

**Enclosure** 

San Jose Office: 2302 Zanker Road, San Jose, CA 95131-1115 • (408) 954-8715 • Fax (408) 954-1538 Redwood City Office: 891 Marshall Street, Redwood City, CA 94063 • (650) 365-8715 • Fax (650) 365-7956 Stanford Office: P.O.Box 19152, Stanford, CA 94309 • (650) 723-3680 • Fax (650) 723-3650 ed SEIU 1972-



June 8, 2007

#### TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

In accordance with the powers vested in me by the Constitution and Bylaws of the Service Employees International Union, CtW, CLC, I have appointed Bruce W. Smith as Trustee over the affairs of SEIU Local 715, effective immediately.

The Trustee will have charge of the affairs of the Local Union until relieved of responsibility by me.

The Trustee will be governed by the provisions of the Service Employees International Union Constitution and Bylaws and the provisions of applicable law.

Sincerely,

ANDREW L. STERN International President

ANNA BURGER International Secretary-Treasurer

> MARY KAY HENRY Executive Vice President

GERRY HUDSON Executive Vice President

EUSEO MEDINA Executive Vice President

TOM WOODRUFF
Executive Vice President


Andrew L. Stern

International President

SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION CTW; CLC

1800 Massachusetts Ave NW Washington DC 20036

> 202.730.7000 . TDD: 202.730.7481 www.SEIU.org



SEIU - Home Page Page 1 of 1

The same of the sa

### **Contact Us**

W

SEIU Local 715 2302 Zanker Road San Jose, CA 95131 (408) 954-8715

Contact Us Page 1 of 1



RO (A) イル (A) MARINATA RELEA (A) AND A (A) A

### Contact Us

SEIU Local 715 2302 Zanker Road San Jose, CA 95131 (408) 954-8715

SETU0011

Benefits Page 1 of 2



No. 18 In the Company of the State of the St

### SEIU Benefits for You and Your Family

SEJU Local 715

2302 Zanker Road

San Jose, CA 95131

(408) 954-8715

Because you are a member or retiree of SEIU, you have access to a variety of services and discounts through Union Plus. These benefits supplement what you may receive through your union-negotiated contract. With these SEIU-Union Plus benefits, you can save on life insurance, health savings, mortgages, legal services, and more.

Need assistance? Call 1-800-452-9425. Or visit www.unjopplus.org.

¿Tiene preguntas? Tenemos informacion en Español,

### Money and Credit

<u>Credit Card</u> with great value and service

Secured Credit Card for members who have poor credit or little or no credit history

Loans —personal loans, lines of credit, and home equity loans

<u>Credit Counseling</u> to help eliminate debt

Your Credit Score for a 15% discount

### Family Services

Find a Lawyer —Union Plus legal services for your Local

Immigration Legal Services including free initial consultations and discounts on hourly rates

<u>Planning for College</u> – resources on applying, paying, and more

Paying for College -- resources include loans, financial aid, and savings plans

<u>Pet Health</u> —savings on veterinary services for all animals

### House and Home

### Health and Well-Being

Mortgage and Real Estate —low down payments and reduced closing costs

Home Heating Oil Discounts – save on service contracts and fuel oil purchases

Moving Van Discounts —Save on interstate moves, truck rentals, and storage <u>Health Savings</u> reduces out-ofpocket expenses for prescriptions, vision, and other services

Health Club Discounts at more than 1,500 locations

### Insurance Protection

Life Insurance protection regardless of job or health conditions

Accident Insurance Including insurance for accidental death in the workplace

Auto Insurance with

### Union Marketplace

<u>Cingular Wireless Discounts</u> on monthly service for union members

Auto Buying Service, to help you find the right vehicle for the right price

Goodyear Discounts on tires

**SEIU0012** 

Filed 07/18/2008 Case 5:08-cv-00213-JF Document 53-31 Page 5 of 11

Benefits Page 2 of 2

competitive rates, multivehicle discounts, and 24-hour

Professional Liability Insurance for medical professionals

Pet Insurance -accident and illness insurance for your dog or cat

and service

Powell's Bookstore -- a unionorganized online bookstore

Union-Made Clothing -support good jobs at good pay with a

discount

Union-Made Checks with your

union's logo

SEIU Scholarships

Travel and Recreation

SEIU Scholarship Program. Jesse Jackson Scholarship

John Gegan Scholarship

Moe Foner Scholarship Nora Plore Scholarship

Union Plus Scholarship Program

Yacation Tours with a discount

Norwegian Cruise Line discounts

Cor Rentals for up to 25% off

Computers

Gift Shop

Dell Computers for a 5-10%

discount

Flowers --delivery service with

a discount

**SEIU0013** 

Our Local Page 1 of 1



and the second transfer of the second 
### Contact Us

SEIU Local 715

2302 Zanker Road

San Jose, CA 95131

(408) 954-8715

### **About SEIU**

The Service Employees international Union is 1.8 million working people and 50,000 retirees united to improve services and our communities throughout North America.

SEIU members are winning better wages, health care, and more secure jobs at home, while uniting their strength with their counterparts around the world to help ensure that workers, not just corporations and CEOs, benefit from today's global economy.

SEIU is the fastest-growing union in North America. Focused on uniting workers in three sectors to improve their lives and the services they provide, SEIU is:

>>The largest health care union, with 900,000 members in the field. including nurses, LPNs, doctors, lab technicians, nursing home workers, home care workers

>>The largest property services union, with 225,000 members in the building cleaning and security industries, including janitors, door men and women

>>The second largest public services union, with 850,000 local and state government workers, public school employees, bus drivers, and child care providers

(Total is current as of 09-27-05, and exceeds 1.8 million due to overlaps in public/health care.)



Action Center Page 1 of 1



The second secon

### What is COPE?

COPE is our union's voluntary bi-partisan Political Action Committee used for political and legislative activities. We hold politicians accountable at every level of government.

- Read more

### What Is Change to Win?

The Change to Win Federation is made up of SEIU and six other national unions representing more than 5 million workers.

- Read more

Around SEIU Page 1 of 1



and the second of the second s

### SEIU's Stern, Rivera Named Two of Top Six Most Powerful in Healthcare

Modern Healthcare magazine released its "100 Most Powerful People in Healthcare" list, ranking SEIU President Andy Stern and SEIU Healthcare Chair Dennis Rivera number 5 and 6, respectively. This recognition comes during a year of landmark healthcare leadership, initiatives, and policy work for SEIU, including the formation in June of SEIU Healthcare, a new one million member "union within a union."

- Read more

### What Is Change to Win?

The Change to Win Federation is made up of SEIU and six other national unions representing more than 5 million workers.

- Read more

**SEIU0016** 



# THE STATE OF THE S

### California Budget: the Year of Reckoning



# The Latest on the Budget Campaign: May Revise a Missed Opportunity

On May 14, the Governor unveiled his revised budget and proposed deeper cuts, a power grab, and more borrowing, not real solutions. In other words, he missed an opportunity to fix our chronic budget problems. Now,

legislators must take responsibility. Read <u>SEIU's reaction</u> to the proposed budget and our latest <u>update and analysis</u> of the cuts.

- Read More

### June Primary Election Results

### Election Update: SEIU Wins Major Victories, Defeating Prop. 98 and Helping Scores of Working-Family-Friendly Candidates Advance

in the June primary election, SEIU helped defeat the dangerous and deceptive Prop. 98, which would have gutted rent control and environmental laws and made it nearly impossible to build public works, by a 61% (No) - 39% (Yes) margin. We also helped pass real homeowner protection, Prop. 99, in a 62.5% (Yes) - 37.5% (No) vote.

For the California Assembly races - in a remarkable sweep - in <u>every district</u> <u>where we endorsed</u> a candidate, we won, and a 2/3 majority of SEIU-backed candidates won their Senate seats as well. In Congress, we helped Mike Lumpkin (D, CD 52) win his primary in the only district without an incumbent running. And in a closely watched, key local race, SEIU and labor allies helped lift longtime champion of working people Senator Mark Ridley-Thomas above the crowd in his L.A. County Supervisor bid, positioning him well for a November run-off

<u>Click here</u> for a complete list of SEIU endorsements and results.

### Progress on Healthcare: A Three-Year Plan

### Healthcare Reform Bills Make Progress

While our primary healthcare goal this year must be to stop the devastating budget cuts that would add a million Californians to the rolls of the uninsured, SEIU continues to push for components of the healthcare reform package we fought for in 2007. Working with our allies from last year's "it's OUR Healthcare!" campaign, we continue to lay the groundwork for comprehensive reform by tackling a range of

### State Budget News Clips

California's budget is a thing of shreds of patches

Lottery plan off target

Aid for California's disabled in peril

Governor's lottery plan could hurt school funding, analyst says

Senator says California prisons upgrade cost 'borders on the incredible'

Who Pays Taxes in California

#### **Press Releases**

SEIU California State Council Announces Selection of New Executive Director

Assembly Budget Moves Debate in the Right Direction

SEIU Says Governor's Budget Will Hurt California

SEIU, Health Care Groups Win Promise to Amend Flawed Health Care Bill

Parents, providers blast Gov.'s veto on child care reform

### Members Are Speaking Out!



'As a children's social worker, our primary responsibility is to protectchildren and make sure they have safe, permanent homes. Our

**SEIU0017** 

SEIU - Home Page Page 2 of 2

critical healthcare issues. Some of the key reform components have made legislative progress in recent weeks, including:

- Giving consumers more information about healthcare costs and quality, so that consumers have real choices and can shape the healthcare market by avoiding costly and ineffective care AB2967 (Lieber)
- Prohibiting junk insurance and standardizing the insurance market SB1522 (Steinberg)

Read the latest update as these bills continue to make progress through the legislature from ally <u>Health Access</u>.

Link to this update:

http://www.health-access.org/labels/YearOfReform.htm

systemfunctions as the children's parents while we help their parentsrecover, or find a family member or foster parents. We're fighting for the children and families we serve. The children aren't voters. They have no voice. They're the most vulnerable membersof our society. That's why I became a social worker, and that's why I'm fighting the budget cuts." SEIU Local 721 David Green -Adoption Social Worker, County of Los Angeles

- Read more

**SEIU0018** 

http://www.seinco.org/

C/1 C/0000

SEIU Online Store Page 1 of 1

Stronger Together

Men's Wear

Women's Wear

Children's Wear

Generation S Wear

Bags & Briefcases

Toys & Games

SEIU for Obama Items

Misc. Merchandise



Home | Search | Current Order | 1-800-408-2385 | Email: sales@imagepoints.com

**SEIU0019** 



www.seiu715.org

FROM-LOCAL 250 SAN FRANCISCO

415-563-0014

T-060 P.001/004 F-158

# LOCAL 715

SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION, AFL-CIO/CLC

٠,٢

To: Laurie Quintel
FR: Greg P. Servicing

Any questions, please Coll (415) 740-446/

FROM-LOCAL 250 SAN FRANCISCO

415-563-0014

T-060 P. 002/004 F-185

### SERVICING AGREEMENT

This SERVICING AGREEMENT is appeared into between Local 715 ("Incal 715") and SETU UHW ("UHW").

WHEREAS, Local 715 and UHW recognize that the cont jurisdiction represented by Local 715 includes public scotor corplayees and that the cone jurisdiction of UHW includes health care cumployees; and

WITTEREAS, Local 715 and UHW recognize that the professional services provided by each organization are most highly developed in regard to their core jurisdictions; and

WHEREAS. Local 715 is the sole and exclusive collective bargaining representative for a unit of comployees at the Stanford Hospital facility ("Stanford facility"); and

WHEREAS, Least 715 wishes to obtain for its members at the Stanford facility the professional services available through UHW, and UHW is willing to make its professional services available to Local 715's members at the Standard facility; now,

THERRFORE. It is AGREED to FOLLOWS:

#### 1. Effective Date

The turns of this Servicing Agreement shall become effective on March 1, 2006.

#### 2. Cost of Services

For a period running concurrently with the existing collective bargaining agreement between Local 715 and the Stranford facility, UHW shall provide the mofessional services outlined barein at no cost to Local 715. Thereafter, if this Agreement is extended, Local 715 shall reimbasse UHW for the costs of the services outlined laurely from the dues and agoncy fees collected by UHW for each Local 715 member affected by this Agreement.

#### 3. Duration of Agreement

This Servicing Agreement shall be effective on Merch 1, 2006 and thell remain in full force and affact until the end of the current collective bargaining agreement between Local '115 and the Stanford facility. The duration of this Servicing Agreement may be mutually extracted by the parties. Additionally, this Agreement can be altered, amended, or rescinded by the mutual agreement of the peaties. Either party may unilaterally terminate this Agreement by giving three months mulies to the other party.

(W-1200GLOCK DIVERSIONATE THOSE)

**SEIU0021** 

RECEIVED AUG-14-28 13:48

FROU-

TO-SEIU USE SF

PAGE DD2

FROM-LOCAL 250 SAN FRANCISCO

415-563-0014

T-060 P.003/004 F-156

#### Services Provided By UHW 4.

For the duration of this Servicing Agreement, UHW's staff, acting as designated agents of Local 715, shall provide the following professional services to Local 715 for its members at the Stanford facility:

Representation in the grievance procedure and at arbitration hearings

Representation at labor-management meetings

Assistance to members appearing before the National Lahar Relations Board on behalf of the Local 715 Chapter at the Stanford facility.

#### Oversight By Local 715 5.

The UHW staff momber assigned to the day-to-day sorvicing of the Stanford facility unit will meet on a regular basis with an officer of Local 77.5 to review the manus of representation manners within the unit. In addition, UHW will provide Local 715 with advance notice of all membership mostings and site visits and clear all correspondence with Local 715. The parties anknowledge that Local 715 has the ultimate remonsibility for collective bargaining matters on behalf of the Stanford facility unit.

#### Services Previded By Local 715 G.

Por the duration of this Agreement, Lucal 715 shall continue to administer the collection of membership dues, and shall have access to, and may uselet with, all membership meetings, and shall have ancess to all records associated with the bargaining unit.

### Designation of Agency Status

Local 715 shall notify the Employer in writing of its designation of the appropriate employees of UHW to serve as the agents of Local 715 in providing services to Local 715's memberahin at the Stanford facility.

Should the Employer challenge or refuse to accept the legitimacy of this Servicing Agroculant, the parties will cooperate in processing the legal actions necessary to its enforcement. (This may include filing an unfair labor practice charge under the name of Local 715). UNIW will provide professional assistance in this process. During the pendency of this process, Local 715 will continue to provide representative and the administration of all aspects of the collective bargaining agreement through its own staff until such matter is resolved.

### Chapter Structure and Members' Riels

Following the implementation of this Servicing Agreement, Local 715's unit at the Stanford facility shall maintain the same officers and other compleyee representatives, under the some internal Chapter structure that existed prior to implementation of this Agreement;

1W:120001001\D1\36000138\_DOC12

**SEIU0022** 

RECEIVED AUG-14-05 13:46

FROM-LOCAL 250 SAN FRANCISCO

415-563-9914

T-080 P.004/004 F-155

- h) Following intritementation of this Servicing Agreement, Local 715 members at the Stanford facility will continue to be full members of Local 715, with the right to vote in 1.001 715 elections and otherwise participate in Local 715's affinire. Employees in the bargaining unit will be offered Associate Member status with UHW but shall keep winasver membership rights are accorded them under the Local 715 by-laws and the Service Employees membership Union constitution:
- o) Nothing herein shell prohibit UHW from permitting Local 715's Chapter leaders or members from the Stanford facility to participate in UHW educational functions, or appearing as greats at other UHW functions.

### 9. Severability

	ions of this Servicing Agreement comply with
	of this Agreement be found illegal by any unbone
of computent jurisdiction, this shall not affect th	e remainder of the Agreement. Rather, the
parties shall promptly meet to negotiate an acce	
provisigns.	
(Oal) . $L$	(XII) LANDAN L. T.
2 cm	The state of the s
Sa) Koselli, President, UHW	Kristy Sermersham, Excentive Secretary,
	Local 715
	שיטער פריב
	0/10/10045
N	Dete

(W:12000WG#\5706000474.DQC)3

**SEIU0023** 

08/17/2008 10:57 FAI 408 954 1538

LOCAL 715

Ø 002/005

STRUMENT VICENSIAD DAVID A PURSUES DAVID A PUR

## WEINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD A Professional Corporation

1001 Marina Village Parkway, Suite 200 Alameda, CA 94501-1091 TELEPHONE 510.337.1001 FAX 610.337,1023 LONG COLUMN —
NAME ( 1781
NAME

PATRICIA NA CATRER OF Source ROSSITIA D. PRESCHA, CI CARDA JOHN PADTE, GI CARDAN

o Alan quinditud ip Asigung oo Asimiliad in Henryll oon Asimiliad in Henryll

August 11, 2006

Larry Arnold
Foley & Lardner
One Maritime Plaza, Sixth Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-3404

Re:

SEIU United Healthcare Workers-West and SEIU Local 715

Stanford Medical Center

Dear Mr. Arnold:

Enclosed please find a copy of the Servicing Agreement with respect to the Stanford Medical Center Bargaining Unit, between Local 715 and UHW.

I am sending this to you at your request, so there will be no further confusion concerning this matter, and so that henceforth, the Employer will understand and recognize the fact that SEIU Local 715 is the bargaining representative, but is being aided by UHW.

Lest it is not apparent from the document, it is modeled directly and completely on servicing agreements approved by the NLRB.

I trust this will resolve this henceforth.

Sincerely,

William A. Sokol

WAS/rfb
opeiu 3 afl-cio(1)
Enclosure

cc:

Greg Pullman

Kristy Sermersheim

John Borsos Sal Rosselli

1/430729

**SEIU0024** 

PABADENA ONT ICE 301 North Lake Avenue, Bulle 310 Passdona, CA 91101-5122 TEL 658,796,8232 FAX 628,795,6800 SACRAMENTO OFFICE A28 J Street, Suite 530 Secremente, CA 86814-3341 TEL 916-443,890 FAX 818,443,6244

HONOLLALU OFFICE 1009 Alekse Street, Sufe 1602 I lenekski, FR 90813-4600 TEL 908,698,8980 FAX 1008,000, and

### SERVICING AGREEMENT

This SERVICING AGREEMENT is entered into between Local 715 ("Local 715") and SEIU UHW ("UHW").

WHEREAS, Local 715 and UHW recognize that the core jurisdiction represented by Local 715 includes public sector employees and that the core jurisdiction of UHW includes health care employees; and

WHEREAS, Local 715 and UHW recognize that the professional services provided by each organization are most highly developed in regard to their core jurisdictions; and

WHEREAS, Local 715 is the sole and exclusive collective bargaining representative for a unit of employees at the Stanford Hospital facility ("Stanford facility"); and

WHEREAS, Local 715 wishes to obtain for its members at the Stanford facility the professional services available through UHW, and UHW is willing to make its professional services available to Local 715's members at the Stanford facility; now,

THEREFORE, it is AGREED as FOLLOWS:

### Effective Date

The terms of this Servicing Agreement shall become effective on March 1, 2006.

#### 2. Cost of Services

For a period running concurrently with the existing collective bargaining agreement between Local 715 and the Stanford facility, UHW shall provide the professional services outlined herein at no cost to Local 715. Thereafter, if this Agreement is extended, Local 715 shall reimburse UHW for the costs of the services outlined herein from the dues and agency fees collected by UHW for each Local 715 member affected by this Agreement.

#### 3. . Duration of Agreement

This Servicing Agreement shall be effective on March 1, 2006 and shall remain in full force and effect until the end of the current collective bargaining agreement between Local 715 and the Stanford facility. The duration of this Servicing Agreement may be mutually extended by the parties. Additionally, this Agreement can be altered, amended, or rescinded by the mutual agreement of the parties. Either party may unilaterally terminate this Agreement by giving three months notice to the other party.

#### 4. Services Provided By UHW

For the duration of this Servicing Agreement, UHW's staff, acting as designated agents of Local 715, shall provide the following professional services to Local 715 for its members at the Stanford facility:

Representation in the grievance procedure and at arbitration hearings

Representation at labor-management meetings

Assistance to members appearing before the National Labor Relations Board on behalf of the Local 715 Chapter at the Stanford facility.

#### 5. Oversight By Local 715

The UHW staff member assigned to the day-to-day servicing of the Stanford facility unit will meet on a regular basis with an officer of Local 715 to review the status of representation matters within the unit. In addition, UHW will provide Local 715 with advance notice of all membership meetings and site visits and clear all correspondence with Local 715. The parties acknowledge that Local 715 has the ultimate responsibility for collective bargaining matters on behalf of the Stanford facility unit.

#### 6. Services Provided By Local 715

For the duration of this Agreement, Local 715 shall continue to administer the collection of membership dues, and shall have access to, and may assist with, all membership meetings. and shall have access to all records associated with the bargaining unit.

#### 7. Designation of Agency Status

Local 715 shall notify the Employer in writing of its designation of the appropriate employees of UHW to serve as the agents of Local 715 in providing services to Local 715's membership at the Stanford facility.

Should the Employer challenge or refuse to accept the legitimacy of this Servicing Agreement, the parties will cooperate in processing the legal actions necessary to its enforcement. (This may include filing an unfair labor practice charge under the name of Local 715). UHW will provide professional assistance in this process. During the pendency of this process, Local 715 will continue to provide representation and the administration of all aspects of the collective bargaining agreement through its own staff until such matter is resolved.

#### 8. Chapter Structure and Members' Rights

Following the implementation of this Servicing Agreement, Local 715's unit at the Stanford facility shall maintain the same officers and other employee-representatives, under the same internal Chapter structure that existed prior to implementation of this Agreement;

- Following implementation of this Servicing Agreement, Local 715 members at the Stanford facility will continue to be full members of Local 715, with the right to vote in Local 715 elections and otherwise participate in Local 715's affairs. Employees in the bargaining unit will be offered Associate Member status with UHW but shall keep whatever membership rights are accorded them under the Local 715 by-laws and the Service Employees nternational Union constitution:
- Nothing herein shall prohibit UHW from permitting Local 715's Chapter leaders or members from the Stanford facility to participate in UHW educational functions, or appearing as guests at other UHW functions.

#### 9. Severability

The parties hereto believe that all provisions of this Servicing Agreement comply with applicable law. However, should any position of this Agreement be found illegal by any tribunal of competent jurisdiction, this shall not affect the remainder of the Agreement. Rather, the parties shall promptly meet to negotiate an acceptable, lawful substitute to the stricken provisions

Sal Roselli, President, UHW

isty Sermersheim Local 715

08/21/2006 14:22 FAX 408 954 1538

LOCAL 715

**2**003



## WEINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

1001 Marina Village Parkway, Suite 200 Alameda, CA 94501-1091 TELEPHONE 510.337.1001 FAX 510.337.1023 LOPIC, ADMINO -AMBET VERI
MICCER ME PRELIPE
MICCORE, EL PRESENT --BENLES A. PARLAND
DOMORPOINE E. LORINGO-BATTETA
DAMEN P. MICCORE
LIVELLE B. MODACOS
LIVELLE B. MODACOS

PATRICIA M. BATRIA, Of Counsel ROBBINGS & PATRICIA, OF COUNSE JOHN RUTTE, OF COUNSE

e Alex netrified in Africa or Advilled in Housell our Absorbelled in Housel

August 17, 2006

Larry Arnold
Foley & Lardner
One Maritime Plaza, Sixth Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-3404

Re: Servicing Agreement

Dear Mr. Arnold:

Per your request, enclosed please find the Servicing Agreement between Local 715 and UHW. For your information, it is modeled on the servicing agreement which has expressly been upheld as lawful by the NLRB, see <u>Suburban Pavilion</u>.

I trust this will calm any insecurities you and/or your client may have concerning this matter and that henceforth you will recognize that UHW is servicing Local 715, which continues to be the exclusive bargaining representative of the bargaining unit at the Stanford Medical Facilities.

If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely.

William A. Sokol William A. Sokol

WAS/jys
opciu 3 afl-cio(1)

Enclosure

1/431225

**SEIU0028** 

PARADISHA OFFICE 301 North Lake Averse, Suite 310 Peccelura, CA 91181-5122 TEL 828.796.8235 FAX 628.795.8686 SACRAMENTO OFFICE 428 J Street, Subs 120 Secremento, CA 96914-2341 TEL P18.442.5600 FAX 918.442.0244 HOHOLULU OFFICE 1009 Alaksa Street, Suita 1892 Hanadas, H. 90813-4500 TEL 805.538.5880 FAX 808.828.8891

U.S. Department of Labor Office of Labor-Management Standards Washington, DC 20210

### FORM LM-15 TRUSTEESHIP REPORT

Form approved Office of Management and Budgel No. 1215-0188 Expires 11-30-2009

For Official Use Only

This report is mandatory under P.L. 88-257 as arranded. Failure to comply may result in criminal prosecution, fines, or civil penalties as provided by 29 U.S.C. 461.

READ THE INSTRUCTIONS CAREFULLY BEFORE PREPARING THIS REPORT.

1. File Number of Labor	2. Type of Report	44			3. Date Trusteeship Established	
Organization Held in Trusteeship	1	eges 1 through 4.)				
513-500	X Semiannual for p (Complete pages 1	and 2 only.)	2/8	/2007	6 / 8 /	2007
4. Labor Organization Held in Trustees	hip					
Affiliation or Organization Name S	Service Employees	Internations	l Union			
Designation LU - Local Unic	n	P.O. 80	x, Bldg. and R	loom No., if any		
Designation Number	715 ســــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	Number	and Street 2	302 Zanker	Road	
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	City S	an Jose			
Unit Name (If arty)		Starte C	alifornia ————		ZIP Code + 4 95134	
5. Lebor Organization Imposing the Tru	usteeship	-				
Name Service Employees	International Un	ion				
File Number 000-137						
P.O. Box, Building and Room Numi	ber, if any					ļ
Number and Street 1800 Mass	achusette Avenue	, NW				
CHy Washington		State 1	District o	of Columbia	ZIP Code + 4 2003	6
6. List the article(s) and section(s) of the	e constitution which speci	fically authorize imp	osition of the t	rusteeship:		
		Clamat				
		Signat				
Each of the understaned, duly authors of perjury and other applicable penalti documents) has been examined by the on penalties in the isstructions.)  24. Signad  Other (Specify)  International Presented in the instructional in the instruction in the instructi	es of law, that all of the in e signatory and is to the	nformation submitte	d in this report	t (including the in dge and belief, tr	formation contained in any accor	nnanving
On	(202) 730-7000 798-70-70-70-70-70-70-70-70-70-70-70-70-70-	Tressurer	On 27. Signed	<u>05/19/05</u> Date	(408) 954-1538 Telephone Number	Trustee
Other (Specify) International Sec	cretary-Tressure:	(If other title, see instructions.)		Trustee		(if other title, see instructions.)
On	(202) 730-7000 elephone Number		On	Date	Telephone Number	
Form LM-15 (2003)						Page 1 of 4

File Number

513-588

- 7. Check the reason(s) for establishing or continuing the trusteeship:
  - a. To correct corruption or financial malprectice.
  - X b. To assure the performance of collective bargaining agreements or other duties of a bargaining representative.
    - c. To restore democratic procedures.
    - d. Other.
- 8. Provide a detailed statement which explains each reason checked in Item 7, above.

As the result of changes in the jurisdiction of SEIU local unione in California, Local 715 is in the process of reorganizing the majority of its members into other SEIU local unions. The shift of a large majority of Local 715 members, and resources associated with those members, to other SEIU unions is making it difficult for Local 715 to carry out its remaining collective bargaining responsibilities and to defend its status as collective bargaining agent. The significant decline in Local 715's membership and resources has impaired Local 715's ability to operate as contemplated by the Local 715 Constitution and Bylaws. The process of reorganizing the remaining Local 715 members into their new SEIU local unions is still underway.

- 9. During the period covered by this report
  - a. Did a convention or other policy-determining body meet to which the trusteed labor organization sent delegates or would have sent delegates if not in trusteeship?

X No (if the answer is "Yes." complete and file Form LM-15A.)

b. Did the labor organization imposing the trusteeahlp hold an election of officers?

X No Yes (If the enawer is "Yes," complete and file Form LM-15A.) 2 Type of Recort

3. Date Trustegatio Established

\*\*\* 07/24/07 15:18 PAX 202 693 1345

Ø 002

530

U.S. Department of Labor Orlice of Labor-Menagement Standards shington, DC 20218

### FORM LM-15 TRUSTEESHIP REPORT

Form approved Office of Menagement and Budget No. 1215-0188 Explais 11-30-2009

For Official Uses
# 168m
( -1000

4. Ella Number of Labor

This report is mandalory under P.L. 89-287 as amended. Failure to coreply may mault to criminal prosecution, lines. or olvi) panellies as provided by 29 U.S.C. 481.

READ THE INSTRUCTIONS CAREFULLY BEFORE PREPARING THIS REPORT.

Organization Hold in Trustagatip	X Initial (complete pages 1 thro	ugh 4.J	
513-588	Semigranual for period ending (Complete pages 1 and 2 only.)		6 / 0 / 2007
Labor Organization Hold in Trustees	inip		
Addition or Organization Name 3	Marvice Employees Intern	Ational Union	
Designation LU - Local Unic	on.	P.O. Bax, Bidg. and Room No., if any	
Martanet - Number	- 715	Number and Street 2302 Sanker	Roed
Designation Number	715	Chy San Jose	•
Unit Name (if any)		State California	ZIP Code + 4 95134
sbor Organization imposing the Tr	unteenhip		
Name Service Employmes	Interpational Union		
File Number 000-137.			
P.O. Box, Building and Room Num	ber, If any		
Number and Street 1600 Mass			
•	PCDUSECLE AVEDUS, AN		
Chy Washington		Simile Discrict of Columbia	ZIP Code + 4 20036
		Monetures	
of of the surface and of the authority			tales and the same of the same
perpay and other publicable penalti	se of law, that all of the information a	submitted in this report (including the info	a labor organization, declares, under penalt ormation contained in any accompanying e, correct, and corgolate. (See the section
bevilled is the formationer)	7	<b>.</b>	e, current, ente comprese, (case use section
Signed Molecular		28. Shared	usts fruit Trustee
Other (Specify)	(if other title, see instructi		(If other title,
International Pre	#ident	, .	
On	202) 730-7000 Haphone Number	On <u>07/06/07</u>	(408) 954-1338 Telephone Number
Signed Cinna B	Magn_ Treesurer	27. Signed	Trustee
Other (Specify)	(If other tile, see instructi		(if other title,

On

Dete

Form LM-15 (2003)

International Secretary-Treasurer

(202) 730-7000

Telephone Number

Page 1 of 4

Telephone Number

_		
-		•
ım	4241	

Name of Labor Organization Held in Trusteeship		File Number
Service Employees International Union	•	513-566

- 7. Check the resson(s) for establishing or continuing the trueleschip;
  - s. To correct corruption or triangle! melprectics.
  - X b. To meaute the performance of collective bargaining agreements or other duties of a bargaining representative
    - c. To restore democratic procedures.
    - d. Other.
- 8. Provide a detailed statement which explains each reason checked in him 7, above.

As the result of changes in the jurisdiction of SEIU local unions in California, Local 715 is in the process of reorganizing the majority of its members into other SEIU local unions. The shift of a large majority of Local 715 members, and resources associated with those members, to other SEIU unions is making it difficult for Local 715 to carry out its remaining collective bargaining responsibilities and to defend its status as collective bargaining agent. The significant decline in Local 715's membership and resources has impaired Local 715's shility to operate as contemplated by the Local 715 Constitution and Names. and Bylaws.

9. During the period covered by this report

a. Did a convention or other policy-determining body meet to which the trusteed labor organization sent delegates or would have sent delegates if not in

X No (If the enewer is "Yes," complete and file Form LM-15A.)

b. Did the labor organization imposing the trustmeship hold an election of officers?

Yes X No (If the enswer is "Yes," complete and file Form LM-15A.)

Form LM-15 (2003)

Name of Labor Organization Held in Trusteeship	File Number
Service Employees International Union	513-500

Statement of Assets and Liabilities (Complete for Initial Report Only)					
Assets as of	Date Truptee	ship imposed	Linkilities a	s of Date Tru	uteeship imposed
Nam	From Sch. #	Amount	. Charte	From Sch. #	Ameunt
1D. Cach		3, 648, 823	18. Accounts Payable		299,863
11. Accounts Receivable		6,165	19. Louns Payable	6	0
12. Lagna Receivable	1	0	20. Mortgages Payable		. 0
13. U.S. Treasury Securities			21, Other Liabilities	4	1,127,259
14, Investments	2	0	22. TOTAL LIABILITIES		1,427,132
15, Flood Agents	6	536,075	29. NET ASSETS (Num 17)	15m 22)	
18. Other Assets	3	<b>251,217</b>			
17. TOTAL ASSETS		4,659,990			3,231,868

Schodele 1 - Legas Receivable (See Instructions for Item 12)			
(A) Name of officer, employee, member, or business onterprise	(B) Purpose of loan, security, if any, and terms for repayment	(C) Ameuni	
1.0		. 0	
2. 0			
3. 0			
	4. Total from additional pages (if gry)	0	
·	S. Total of logne not lieted above	0	
	8. Total of Lines 1 through \$	0	

Schedule 2 - Investments (See Instructions for Item 14)		Schoduln 3 - Other Assets (See Instructions for New 14)		
(A) Description	(E) Amount	(A) Description	(B) Book Value	
Marketable Securities:		1. Prepaid Expenses	15,255	
1. Total Cost	0	2. Deposit	13,540	
2. Total Book Value		1. Due to/from SEL others	231,39	
3. List each markstable security that has a l	book value over \$1,000 and	4.		
exceeds 20% of Line 2.	<u> </u>	l.		
(4)	· -	0.		
H		7. Total from additional pages (if any)		
(c) Total from additional pages (if any)	0	B. Total of Lines 1 Strough 7	261,31	
Other Investments:		<b>?</b>		
		Schodule 4 - Other Liebilides (See	Instructions for Item 21)	
4. Total Cost	0	Settedule 4 - Other Liebilities (See	inspusions for Iron 21) (B) Amount	
	0	(A) Description	(19) Amount	
4. Total Coet  5. Total Book Value		(A) Description	( <b>©</b> Amount 17,58	
4. Total Cost  5. Total Book Value  6. List each other invisement which has a successed 20% of Life 5. Also list each automated and the cost and th	book value over \$1,000 and	(A) Description 1. Capital leave	( <b>©</b> Amount 27, 58	
4. Total Cost  5. Total Book Value  6. List each other investment which has a	book value over \$1,000 and	(A) Description 1. Capital leave 2. IRSS Paymble	(B) Amount 27,58 182,15 2,50	
4. Total Cost  5. Total Book Value  6. List each other invisement which has a successed 20% of Life 5. Also list each automated and the cost and th	book value over \$1,000 and	(A) Description 1. Capital leave 2. IRSS Payable 3. Security Deposit	(B) Amount 17, 58 182, 16 2, 50 264, 64	
4. Total Cost  5. Total Book Value  6. List each other invisement which has a second 20% of Lipe 5. Also list each autinvestment.	book value over \$1,000 and	(A) Description 1. Capital leave 2. IRSS Payable 3. Security Deposit 4. Other Payable	(B) Amount 17, 58 182, 16 2, 50 264, 64	
4. Total Cost  5. Total Book Value  6. List each other impelment which has a successe 20% of Life 5. Also list each at investment.  III	book value over \$1,000 and	(A) Description 1. Capital lease 2. IRSS Psymble 3. Security Deposit 4. Other Psymble 5. Assured Vacacion		

Form LM-18 (2003)

Name of Labor Organization Hald in Trusteeship
Service Imployees International Union 513-588

Schodule 6 - Flood Assets (See Instructions for them 15)					
(A) Description	(B) Cost or Other Basis	(C) Total Depreciation or Amount Expensed	(D) Book Value	(E) Feir Market Value	
1. Land (give isostion)			.		
	•		•		
	0				
	c				
2. Total from additional pages (# any)	0		0	0	
3. Buildings (give iscalion)					
	0				
	D		·		
	C				
4. Total from additional pages (If any)	•	. 0	٥		
5. Automobiles and Other Vehicles	•		,		
6. Office Furniture and Equipment	1,004,263	478,188	\$26,075		
7. Other Fixed Assess	<u> </u>	•			
8. Total of Lines 1 through 7	1,064,263	478,188	\$26,075		

Schedule 6 - Loans Payable (See Instructions for Item 19)		
(A) Source		(8) Amount
1.		•
	•	
2.		
_		
		a .
1		
, <b>"</b>		'
	,	
4.		
	5. Total from additional page	
	8. Total of Lines 1 through	<b>ĕ</b> . □

Form LM-15 (2003)

**SEIU0034** 

Page 4 of 4

ADRMOP, E-Filing, RELATE

### **U.S. District Court** California Northern District (San Jose) CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 5:08-cv-00213-JF

Service Employees International Union, Local 715 v. Stanford Hospital and Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's

Hospital

Assigned to: Hon. Jeremy Fogel

Referred to: Magistrate Judge Richard Seeborg

Relate Case Cases: 5:07-cv-05158-JF

5:08-cv-00215-JF 5:08-cv-00216-JF 5:08-cv-01727-JF 5:08-cv-01726-JF

Cause: 29:151 Labor: Review of Agency Action

Date Filed: 01/11/2008 Jury Demand: None

Nature of Suit: 720 Labor: Labor/Mgt.

Relations

Jurisdiction: Federal Question

### **Plaintiff**

Service Employees International Union, Local 715

represented by W. Daniel Boone

Weinberg, Roger & Rosenfeld 1001 Marina Village Parkway

Suite 200

Alameda, CA 94501-1091

510-337-1001

Fax: 510-337-1023

Email: courtnotices@unioncounsel.net

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

### Bruce A. Harland

Weinberg, Roger & Rosenfeld A Professional Corporation 1001 Marina Village Parkway

Suite #200

Alameda, CA 94501 510 -337- 1001

Fax: 510-337-1023

Email: courtnotices@unioncounsel.net

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

### Laurence R. Arnold

Foley & Lardner LLP One Martime Plaza Sixth Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3409

415-984-9819 Fax: 415-434-4507

Email: larnold@foley.com ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

### William A. Sokol

Weinberg, Roger & Rosenfeld A Professional Corporation 1001 Marina Village Parkway Suite 200 Alameda, CA 94501-1091

510-337-1001 Fax: 510-337-1023

Email: courtnotices@unioncounsel.net

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

### **Defendant**

Stanford Hospital and Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital

### represented by Laurence R. Arnold

(See above for address) LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

### **Scott Powers Inciardi**

Attorney at Law 1 Maritime Plaza, 6th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 415-434-4484

Fax: 415-4344507

Email: sinciardi@foley.com ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

### Respondent

Stanford Hospital & Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital

### represented by Eileen Regina Ridley

Foley & Lardner One Maritime Plaza Sixth Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3404 415-434-4484 Fax: 415-434-4507 Email: eridley@foley.com LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Laurence R. Arnold (See above for address)

Filed 07/18/2008

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

**Scott Powers Inciardi** 

(See above for address) ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Counter-claimant

Stanford Hospital & Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital represented by Eileen Regina Ridley

(See above for address) LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Laurence R. Arnold

(See above for address)

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

**Scott Powers Inciardi** 

(See above for address)

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Counter-claimant

Stanford Hospital and Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital represented by Laurence R. Arnold

(See above for address) LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Scott Powers Inciardi

(See above for address)

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Counter-defendant

**Service Employees International** Union, Local 715

represented by W. Daniel Boone

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Bruce A. Harland

(See above for address)

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Laurence R. Arnold

(See above for address)

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

William A. Sokol

(See above for address)

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
01/11/2008	1	PETITION TO CONFIRM ARBITRATION AWARD (no process) against Stanford Hospital and Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital (Filing fee \$ 350, receipt number 34611014520.). Filed by Service Employees International Union, Local 715. (slh, COURT STAFF) (Filed on 1/11/2008) (Additional attachment(s) added on 1/16/2008: # 1 Exhibit A, # 2 Exhibit B) (slh, COURT STAFF). (Entered: 01/15/2008)
01/11/2008	2	Administrative MOTION to Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related re C 07-5158 MMC filed by Service Employees International Union, Local 715. (slh, COURT STAFF) (Filed on 1/11/2008) (slh, COURT STAFF). (Entered: 01/15/2008)
01/11/2008	3	ADR SCHEDULING ORDER: Case Management Conference set for 4/23/2008 01:30 PM. Case Management Statement due by 4/16/2008. (Attachments: # 1 EMC Standing Order, # 2 Case Management Standing Order)(slh, COURT STAFF) (Filed on 1/11/2008) (Entered: 01/15/2008)
01/11/2008		CASE DESIGNATED for Electronic Filing. (slh, COURT STAFF) (Filed on 1/11/2008) (Entered: 01/15/2008)
01/23/2008		Summons Issued as to Stanford Hospital and Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital. (slh, COURT STAFF) (Filed on 1/23/2008) (Entered: 01/24/2008)
01/30/2008	4	Declination to Proceed Before a U.S. Magistrate Judge by Service Employees International Union, Local 715 and Request for Reassignment to a United States District Judge. (Harland, Bruce) (Filed on 1/30/2008) (Entered: 01/30/2008)
01/31/2008	<u>5</u>	CLERK'S NOTICE of Impending Reassignment to U.S. District Judge (bpf, COURT STAFF) (Filed on 1/31/2008) (Entered: 01/31/2008)
02/01/2008	6	ORDER REASSIGNING CASE. Case reassigned to Judge Hon. William H. Alsup for all further proceedings. Magistrate Judge Edward M. Chen no longer assigned to the case. Signed by Executive Committee on 2/1/08. (ha, COURT STAFF) (Filed on 2/1/2008) (Entered: 02/01/2008)
02/05/2008	7	*** FILED IN ERROR. PLEASE SEE DOCKET # 10. *** SUMMONS Returned Executed by Service Employees International Union, Local 715. Stanford Hospital and Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital served on 1/29/2008, answer due 2/18/2008. (Harland, Bruce) (Filed on 2/5/2008) Modified on 2/6/2008 (ewn, COURT STAFF). (Entered: 02/05/2008)
02/05/2008	8	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF SERVICE Executed as to 7 Summons Returned Executed Acknowledgement filed by Service Employees International Union, Local 715. (Harland, Bruce) (Filed on 2/5/2008) (Entered: 02/05/2008)
02/06/2008	9	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE by Service Employees International Union, Local 715 re 4 Declination to Proceed Before a U.S. Magistrate Judge, 5 Clerk's

		Notice of Impending Reassignment, <u>6</u> Order Reassigning Case, Case Assigned/Reassigned (Harland, Bruce) (Filed on 2/6/2008) (Entered: 02/06/2008)
02/06/2008	10	SUMMONS Returned Executed by Service Employees International Union, Local 715. CORRECTION OF DOCKET # 7 (Harland, Bruce) (Filed on 2/6/2008) (Entered: 02/06/2008)
02/19/2008	11	MOTION to Change Venue filed by Service Employees International Union, Local 715. (Harland, Bruce) (Filed on 2/19/2008) Modified on 2/25/2008 (cv, COURT STAFF). (Entered: 02/19/2008)
02/19/2008	12	Proposed Order re 11 MOTION to Change Venue <i>OF INTRA-DISTRICT ASSIGNMENT</i> by Service Employees International Union, Local 715. (Harland, Bruce) (Filed on 2/19/2008) (Entered: 02/19/2008)
02/20/2008	13	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE by Service Employees International Union, Local 715 re 11 MOTION to Change Venue <i>OF INTRA-DISTRICT ASSIGNMENT</i> , 12 Proposed Order (Harland, Bruce) (Filed on 2/20/2008) (Entered: 02/20/2008)
02/22/2008	14	ORDER by Judge Alsup granting 11 Motion to Change Venue (whalc1, COURT STAFF) (Filed on 2/22/2008) (Entered: 02/22/2008)
02/25/2008	15	ORDER REASSIGNING CASE. Case reassigned to Judge Hon. James Ware for all further proceedings. Judge Hon. William H. Alsup no longer assigned to the case. Signed by Executive Committee on 2/25/08. (ha, COURT STAFF) (Filed on 2/25/2008) (Entered: 02/25/2008)
02/26/2008	<u>16</u>	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE by Service Employees International Union, Local 715 re 14 Order on Motion to Change Venue (Harland, Bruce) (Filed on 2/26/2008) (Entered: 02/26/2008)
02/29/2008	17	ORDER RELATING CASES CV-07-5158-JF, CV-08-215-JF AND CV-08-216-JF. Signed by Judge Jeremy Fogel on 2/22/08. (dlm, COURT STAFF) (Filed on 2/29/2008) (Entered: 02/29/2008)
02/29/2008		Pursuant to Related Case Order ( <u>17</u> ). Case reassigned to District Judge Jeremy Fogel for all further proceedings and Magistrate Judge Richard Seeborg for all discovery matters. District Judge James Ware no longer assigned to the case. (tsh, COURT STAFF) (Filed on 2/29/2008) (Entered: 02/29/2008)
03/06/2008	18	ANSWER to Complaint Petition to Confirm Arbitration Award, COUNTERCLAIM to Vacate Arbitration Award against Service Employees International Union, Local 715 byStanford Hospital and Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit Exhibit A to Answer and Counter-Petition to Vacate Arbitration Award, # 2 Exhibit Exhibit B to Answer and Counter-Petition to Vacate Arbitration Award)(Arnold, Laurence) (Filed on 3/6/2008) (Entered: 03/06/2008)
03/06/2008	<u>19</u>	Certificate of Interested Entities by Stanford Hospital and Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital re 18 Answer to Complaint,, Counterclaim, (Arnold, Laurence) (Filed on 3/6/2008) (Entered: 03/06/2008)

03/06/2008	<u>20</u>	NOTICE of Appearance by Scott Powers Inciardi (Inciardi, Scott) (Filed on 3/6/2008) (Entered: 03/06/2008)
03/06/2008	21	NOTICE of Appearance by Eileen Regina Ridley (Ridley, Eileen) (Filed on 3/6/2008) (Entered: 03/06/2008)
03/13/2008	22	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE by Service Employees International Union, Local 715 re 15 Order Reassigning Case, Case Assigned/Reassigned (Harland, Bruce) (Filed on 3/13/2008) (Entered: 03/13/2008)
03/18/2008	23	CLERK'S NOTICE Case Management Conference rescheduled for 4/25/2008 10:30 AM. (jfsec, COURT STAFF) (Filed on 3/18/2008) (Entered: 03/18/2008)
03/27/2008	24	NOTICE by Stanford Hospital & Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital re 18 Answer to Complaint,, Counterclaim, of Errata regarding Answer and Counter-Petition to Vacate Arbitration Award (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit Exhbit A to Notice of Errata Regarding Answer and Counter-Petition to Vacate Arbitration Award)(Inciardi, Scott) (Filed on 3/27/2008) (Entered: 03/27/2008)
03/27/2008	<u>25</u>	Proposed Order re 24 Notice (Other), Notice (Other) of Errata regarding Answer and Counter-Petition to Vacate Arbitration Award by Stanford Hospital & Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital. (Inciardi, Scott) (Filed on 3/27/2008) (Entered: 03/27/2008)
03/31/2008	26	ORDER GRANTING REQUEST TO CORRECT TYPOGRAPHICAL ERRORS re 24 Notice (Other), Notice (Other) filed by Stanford Hospital & Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital. Signed by Judge Jeremy Fogel on 3/31/08. (jfsec, COURT STAFF) (Filed on 3/31/2008) (Entered: 03/31/2008)
04/10/2008	<u>27</u>	ADR Clerks Notice re: Non-Compliance with Court Order. (tjs, COURT STAFF) (Filed on 4/10/2008) (Entered: 04/10/2008)
04/17/2008	28	ADR Certification (ADR L.R. 3-5b) of discussion of ADR options (Harland, Bruce) (Filed on 4/17/2008) (Entered: 04/17/2008)
04/17/2008	29	NOTICE of need for ADR Phone Conference (ADR L.R. 3-5 d) re 3 ADR Scheduling Order re document 3 ) (Harland, Bruce) (Filed on 4/17/2008) (Entered: 04/17/2008)
04/17/2008	<u>30</u>	ADR Certification (ADR L.R. 3-5b) of discussion of ADR options (Inciardi, Scott) (Filed on 4/17/2008) (Entered: 04/17/2008)
04/21/2008	31	JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT filed by Service Employees International Union, Local 715, Stanford Hospital and Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital. (Arnold, Laurence) (Filed on 4/21/2008) (Entered: 04/21/2008)
04/25/2008	32	Minute Entry: Further Case Management Conference held on 4/25/2008 before Judge Jeremy Fogel (Date Filed: 4/25/2008). Motion Hearing set for 8/29/2008 09:00 AM. All other hearing dates are vacated.(Court Reporter Summer Clanton.) (dlm, COURT STAFF) (Date Filed: 4/25/2008) (Entered: 04/28/2008)
	1	

07/02/2008	<u>33</u>	MOTION to Continue <i>Deadline to File/Hear Dispositive Motions</i> filed by Stanford Hospital & Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital. (Ridley, Eileen) (Filed on 7/2/2008) (Entered: 07/02/2008)
07/02/2008	34	Declaration in Support of 33 MOTION to Continue Deadline to File/Hear Dispositive Motions filed by Stanford Hospital & Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A & B to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 2 Exhibit C to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 3 Exhibit D to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 4 Exhibit E to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 5 Exhibit F to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 6 Exhibit G to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 7 Exhibit H to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 8 Exhibit I to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 9 Exhibit J to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 11 Exhibit L to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 12 Exhibit M & N to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley)(Related document(s) 33) (Ridley, Eileen) (Filed on 7/2/2008) (Entered: 07/02/2008)
07/02/2008	35	Proposed Order re 33 MOTION to Continue Deadline to File/Hear Dispositive Motions, 34 Declaration in Support,,, by Stanford Hospital & Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital. (Ridley, Eileen) (Filed on 7/2/2008) (Entered: 07/02/2008)
07/08/2008	<u>36</u>	Memorandum in Opposition re 33 MOTION to Continue <i>Deadline to File/Hear Dispositive Motions</i> filed byService Employees International Union, Local 715. (Harland, Bruce) (Filed on 7/8/2008) (Entered: 07/08/2008)
07/08/2008	37	Declaration of Bruce A. Harland in Support of <u>36</u> Memorandum in Opposition <i>To Motion to Continue Deadline to File/Hear Dispositive Motion</i> filed byService Employees International Union, Local 715. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A to Declaration of Bruce A. Harland in Support of SEIU Local 715's Opposition to Motion to Continue Deadline to File-Hear Dispositive Motion, # 2 Exhibit B to Declaration of Bruce A. Harland in Support of SEIU Local 715's Opposition to Motion to Continue Deadline to File-Hear Dispositive Motion, # 3 Exhibit C to Declaration of Bruce A. Harland in Support of SEIU Local 715's Opposition to Motion to Continue Deadline to File-Hear Dispositive Motion, # 4 Exhibit D to Declaration of Bruce A. Harland in Support of SEIU Local 715's Opposition to Motion to Continue Deadline to File-Hear Dispositive Motion, # 5 Exhibit E to Declaration of Bruce A. Harland in Support of SEIU Local 715's Opposition to Motion to Continue Deadline to File-Hear Dispositive Motion) (Related document(s) <u>36</u> ) (Harland, Bruce) (Filed on 7/8/2008) (Entered: 07/08/2008)
07/11/2008	38	MOTION to Compel Responses to Discovery Subpoena Propounded to UHW filed by Stanford Hospital & Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital. Motion Hearing set for 8/27/2008 09:30 AM in Courtroom #4, 5th Floor, San Jose. (Arnold, Laurence) (Filed on 7/11/2008) (Entered: 07/11/2008)
07/11/2008	<u>39</u>	MOTION to Compel Responses to Discovery Subpoena Propounded to Local 521 filed by Stanford Hospital & Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital. Motion Hearing set for 8/27/2008 09:30 AM in Courtroom #4, 5th Floor, San Jose. (Arnold, Laurence) (Filed on 7/11/2008) (Entered: 07/11/2008)

07/11/2008	40	MOTION to Compel Further Responses to Discovery Requests Propounded to Local 715 filed by Stanford Hospital & Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital. Motion Hearing set for 8/27/2008 09:30 AM in Courtroom #4, 5th Floor, San Jose. (Arnold, Laurence) (Filed on 7/11/2008) (Entered: 07/11/2008)
07/11/2008	41	Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley in Support of 40 MOTION to Compel Further Responses to Discovery Requests Propounded to Local 715, 38 MOTION to Compel Responses to Discovery Subpoena Propounded to UHW, 39 MOTION to Compel Responses to Discovery Subpoena Propounded to Local 521 filed byStanford Hospital & Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital. (Attachments: #1 Exhibit Exhibit to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, #2 Exhibit Exhibit B to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, #3 Exhibit Exhibit C to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, #4 Exhibit Exhibit D to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, #6 Exhibit Exhibit F to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, #7 Exhibit Exhibit G to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, #8 Exhibit Exhibit H to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, #9 Exhibit Exhibit I to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, #9 Exhibit D beclaration of Eileen R. Ridley, #12 Exhibit Exhibit D to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, #15 Exhibit Exhibit O to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, #15 Exhibit Exhibit O to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, #15 Exhibit Exhibit O to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, #18 Exhibit Exhibit R to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, #19 Exhibit Exhibit S to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, #20 Exhibit Exhibit T to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, #21 Exhibit Exhibit V to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, #22 Exhibit Exhibit X to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, #22 Exhibit Exhibit X to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, #23 Exhibit Exhibit X to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, #24 Exhibit Exhibit X to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, #30 Exhibit Exhibit Tho Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, #31 Exhibit Exhibit Exhibit Exhibit Exhibit Exhibit From Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, #32 Exhibit Exhibit Exhibit Exhibit Exhibit IX to Declaration of Eileen

		53 Exhibit Exhibit AAA to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 54 Exhibit Exhibit BBB to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 55 Exhibit Exhibit CCC to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 56 Exhibit Exhibit DDD to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 57 Exhibit Exhibit EEE to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 58 Exhibit Exhibit FFF to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley)(Related document (s) 40, 38, 39) (Arnold, Laurence) (Filed on 7/11/2008) (Entered: 07/11/2008)
07/11/2008	<u>42</u>	Proposed Order re <u>41</u> Declaration in Support,,,,,,,,,,,,, <u>38</u> MOTION to Compel <i>Responses to Discovery Subpoena Propounded to UHW</i> by Stanford Hospital & Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital. (Arnold, Laurence) (Filed on 7/11/2008) (Entered: 07/11/2008)
07/11/2008	<u>43</u>	Proposed Order re <u>41</u> Declaration in Support,,,,,,,,,,,,,, <u>40</u> MOTION to Compel Further Responses to Discovery Requests Propounded to Local 715 by Stanford Hospital & Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital. (Arnold, Laurence) (Filed on 7/11/2008) (Entered: 07/11/2008)
07/11/2008	44	Proposed Order re <u>41</u> Declaration in Support,,,,,,,,,,,,,, <u>39</u> MOTION to Compel Responses to Discovery Subpoena Propounded to Local 521 by Stanford Hospital & Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital. (Arnold, Laurence) (Filed on 7/11/2008) (Entered: 07/11/2008)
07/11/2008	45	MOTION to Compel <i>Depositions of Rusty Smith, Myriam Escamilla and Kristy Sermersheim</i> filed by Stanford Hospital & Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital. Motion Hearing set for 8/27/2008 09:30 AM in Courtroom #4, 5th Floor, San Jose. (Arnold, Laurence) (Filed on 7/11/2008) (Entered: 07/11/2008)
07/11/2008	46	Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley in Support of 45 Motion to Compel Depositions filed byStanford Hospital & Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit Exhibit A to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 2 Exhibit Exhibit B to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 3 Exhibit Exhibit C to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 4 Exhibit Exhibit D to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 5 Exhibit Exhibit E to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 6 Exhibit Exhibit F to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 7 Exhibit Exhibit G to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 8 Exhibit Exhibit H to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 10 Exhibit Exhibit J to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 11 Exhibit Exhibit K to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 12 Exhibit Exhibit Exhibit K to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 13 Exhibit Exhibit M to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 14 Exhibit Exhibit N to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 15 Exhibit Exhibit O to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 16 Exhibit Exhibit P to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 18 Exhibit Exhibit R to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 19 Exhibit Exhibit S to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 20 Exhibit Exhibit T to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 22 Exhibit Exhibit V to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 22 Exhibit Exhibit V to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 22 Exhibit Exhibit V to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 25 Exhibit Exhibit V to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 26 Exhibit Exhibit Z to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 27 Exhibit Exhibit AA to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 28 Exhibit Exhibit Exhibit Exhibit CC to Declaration of Eileen R.

		Ridley, # 30 Exhibit Exhibit DD to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 31 Exhibit Exhibit EE to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 32 Exhibit Exhibit FF to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 33 Exhibit Exhibit GG to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 34 Exhibit Exhibit HH to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 35 Exhibit Exhibit II to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 36 Exhibit Exhibit JJ to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 37 Exhibit Exhibit KK to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 38 Exhibit Exhibit LL to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 39 Exhibit Exhibit MM to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 40 Exhibit Exhibit NN to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 41 Exhibit Exhibit OO to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 42 Exhibit Exhibit PP to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 44 Exhibit Exhibit RR to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 45 Exhibit Exhibit SS to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley, # 46 Exhibit Exhibit TT to Declaration of Eileen R. Ridley)(Arnold, Laurence) (Filed on 7/11/2008) Modified on 7/14/2008 (gm, COURT STAFF). (Entered: 07/11/2008)
07/11/2008	47	Proposed Order re <u>46</u> Declaration in Support,,,,,,,,,, <u>45</u> MOTION to Compel <i>Depositions of Rusty Smith, Myriam Escamilla and Kristy Sermersheim</i> by Stanford Hospital & Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital. (Arnold, Laurence) (Filed on 7/11/2008) (Entered: 07/11/2008)
07/11/2008	48	CLERK'S NOTICE Continuing Motions Hearing. Motions Hearing continued to 9/3/2008 09:30 AM in Courtroom #4, 5th Floor, San Jose. (rssec, COURT STAFF) (Filed on 7/11/2008) (Entered: 07/11/2008)
07/14/2008	49	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE by Stanford Hospital & Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital re 41 Declaration in Support,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, 44 Proposed Order, 39 MOTION to Compel Responses to Discovery Subpoena Propounded to Local 521 on A. Laiacona, Attorney for Local 521, by Federal Express (Ridley, Eileen) (Filed on 7/14/2008) (Entered: 07/14/2008)
07/14/2008	50	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE by Stanford Hospital & Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital re 41 Declaration in Support,,,,,,,,,,,,, 38 MOTION to Compel Responses to Discovery Subpoena Propounded to UHW, 42 Proposed Order, on W. Sokol, Attorney for UHW, by Federal Express (Ridley, Eileen) (Filed on 7/14/2008) (Entered: 07/14/2008)